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**Abstract**

Background: *Staphylococcus aureus* is an emerging pathogen afflicting healthy individuals without known risk factors, and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* has been shown to colonize multiple family members sharing households. Because household items such as towels are often shared by family members, this study investigated whether cotton towel absorbency or washing conditions affect *Staphylococcus aureus* cell viability or cell retention, and whether the levels may be sufficient for person-to-person transmission.

Methodology: *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 was added to a 48 mm² template area on three cotton towel types (terry, pima, and Egyptian), and subjected to hand washing, without manual wringing, in three conditions (water only, bleach addition, or liquid detergent addition). Serial dilutions plated onto mannitol salt plates quantified bacteria for inoculations, pre- and post-wash water samples, towel surfaces, and hand transfer. Hand transfer of bacteria was determined on towels immediately, one, 24, and 48 hours post inoculation.

Results: Bleach (p ≤ 0.05) was the most effective at reducing bacterial viability on all towel types compared to detergent and water. Although not statistically significant, more *Staphylococcus* colonies were recovered from higher absorbency towels and from inside directly inoculated template areas. A paired t-test showed a difference between immediate and one-hour CFUs versus 24- and 48-hour recoveries (0.0002) for hand transfers.

Conclusions: Cell viability decreased for over 48 hours on towels, but sufficient quantities may remain for colonization. More absorbent towels may harbor more *Staphylococci* than less absorbent ones, and may serve as a transmission mechanism for the bacterium.
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**Introduction**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is emerging as a pathogen frequently colonizing and causing infections in healthy individuals without known risk factors such as a recent hospitalization or antibiotic usage. Risk factors for acquiring *Staphylococcus* infections include bars of soap and soap dispensers [1], towels [2], and direct skin contact [3]. Studies of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) showed transmission between members of 7 out of 10 families, as well as between different families [4-5]. Towels are reused several times before being washed and they are often shared among individuals.

A wound surface infected with *Staphylococcus aureus* can contain up to 3 × 10⁸ Colony Forming Units (CFUs) / cm². Further, contaminated wound dressings can contain more than 10⁶ organisms [6]. Gardner et al. [7] determined that chronic wound infections contain approximately 10⁵ of *S. aureus* per gram of tissue, but another study [6] found a median of 3.4 × 10⁷ bacteria in infected burn wounds. Actual bacterial levels required for skin colonization have not yet been determined.

Previous studies have demonstrated *S. aureus* transfer to surgical gowns [8], to polyester or cotton-polyester blend fabrics [9-11], and to fabrics subjected to detergent systems utilizing different washing temperatures [12]. Other studies [2,6,10,11] have shown *S. aureus* survival for days on various types of fabrics. No study has previously investigated the different absorbencies of towels on *S. aureus* recovery and how likely the bacterium will be transferred to hands. Our goal was to evaluate *S. aureus* cell retention and viability on three types of cotton towels subjected to three washing conditions. In addition, cell viability and hand transfer of bacteria on towels left at room temperature for up to 48 hours were investigated. This study was exempt from review by our internal review board.

**Materials and Methods**

A 1 × 3 × 3 × 3 experimental design was utilized for *S. aureus* cell retention and viability. The independent variables were the *S. aureus*, the *S. aureus* concentrations (1.42 × 10⁶, 1.78 × 10⁶, and 2.75 × 10⁶), towel type (terry: least absorbent; pima...
and Egyptian: most absorbent) and washing condition (water only, detergent addition, or bleach addition). The dependent variable was the S. aureus retention and viability. Autoclaved water served as the control.

The all-cotton towels (same company brand) were uniformly sized (390 cm \( \times \) 320 cm). They were weighed (terry = 64.88 g; pima = 56.34 g; and Egyptian = 76.65 g), and tested for water absorbency (terry = 238 ml; pima = 245 ml; Egyptian = 273.5 ml) via displacement to determine absorbent classification.

**Culturing Bacteria**

Methicillin sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* 29523 (Hardy Diagnostics, Phoenix, AZ) was chosen for this study because it was a clinical isolate and is commonly utilized in Staphylococcal research.

*Staphylococcus aureus* was inoculated into Tryptic Soy Broth tubes and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C similar to the procedure described by Wilkoff [2] to simulate a potentially contaminated surface with the bacterium. *Staphylococcus aureus* was added to a 48 mm\(^2\) center template area (identical area on each towel) of autoclaved (Tuttanauer, St. Louis, MO) all-cotton towels and allowed to absorb for one hour.

**Water and Washing Conditions**

The washing specifications of automated top-loading washing machines were obtained to determine tub and water capacity, tub and agitation speed, spinning speed, and time frame of each cycle. One towel was added to each tub, and washed per the washing machine manufacturer’s specifications. Towels were aseptically removed without wringing to prevent false bacterial addition or displacement, and replica plating was immediately performed.

A 1 ml pre-wash sample (both with and without additives) was serially diluted onto mannitol salt plates to ensure no water, air, or additive contamination. Bleach (20 ml) and liquid detergent (100 ml) were chosen as additives since they are commonly employed when washing clothes. To determine if bleach and detergent supported the growth of bacteria, known concentrations were added to culture tubes containing each additive, serial dilutions were performed, and mannitol salt plates were inoculated and incubated at 37°C. Liquid detergent containing a bleach additive was intentionally not utilized so we could test bleach independently. The water temperature ranged from 30°C initially, and subsequently cooled to 27°C by the end of the experiment. Lukewarm water was chosen intentionally since many people do not wash clothes in hot water.

The initial pH of the water was 6.7, bleach was 11.69, and detergent was 13.0. Diluted bleach pH yielded 10.9 and the diluted detergent pH was 10.96. The pH between the bleach and detergent used for testing was consistent, eliminating pH as a recovery factor.

**Towel Inoculation and Washing**

Towels were divided into two groups, one for viability and hand transfer determination, and one for washing conditions. The towels used for the viability test were covered with sterile aluminum foil to prevent aerosol contamination, and left at 25°C to simulate towels being left after bacterial contact. A 10 \( \times \) 5.5 cm replica plater (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) was pressed against the towels for three seconds to obtain direct contact samples of the towels, both within and outside of the template area to determine bacterial retention and transfer during washing. Sterile water (0.1 ml) was then added to the template area using a serological pipette and pipetted up and down three times and plated to evaluate how many bacterial cells could be further flushed off the towel. The post-wash water was then serially diluted and plated onto mannitol salt plates.

**Fingertip Bacterial Transfer**

To simulate personal direct contact with contaminated towels, fingers and palm counts were performed from inoculated towels containing *Staphylococcus*. As a negative control, uncompromised hands were washed with 95% ethanol, rinsed with sterile water, air dried, and pressed for three seconds onto a manniitol salt plate. The center template area of the towel was inoculated with the *Staphylococcus*. The hand was then pressed for three seconds to the center of the inoculated towel template area and pressed for three seconds onto a manniitol salt plate. Fingers and palms were pressed onto two separate manniitol salt plates to ensure potential recovery from all areas of the hand. Hand samples were taken immediately, after one hour, and after 24 and 48 hours post-inoculation. These time frames were chosen because many people take a shower every one or two days.
Table 1. Staphylococcus aureus replica plate colony forming units (CFUs) recovered from the three types of cotton towels for the three washing conditions. A difference was seen between the bleach and detergent treatments (p < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inoculum</th>
<th>Trial 1</th>
<th>Trial 2</th>
<th>Trial 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Detergent*</td>
<td>Bleach*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egyptian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pima</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical Analysis

A three-way parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) tested the significance of the three washing conditions and three towel types on bacterial retention and viability. A probability level of p ≤ .05 was used in the analysis. A Tukey’s post-hoc test was utilized to determine where the significant differences in washing methods lie. For the fingertip and palm recovery CFUs, a paired student’s t-test was utilized to see if there were differences.

Results

Bacterial Recovery

None of the tubes with no bleach or liquid detergent that were inoculated with S. aureus yielded bacterial growth. Similarly, none of the tubes with pre-wash water, none of the tubes with diluted bleach, and none of the tubes with detergent that were inoculated with S. aureus yielded bacterial growth. The post-wash water resulted in TFTC for bleach and water samples, but the detergent did grow a few colonies (up to 150) during some of the trials. The post-wash CFUs were added to overall colony counts, but no differences were seen in an ANOVA.

Towels

Differences were seen between washing methods (p ≤ .05), and a Tukey’s post-hoc test showed a difference between the bleach and detergent washing conditions. Replica plate colony counts are depicted in Table 1. No bacteria were recovered from towels washed in bleach, and Egyptian cotton seemed to give the highest bacterial recovery counts in water and detergent treatments. We found no statistical differences in colony counts from the towel types (p = 0.38) or between the inner and outer template areas (p = 0.24). Figure 1 shows an upward trend in bacterial recovery from the least to most absorbent towel type, and Figure 2 shows a higher bacterial recovery from the inner template than from the outer template area of each towel type. The number of CFUs recovered from the control and detergent washing conditions were subtracted from the original inoculum concentration and statistical analysis showed there was not a significant difference in retention between the control and detergent. The control still harbors a high number of potentially viable bacterial cells, and we assume the absorbent cotton material, in conjunction with bacterial morphology, helps the bacteria adhere to the material. The detergent appeared to increase the cell recovery by dislodging the bacteria from the towels.

Hands

Hands disinfected with 95% ethanol revealed no growth on all plates. Direct contact from towels for both the fingertips and palms yielded bacteria too
numerous to count (TNTC) at immediate examination and at examination one hour post-inoculation. Fingertips yielded 75 CFUs at 24 hours, and 38 CFUs at 48 hours. Palms yielded 35 CFUs at 24 hours and 15 CFUs at 48 hours. A paired t-test did not show any difference between bacterial viability of the fingertips and palms ($p = 0.2$), but it did show a difference between immediate and one-hour CFUs versus 24- and 48-hour recoveries ($p = 0.0002$).

**Figure 1.** *Staphylococcus aureus* colony forming units (CFUs) recovered on mannitol salt plates in regard to towel thickness from the three towel types. A 95% confidence interval is shown.

**Figure 2.** Inner and outer towel template recovery of *Staphylococcus aureus* (CFUs) from the three towel types. A 95% confidence interval is shown.

**Discussion**

Several studies have determined that *Staphylococcus* could survive for 19 to 21 days on cotton fabrics [6]. *Staphylococcus* was also reduced when exposed to bleach (69-213 mg/l) [13]. Our replica plate results supported bleach ($p \leq .05$) as the best washing method for towel disinfection. Although the detergent appeared to allow for greater displacement of bacteria than water alone, bacterial recovery between the two treatments was not statistically significant. Our results also revealed that bacterial displacement over the towel area itself was quite low when subjected to a gentle washing, but we did see higher recovery rates from the inner inoculated template area and lower recovery rates from the outer template areas. Thus, it appears that a gentle wash will not readily displace this bacterium.

Based upon 24- and 48-hour hand contact transfer results, the quantity of potentially viable *Staphylococcus* left on the towels for 48 hours was the majority of the original inoculum. Although the detergent served as a surfactant to help remove the cells, *Staphylococcus* was still viable. Our results seem to agree with those of Wilkoff [2] and Neely and Maley [6] in that *Staphylococcus* can survive for days on cotton fabrics. Our experiment determined that more than $10^5$ of viable *Staphylococcus* can remain on a towel that is washed in either water or detergent, and could feasibly serve as a source of infection both via direct and indirect contact with hands.

Several experimental factors must also be considered. First, inoculum size has been shown to affect quantitative results. Neely and Maley [6] found that an inoculum size between $10^4$ and $10^5$ exhibited survival on cotton for 16 to 21 days, whereas inoculums of $10^2$ survived for 5 to 6 days.

Although Neely and Maley [6] and Gardner et al. [7] found that $3.4 \times 10^3$ to $10^5$ of bacteria were in wound infections, many people have not had an obvious exposure to *Staphylococcus*, and yet become nasal carriers and can serve as a disease reservoir. Thus, fewer organisms than $10^3$ to $10^5$ must be able to colonize people. Although our 24- and 48-hour recovery from directly contacted towels yielded fewer than 100 colonies, these numbers may be high enough to provide colonization in individuals.

Overall, washing towels in bleach was more effective than washing in water or detergent. Thicker, more absorbent towels may harbor more *Staphylococcus* and for longer times than less absorbent towels, possibly due to the drying time of
the towel. *Staphylococcus* was not significantly displaced from the inner inoculated template of the towel during a fifteen-minute gentle wash. Bacterial transfer from towels to hands may occur for at least 48 hours after inoculation of the towel with *Staphylococcus*. The potential for familial transmission of *Staphylococcus aureus* via towels is an existing avenue for infection and towel absorbency may play a role in colonization or infection. Thus, using the least absorbent towels and washing them in bleach on a regular basis may help prevent Staphylococcal infections between family members.
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