Letter to the Editor

Case of imported Vibrio cholerae O1 from India to South Africa

Husna Ismail^{1,2}, Anthony M. Smith^{1,2}, Brett N. Archer³, Nomsa P. Tau^{1,2}, Arvinda Sooka¹, Juno Thomas^{2,3}, Ben Prinsloo⁴ and Karen H. Keddy^{1,2} for the Group for Enteric, Respiratory and Meningeal Disease Surveillance in South Africa (GERMS-SA)

¹Centre for Enteric Diseases, National Institute for Communicable Diseases, National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa
²Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
³Division of Public Health Surveillance and Response, National Institute for Communicable Diseases, National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa
⁴Lancet Laboratories, Richmond, Johannesburg, South Africa

Key words: *Vibrio cholerae* O1; cholera; South Africa; pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE); PulseNet; importation; travel-related; India

J Infect Dev Ctries 2012; 6(12):897-900.

(Received 03 December 2011 – Accepted 03 April 2012)

Copyright © 2012 Ismail *et al.* This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cholera is an acute, watery diarrhoeal disease, caused by the Gram-negative bacterium Vibrio cholerae belonging to serogroups O1 and O139 [1]. Transmission is via the faecal-oral route through contaminated water or food [1]. Management of cholera with simple, inexpensive rehydration treatment has shown to be highly effective in reducing mortality [1,2]. Human cholera epidemics and the mortality associated with such water-borne diseases still remains a public health threat worldwide, particularly in regions with poor sanitation facilities and limited access to clean drinking water. Travellers visiting developing countries are considered at risk of contracting cholera, as these cholera endemic regions have become popular tourist destinations [1]. Here we report a confirmed case of V. cholerae O1, isolated from a 37-year-old female patient on her return to South Africa from India, prior to the start of the 2010 FIFA World Cup in South Africa.

A stool specimen was taken on 6 June 2010 from the patient and processed at a private laboratory in Johannesburg, South Africa. It was noted that the stool was brown in colour and of a watery consistency. Mucus, leucocytes and erythrocytes were not observed. The patient recovered, following treatment with ciprofloxacin (500 mg twice a day, for five days) and oral rehydration. *V. cholerae* O1 was isolated from the stool specimen and identified using the automated system VITEK 2 (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Etoile, France). Isolation of *V. cholerae* O1 was a cause for concern, as South Africa was a few days away from the opening ceremony of the 2010 FIFA World Cup. The isolate was referred to the Centre for Enteric Diseases at the NICD for confirmatory testing.

The bacterial isolate was analyzed for both phenotypic and genotypic characteristics. The serogroup and serotype were confirmed by slide agglutination in polyvalent O1 and mono-specific Inaba and Ogawa antisera (Mast Diagnostics, Merseyside, United Kingdom). Minimum inhibitory concentrations with a range of antimicrobial agents were performed and interpreted in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 2010 guidelines [3] and breakpoints defined by Ng et al. [4]. PCR was used to detect the presence of both the toxin co-regulated pilus (TCP) tcpA [5] and the cholera toxin (CT) ctxA [6] respectively. Genotyping was performed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) analysis using the restriction enzyme, NotI according to the PulseNet standardized protocol for V. cholerae [7]. The PFGE banding pattern was analyzed using BioNumerics (version 6.5) software (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) and compared to V. cholerae isolates collected in South Africa from 2007 to 2009 and further analyzed against a global database of *V. cholerae* PFGE patterns collected by

Figure. Section of a dendrogram of PFGE fingerprint patterns (NotI digestion) of *V. cholerae* O1 isolates collected from South Africa in recent years

	Simil	arity inc	lex	PFGE banding pattern	Serotype	Date of isolation	Province, Country	
-80	- 85	06	95					
830	91. 89.9		97.6		Ogawa	09/04/2009	Limpopo, South Africa	
			98.0		Ogawa	18/02/2009	Limpopo, South Africa	
					Ogawa	25/03/2009	Limpopo, South Africa	
					Ogawa	24/03/2009	Limpopo, South Africa	
					Ogawa	22/03/2009	Limpopo, South Africa	
					Ogawa	22/03/2009	Limpopo, South Africa	
					Ogawa	22/03/2009	Limpopo, South Africa	
					Ogawa	27/11/2008	Gauteng, South Africa	
		91.7			Ogawa	08/12/2008	Limpopo, South Africa	
					Ogawa	13/02/2009	Limpopo, South Africa	
			97.8		Ogawa	04/01/2009	Limpopo, South Africa	
					Ogawa	28/01/2009	Mpumalanga, South Africa	
					Inaba	30/04/2008	Namibia	
					Inaba	30/04/2008	Namibia	
					Inaba	30/04/2008	Namibia	
		9.9			Inaba	30/04/2008	Namibia	
					Inaba	30/04/2008	Namibia	
					Inaba	03/11/2008	Namibia	
					Inaba	11/11/2008	Namibia	
			98.2		Ogawa	23/02/2009	Limpopo, South Africa	
					Ogawa	31/03/2009	Gauteng, South Africa	Imported
					Ogawa	06/06/2010	Gauteng, South Africa -	_ isolate from India
					Ogawa	26/06/2008	Mpumalanga, South Africa	
					Ogawa	25/06/2008	Mpumalanga, South Africa	а
					Ogawa	23/05/2008	Mpumalanga, South Africa	
					Ogawa	02/06/2008	Mpumalanga, South Africa	
					Ogawa	03/06/2008	Mpumalanga, South Africa	
					Ogawa	30/05/2008	Mpumalanga, South Africa	
					Ogawa	06/06/2008	Mpumalanga, South Africa	
					Ogawa	04/06/2008	Mpumalanga, South Africa	

the National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases in India.

To better understand the genetic organization and track seventh pandemic *V. cholerae*, biotype El Tor isolates, the imported isolate together with two South African isolates, each recovered from two separate cholera outbreaks in South Africa between 2008 and 2009 [8-10], were further characterized. Extended analysis included PCR amplification and nucleotide sequencing of the complete coding regions for both the CT gene, *ctxAB* and TCP gene, *tcpA* as previously described by Talkington *et al.* [11]. DNASTAR Lasergene (version 8.0) software (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin) was used to analyze the nucleotide sequences. Sequence identity for both

ctxAB and tcpA genes were obtained from the DNA
database of the National Center for Biotechnology
Information (NCBI)
(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore). Two
multiplex PCR assays aimed at characterizing the
mobilome, which consists of genomic islands (GIs),
prophages and integrative conjugative elements (ICEs)
of V. cholerae O1 and O139, were performed as
previously described by Spagnoletti et al. [12].

The imported bacterial isolate was confirmed as *V. cholerae* O1, serotype Ogawa and displayed resistance to streptomycin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and erythromycin. The isolate was PCR-positive for the CT (*ctxA* gene) and analysis of the *tcpA* gene showed that the cholera isolate was PCR-positive for the El

Tor variant of the TCP. The PFGE fingerprint pattern of this travel-related case was unique to the database of South African isolates (Figure) and had not been previously identified. However, when the PFGE pattern of the isolate was compared to the global database, it was shown to have a high pattern similarity (92% similarity) to V. cholerae O1, serotype Ogawa (El Tor hybrid) isolates associated with a cholera outbreak in Hyderabad, India, in December 2008 (data not shown). CtxB genotyping showed that the imported isolate expressed the classical ctxB-7 allele [13], while both South African isolates expressed the classical ctxB-1 allele [13]. Nucleotide sequences of the *tcpA* gene from all three isolates matched sequences from V. cholerae O1, biotype El Tor, strain CIRS101 (tcpET^{CIRS}). The mobilome of isolates was characterized by combining PCR results for two multiplex PCR reactions; the identical mobilome profile (multilocus gene profile) was determined for all three isolates, which consisted of a truncated variant of the VSP-II, an ICEVchInd5 variant and a prophage TLC [12].

In this study, PFGE analysis was able to support epidemiological data in describing how a V. cholerae O1 isolate was imported to South Africa from India. This isolate was not related to those from the recent outbreaks of cholera in South Africa (Figure). We have previously used the PulseNet database to track a typhoid fever outbreak across two continents [14] as it highlights the value of initiating and supporting standardized methods in developing countries. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a $ctxB-7/tcpET^{CIRS}$ isolate recovered in South Africa. This report illustrates what many previous studies have alluded to [11,15,16]: with the advent of modern transportation and subsequent global travel, such V. cholerae O1 El Tor variants have the means to spread rapidly and with relative ease. Mobilome characterization data allowed us to describe and track the source of all three isolates. The sole mobilome profile obtained in this study matched the same profile (profile B) as the reference isolate, V. cholerae O1 strain CIRS101 (ctxB- $1/tcpET^{CIRS}$), isolated in Dhaka, Bangladesh in 2002 [11,12]. This strain is currently considered to be the most successful variant, as isolates belonging to this type have almost replaced the prototype El Tor in Asia and many parts of Africa, particularly in East Africa [17].Global networks, such as PulseNet, and standardized strategies for characterizing pathogenic isolates are invaluable to the understanding of how enteric pathogens travel globally as well as for identifying and managing potential outbreaks of diseases such as cholera.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank staff from the Division of Surveillance, Outbreak Response, Travel Health, and FELTP for their assistance. A special thank you goes to Thandavarayan Ramamurthy of the National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases, India, for assisting with the comparison of our *V. cholerae* PFGE fingerprint pattern against their database of patterns.

References

- 1. Steffen R, Acar J, Walker E, Zuckerman J (2003) Cholera: assessing the risk to travellers and identifying methods of protection. Travel Med Infect Dis 1: 80-88.
- 2. Sack DA, Sack RB, Chaignat CL (2006) Getting serious about cholera. N Engl J Med 355: 649-651.
- 3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2010) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; eighteenth informational supplement, M100-S20 29.
- Ng LK, Sawatzky P, Galas M, Rodrigues Ddos, Heitmann I, Fernandez S, Bolmström A (2003) Can Etest be used to determine *Vibrio cholerae* susceptibility to erythromycin? Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47: 1479-1480.
- Keasler SP and Hall RH (1993) Detecting and biotyping Vibrio cholerae O1 with multiplex polymerase chain reaction. Lancet 341: 1661.
- 6. Koskela KA, Matero P, Blatny JM, Fykse EM, Olsen JS, Nuotio LO, Nikkari S (2009) A multiplatform real-time polymerase chain reaction detection assay for *Vibrio cholerae*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 65: 339-344.
- Cooper KL, Luey CK, Bird M, Terajima J, Nair GB, Kam KM, Arakawa E, Safa A, Cheung DT, Law CP, Watanabe H, Kubota K, Swaminathan B, Ribot EM (2006) Development and validation of a PulseNet standardized pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocol for subtyping of *Vibrio cholerae*. Foodborne Pathog Dis 3: 51-58.
- 8. WHO (2010) Cholera, 2009. Weekly epidemiological record 85: 293-308.
- Archer BN, Cengimbo A, De Jong GM, Keddy KH, Smith AM, Sooka A, Ntshoe G, Blumberg L (2009) Cholera outbreak in South Africa: Preliminary descriptive epidemiology on laboratory-confirmed cases, 15 November 2008 to April 2009. National Institute for Communicable Disease Bulletin 7: 3-8.
- Ismail H, Smith AM, Sooka A, Keddy KH (2011) Genetic characterization of multidrug-resistant, extended spectrum βlactamase-producing *Vibrio cholerae* O1 outbreak strains, Mpumalanga, South Africa, 2008. J Clin Microbiol 49: 2976-2979.
- Talkington D, Bopp C, Tarr C, Parsons MB, Dahourou G, Freeman M, Joyce K, Turnsek M, Garrett N, Humphrys M, Gomez G, Stroika S, Boncy J, Ochieng B, Oundo J, Klena J, Smith A, Keddy K, Gerner-Smidt P (2011) Characterization of toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* from Haiti, 2010-2011. Emerg Infect Dis 17: 2122-2129.
- 12. Spagnoletti M, Ceccarelli D, Colombo MM (2012) Rapid detection by multiplex PCR of Genomic Islands, prophages and Integrative Conjugative Elements in *V. cholerae* 7th pandemic variants. J Microbiol Methods 88: 98-102.

- 13. Safa A, Nair GB, Kong RY (2010) Evolution of new variants of *Vibrio cholerae* O1. Trends Microbiol 18: 46-54.
- 14. Smith AM, Keddy KH, Ismail H, Thomas J, van der Gryp R, Manamela MJ, Huma M, Sooka A, Theobald LK, Mennen MA, O'Reilly LC (2011) International collaboration tracks typhoid fever cases over two continents from South Africa to Australia. J Med Microbiol 60: 1405-1407.
- Gilmour MW, Martel-Laferriere V, Levesque S, Gaudreau C, Bekal S, Nadon C, Bourgault AM (2011) *Vibrio cholerae* in Traveler from Haiti to Canada. Emerg Infect Dis 17: 1124-1125.
- 16. Hendriksen RS, Price LB, Schupp JM, Gillece JD, Kaas RS, Engelthaler DM, Bortolaia V, Pearson T, Waters AE, Upadhyay BP, Shrestha SD, Adhikari S, Shakya G, Keim PS, Aarestrup FM (2011) Population genetics of *Vibrio cholerae* from Nepal in 2010: evidence on the origin of the Haitian outbreak. MBio 2: e00157-11.
- Grim CJ, Hasan NA, Taviani E, Haley B, Chun J, Brettin TS, Bruce DC, Detter JC, Han CS, Chertkov O, Challacombe J, Huq A, Nair GB, Colwell RR (2010) Genome sequence of hybrid *Vibrio cholerae* O1 MJ-1236, B-33, and CIRS101 and comparative genomics with *V. cholerae*. J Bacteriol 192: 3524-3533.

Corresponding author

Husna Ismail Centre for Enteric Diseases National Institute for Communicable Diseases National Health Laboratory Service Private Bag X4, Sandringham, 2131 Johannesburg, South Africa Telephone: +27-11-555-0426; Fax: +27-11-555-0433 Email: husnai@nicd.ac.za

Conflict of interests: No conflict of interests is declared.