Case Report

Bloodstream infection with *Oligella ureolytica* in a newborn infant: a case report and review of the literature

Tulin Demir¹, Nuran Celenk²

¹ Department of Microbiology, Ahi Evran University, Research and Training Hospital, Kirsehir, Turkey
² Department of Pediatrics, Ahi Evran University, Research and Training Hospital, Kirsehir, Turkey

Abstract

*Oligella* species are small, Gram-negative, nonsaccharolytic aerobic rods or coccobacilli that are catalase and oxidase-positive, mostly isolated from the urinary tract and rarely from wounds, bloodstream infections, septic arthritis, or peritonitis. In this article, we report a case of *O. ureolytica*-related bloodstream infection in a newborn infant and we review the literature for previously reported cases of *Oligella* infections.
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Case Report

A 24 hour-old female, full term infant, (2,800 g weight, spontaneous vaginal delivery) was admitted to Pediatrics Intensive Care Unit ward of Ahi Evran University, Training and Research Hospital, Kirsehir, with the symptoms of poor feeding, jaundice and fever with presumptive diagnosis of sepsis. Respiratory, cardiovascular and abdominal examination were unremarkable but weakness in newborn reflexes and icterus were observed. Fetal membrane was intact up to two hours before labor and no premature membrane rupture or any signs of chorioamnionitis such as maternal fever or uterine tenderness were detected. Amniotic fluid was clear. Fetal heartbeat monitoring with intermittent auscultation was performed during labor and constant variation in the heart rate was detected indicating a sign of well-being of the baby. There was no need for resuscitation and the baby was breastfed after delivery. Histopathological examination of the fetal membranes were not performed for the diagnosis of intrauterin infections and chorioamnionitis. Maternal medical history was unremarkable.

On admission, acute phase reactants, total cell count, urine culture and two sets of blood cultures were sent to the microbiological laboratory. Platelet, white blood cell count (20.4% neutrophiles and 68.3% lymphocytes) and hemoglobin level were normal. Low levels of RBC (3.38×10⁶/ml), hematocrite (35.8%) and high levels of MCV, MCH, MCHC and PDW were observed. Serum electrolyte levels were normal. Minimal decrease in serum albumin and glucose and increase in bilirubin was detected. CRP was 2.9 mg/dL. Chest radiography and urinalysis were unremarkable and the urine culture was sterile. Following two days of incubation of blood culture, small, smooth, nonhemolytic colonies of 1 mm in diameter on blood agar were detected in two blood culture samples. Microscopy revealed small Gram-negative coccobacilli. The organism was oxidase-positive, catalase-positive and nonfermentative. Positive test results were obtained for nitrate reduction, urea hydrolysis and motility and it was identified as *Oligella ureolytica* by VITEK-2 Compact automated system (bioMerieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France).

The infant was given an empiric therapy including ampicillin (100mg/kg/day) and netilmicin (5mg/kg/day) on the day of admission. As there is no standardized antimicrobial susceptibility testing for *Oligella* spp. recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), cut-off values of Gram-negative bacteria other than *Enterobacteriaceae* on CLSI [1] were used for interpretation. The organism was susceptible to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, gentamicin, cefuroxime,
Oligella species are small, Gram-negative bacilli able to grow on blood agar in two to four days. Incubation in the presence of 5% CO₂ will usually enhances growth. The urease reaction and motility are the distinctive features for species differentiation [2]. *O. ureolytica*, is an urease positive, motile bacterium capable to reduce nitrate to nitrite in contrast to *O. urethralis* [2-4].

Oligella spp. infection has rarely been reported in the literature. This may be due to misidentification of the organism or uncertainty of its pathogenicity. Due to the positive urease reaction, *O. ureolytica* can be confused with *B. bronchiseptica* or *Achromobacter* spp [2]. A search of the literature published since 1978 on PubMed revealed nine case reports. Table 1 shows the clinical features of cases reported [4-11]. Although, *O. ureolytica* is more frequently recovered from urethral and respiratory tract specimens as a commensal organism [4,5], it is commonly isolated from urine of patients with indwelling urinary catheters [5,6]. *O. urethralis* is occasionally isolated from urinary tract infections, septic artritis, peritonitis and it is also a commensal of the genitourinary tract. Additionally, isolations from ear, blood and wounds have been reported [6-8]. Both species have been reported to cause urosepsis [2,4,10].

In this article, we report a case of *O. ureolytica* related bacteremia. To our knowledge, this is the first reported case of *O. ureolytica*-related bacteremia in a newborn infant. In the previous reports, most of the patients were adults with underlying diseases in contrast to our case. Urinary tract obstruction is a predisposing factor for bacteremia [10]. In our case, urine culture was sterile and therefore, there was no clinical or laboratory evidence to suggest any abnormality of the urinary tract thus the mechanism of infection remains unclear.

In contrast to *O. urethralis* strains which are susceptible to several classes of antibiotics including penicillin, *O. ureolytica* strains are generally resistant to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, erythromycin, penicillin G, tetracycline and co-trimoxazole, but susceptible to aminoglycosides and cephalosporins [2-4]. *O. ureolytica* strains highly resistant to penicillins, cephalosporins, carbapenems, ciprofloxacin and co-trimoxazole were also reported [3-5,8], in contrast to the studies displaying susceptibility to co-trimoxazole [6,11]. In this study, our strain had intermediate resistance to ampicillin and was resistant to co-trimoxazole. Although *in vitro* resistance to ampicillin was detected, good response and recovery was achieved with ampicillin and netilmicin after a ten-day course treatment. Although *in vitro* susceptibility testing may yield conflicting results, studies have shown that co-trimoxazole, imipenem and aminoglycosides have good *in vivo* activity against these organisms, indicating that Oligella spp related infections appear to respond quickly to antimicrobials [4,10]. In our patient, following therapy with ampicillin and netilmicin, blood culture became sterile, suggesting eradication of *O. ureolytica*. In this study strain identification was performed by an automated identification system and biochemical tests.

Table 1. Clinical features of reported cases of Oligella infections, 1978-2012 (Source: PubMed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Underlying conditions</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>O. ureolytica</em></td>
<td>Blood, urine</td>
<td>Uterine adenocarcinoma, obstructive uropathy</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>83</td>
<td><em>O. urethralis</em></td>
<td>Knee fluid</td>
<td>Rectal adenoca, septic artritis</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>75</td>
<td><em>O. urethralis</em></td>
<td>Blood, urine</td>
<td>Metastatic colorectal ca, obstructive uropathy</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td><em>O. ureolytica</em></td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>AIDS, sacral ulcer, fungemia</td>
<td>Responded, died secondary to fungemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td><em>O. ureolytica</em></td>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td><em>O. urethralis</em></td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>Bladder operation, histerektomi, chronic pycelonephritis</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18 mo</td>
<td><em>O. ureolytica</em></td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Pneumoniae</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>Newborn</td>
<td><em>O. ureolytica</em></td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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It is clear that the major limitation of this study was the lack of molecular based confirmation of the strain. In conclusion, this case has emphasized the potential role of *Oligella ureolytica* as an infectious agent related with bacteremia.
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