

Letter to the Editor

Mutant prevention concentration of tigecycline for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates with four different resistance statuses

Xue Zhong^{1,2}, Hong-Tao Xu³, Dong-Ke Chen³, Xin Hu², Gang Cheng¹

¹ Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Shenyang Pharmaceutical University, Shenyang Liaoning, China

² Department of Pharmacy, Beijing Hospital, Beijing, China

³ Department of Clinical Laboratory, Beijing Hospital, Beijing, China

Key words: tigecycline; *Klebsiella pneumoniae*; mutant prevention concentration.

J Infect Dev Ctries 2015; 9(10):1177-1179. doi:10.3855/jidc.7156

(Received 18 May 2015 – Accepted 09 July 2015)

Copyright © 2015 Zhong *et al.* This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dear Editor,

Klebsiella pneumoniae (*K. pneumoniae*) is one of the most important causes of healthcare-associated infections, especially among hospitalized patients [1]. Since most clinical isolates of *K. pneumoniae* are resistant to fluoroquinolones and carbapenems, clinicians have to use tigecycline as the last resort. Unfortunately, the prevalence of tigecycline-resistant *K. pneumoniae* seems to be increasing [2,3]. The potential development of resistance to tigecycline during treatment is of concern. In this study, in order to determine whether tigecycline restricts the selective enrichment of resistant mutant subpopulations of *K. pneumoniae*, we measured the mutant prevention concentrations (MPCs) of tigecycline for 91 *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates with four different resistance statuses, and assessed their propensity of developing resistance to tigecycline.

The study

Ninety one *K. pneumoniae* isolates were collected from Beijing Hospital, China. The isolates were divided into four groups according to their resistance statuses: (1) thirty-two isolates were carbapenem-resistant (resistant to either meropenem, imipenem or ertapenem) and fluoroquinolone-resistant (resistant to either ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin); (2) twenty-nine isolates were carbapenem- and fluoroquinolone-susceptible; (3) twenty-two isolates were carbapenem-susceptible and fluoroquinolone-resistant; (4) eight isolates were carbapenem-resistant and

fluoroquinolone-susceptible. *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC BAA-2146 were used as the quality control strain and were included in each susceptibility test.

The minimum inhibition concentrations (MICs) were determined by the method of agar plate assays according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines [4]. The MICs for the strains were interpreted in accordance with FDA guidelines for tigecycline, MIC ≤ 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ and ≥ 8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ were classified as susceptible and resistant, respectively [5,6]. MPCs for *K. pneumoniae* of the four groups were performed with a previously described procedure with modifications [7]. Briefly, each isolate was cultured on the Mueller-Hinton (MH) agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, then transferred to 500 mL of MH and incubated for another 24 hours. The suspension was centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 minutes. Bacteria were re-suspended with 3 mL fresh MH broth to a concentration about 10^{10} c.f.u. mL^{-1} . Aliquots of 0.1 mL of the suspension were plated respectively onto a series of agar plates containing various concentrations of tigecycline. After incubation at 37°C for 72 hours, the bacterial colonies were counted. The MPC was defined as the lowest drug concentration at which the growth of *K. pneumoniae* on agar plate was completely inhibited. Correlations between MICs and MPCs were analysed using SPSS software, version 18.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Table 1 shows the distribution of MICs and MPCs of tigecycline for the 91 *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates. Using the resistant breakpoint of tigecycline (8 µg/mL), 13 tigecycline-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates were obtained, and the tigecycline-resistance rate was 14.3% (13/91). MPC₉₀ and MPC range for the four groups' strains are shown in table 2. The MPCs of tigecycline with 91 *K. pneumoniae* isolates were 2- to 256-fold higher than the susceptibility breakpoint (2 µg/mL). Furthermore, the MPCs of tigecycline for the cabapenem- and fluoroquinolone-resistant isolates were found to be 8-fold higher than those for cabapenem and quinolones-susceptible isolates. However, there was no significant difference of the MPC values between cabapenem-resistant and quinolones-susceptible and cabapenem-susceptible and quinolones-resistant isolates. As shown in table 1, the MPCs for the isolates ranged over 6 2-fold

dilutions even with the same MIC values. In addition, low correlations between MPCs and MICs were observed for all the 91 *K. pneumoniae* isolates ($r^2 = 0.53$), or the 13 tigecycline-resistant isolates ($r^2 = 0.32$), as well as the 78 tigecycline-susceptible isolates ($r^2 = 0.29$), which is consistent with previous reports. [8]

Conte JE *et al.*[9] reported that the maximum concentration of drug in serum (C_{max}) and in alveolar cells was $0.38 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ and $15.2 \pm 7.6 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$, respectively, after intravenous injection administration of the recommended dosage of 50 mg tigecycline every 12 hours. Our data showed that MPC₉₀ values of tigecycline were 128 mg ml^{-1} and 32 mg ml^{-1} for cabapenem and quinolones-resistant and cabapenem and quinolones-susceptible *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates, respectively, which were much higher than the tigecycline concentrations in serum and lung tissue.

Table 1. Distribution of MICs and MPCs of tigecycline for the *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates.

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs), µg/ml	Mutant prevention concentrations (MPCs), µg/ml									N
	0.25-2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	
0.25				1						1
0.5		2	10	3	2					17
1		3	9	15	5					32
2		2	3	8	3	1	1			18
4				3	2	4	1			10
8				1	1	1	2			5
16					1	2				3
32				1			1	2	1	5
N		7	22	32	14	8	5	2	1	91

Table 2. Comparison of the MICs before and after mutant prevention concentration and MPCs of *K. pneumoniae* isolates with four different resistance statuses.

Antimicrobial Background (N)	Before mutant prevention concentration			After mutant prevention concentration			MPC range	MPC ₉₀
	MIC range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀		
All (N=91)	0.25-32	1	8	2-128	8	16	4-512	64
TGC-R (N=13)	8-32	16	32	4-128	16	128	16-512	256
TGC-S (N=78)	0.25-4	1	4	2-32	2	16	4-128	32
Carbapenems (R)								
Quinolones (R) (N=32)	0.5-32	1	32	2-128	8	16	4-512	128
Carbapenems (S)								
Quinolones (S) (N=29)	0.25-8	1	4	2-32	8	16	4-64	32
Carbapenems (R)								
Quinolones (S) (N=8)	0.5-4	1	16	2-16	8	16	8-128	128
Carbapenems (S)								
Quinolones (R) (N=22)	0.5-16	1	16	2-32	16	16	4-128	64

TGC-R: tigecycline-resistance; TGC-S: tigecycline-susceptible; MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration; MPC: mutant prevention concentration; MIC₅₀: Drug concentration inhibiting 50% of the isolates tested; MIC₉₀ and MPC₉₀: Drug concentration inhibiting 90% of the isolates tested.

Therefore, at the recommended dosage, the tigecycline concentrations would fall into the mutant selective window (drug concentration range between MIC and MPC), and likely to lead to the enrichment of resistant mutant subpopulations. Our result are in agreement with a recent study from Korea, by Myung-Jin Choi *et al.* [10]; they reported that the MICs and MPCs of tigecycline for *K. pneumoniae* isolates ranged between 0.5-1 mg/L and 4-16 mg/L, respectively, suggesting that the current clinical dosage regimen may lead to the development of tigecycline-resistant mutants.

The following limitations of our study should be considered. First, all of the studied isolates were recovered from a single hospital, which might increase the biases of our results. Second, Myung-Jin Choi *et al.* [10] found that up-regulation of the efflux pumps was associated with tigecycline resistance. The efflux pump expression levels were not tested in the single-step mutants in our study. Further studies are warranted to validate the relationship between efflux pump expression and tigecycline resistance.

Conclusions

Our data indicate that tigecycline therapy may be prone to the emergence of resistance with *K. pneumoniae*. Therefore, the continuous monitoring of *K. pneumoniae* susceptibility and patients responsiveness to tigecycline treatment is recommended.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by The Significant Creation of New Drugs of the Ministry of National Science & Technology's the Twelfth Five-Year Plan—Research Project on the Clinical Evaluation Technology Platform of Cardio-cerebrovascular Disease (2012ZX09303-008-002).

References

1. Petrosillo N, Giannella M, Lewis R, Viale P (2013) Treatment of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: the state of the art. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 11: 159-177.
2. Sun Y, Cai Y, Liu X, Bai N, Liang B, Wang R (2013) The emergence of clinical resistance to tigecycline. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 41:110-116.
3. Zhong X, Xu H, Chen D, Zhou H, Hu X, Cheng G (2014) First emergence of acrAB and oqxAB mediated tigecycline resistance in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* pre-dating the use of tigecycline in a Chinese hospital. *PLoS One* 9: e115185.
4. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2014) Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fourth Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S24. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2014.
5. Anthony KB, Fishman NO, Linkin DR, Gasink LB, Edelstein PH, Lautenbach E (2008) Clinical and microbiological outcomes of serious infections with multidrug-resistant gram-negative organisms treated with tigecycline. *Clin Infect Dis* 46: 567-570.
6. Schafer JJ, Goff DA, Stevenson KB, Mangino JE (2007) Early experience with tigecycline for ventilator-associated pneumonia and bacteremia caused by multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Pharmacotherapy* 27: 980-987.
7. Blondeau JM, Zhao X, Hansen G, Drlica K (2001) Mutant prevention concentrations of fluoroquinolones for clinical isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45: 433-438.
8. Drlica K, Zhao X, Blondeau JM, Hesje C (2006) Low correlation between MIC and mutant prevention concentration. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 50:403-404.
9. Conte JE Jr., Golden JA, Kelly MG, Zurlinden E (2005) Steady-state serum and intrapulmonary pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of tigecycline. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 25: 523-529.
10. Choi MJ, Peck KR, Ko KS (2015) Mutant prevention concentration of tigecycline for *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolates. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 70: 621-622.

Corresponding author

Xin Hu, Department of Pharmacy, Beijing Hospital, No1, Dahua Road, Dongdan Street 100730 Beijing, China
Phone: (8610) 85133636
Email: huxinbjyy@hotmail.com

Conflict of interests: No conflict of interests is declared.