

Emerging Problems in Infectious Diseases

Epidemiological characteristics and antimicrobial susceptibility among carbapenem-resistant non-fermenting bacteria in Brazil

Vanessa Cordeiro Dias^{1,2}, Cláudio Galuppo Diniz¹, Ana Cláudia de Oliveira Peter², André Netto Bastos², Victor Quinnet de Andrade Bastos², Lucas Quinnet de Andrade Bastos², Vânia Lúcia da Silva¹

¹ Department of Parasitology, Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Juiz de Fora, Juiz de Fora, Minas Gerais, Brazil

² Cortes Villela Clinical Laboratory, Juiz de Fora, Minas Gerais, Brazil

Abstract

Introduction: Non-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* are widespread in the environment and are increasingly associated with nosocomial infections. Extensive and indiscriminate use of antibiotics in hospitals has contributed to an increased number of infections caused by these microorganisms, that are resistant to a wide variety of antimicrobials, including β -lactams. This study aimed to isolate and identify carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. and *P. aeruginosa* from hospitalized patients, to determine their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns and to screen for *bla*_{OXA-23}, *bla*_{OXA-24}, *bla*_{OXA-51}, *bla*_{OXA-58}, and *bla*_{OXA-143} genes among the isolated bacteria.

Methodology: Antimicrobial resistance patterns were performed using the disk-diffusion method. Genetic markers related to carbapenem resistance were screened by polymerase chain reaction.

Results: Carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. (n = 44) and *P. aeruginosa* (n = 28) samples were isolated from patients admitted to a tertiary hospital. Polymyxin B was the only effective drug for all isolates. Considering the oxacillinase gene screening, genetic markers were observed only in *Acinetobacter* isolates. The most frequent genotype observed was *bla*_{OXA-23}⁺/*bla*_{OXA-51}⁺ (45.5%), followed by *bla*_{OXA-51}⁺/*bla*_{OXA-143}⁺ (41%). The oxacillinase genes *bla*_{OXA-24} and *bla*_{OXA-58} were not detected. High mortality rates (> 70%) were observed.

Conclusions: The data suggest the need for rational use of antimicrobials associated with early diagnosis of multidrug-resistant bacteria, especially considering non-fermenting Gram-negative rods, which are widespread in hospitals. The findings of *bla*_{OXA-51}⁻ strains suggest the occurrence and spread of non-*A. baumannii* species throughout our hospitals. Effective implementation of surveillance programs in hospitals is needed to reduce infectious and resistant intra- and inter-species bacteria.

Key words: *Pseudomonas*; *Acinetobacter*; carbapenem-resistant bacteria.

J Infect Dev Ctries 2016; 10(6):544-553. doi:10.3855/jidc.6640

(Received 25 January 2015 – Accepted 09 September 2015)

Copyright © 2016 Dias *et al.* This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Non-fermenting Gram-negative (NFGN) bacteria are widely distributed in the environment and are highly associated with severe opportunistic nosocomial infections, mainly in immunocompromised patients [1,2]. NFGN are resistant to most available antimicrobial drugs, mainly due to their ability to acquire resistance genes through horizontal transfer, but also due to their ability to persist in the open environment for long periods on nosocomial surfaces [3].

The clinical relevance of multidrug-resistant NFGN-associated infections is characterized by their opportunistic nature and their laborious and costly treatment and management, along with their high morbidity and mortality rates [4]. These infections are frequently found in intensive-care patients with

pneumonia symptoms associated with mechanical ventilation, bacteremia introduced by central venous catheter, or urinary tract infections [5].

NFGN bacteria may display different mechanisms of intrinsic and acquired resistance to a large number of antimicrobials routinely employed in medical therapy, such as penicillins, cephalosporins, aminoglycosides, and fluoroquinolones. Carbapenems are still the drugs of choice to treat infections associated with the drug-resistant NFGN bacteria [2,6]. Nevertheless, carbapenem resistance among *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* has emerged and become widespread, demanding increased efforts to treat infection with chemotherapy, using polymyxin B and tigecycline, the last therapeutic option [5,7,8].

Among the NFGN bacteria, *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* are the main drug-resistant groups

associated with nosocomial infections and are well known for their ability to express several drug-resistant mechanisms, such as, production of β -lactamases and altered surface porins and efflux pumps. β -lactamases production constitutes the major NFGN resistance mechanisms from the epidemiological viewpoint, including production of serine and metallo- β -lactamases, which is related to carbapenem resistance [9].

Serine- β -lactamases (such as class D β -lactamases) are also known as oxacillinases or OXA-type β -lactamases, and display a variable hydrolytic activity against the β -lactam drugs. So far, 121 different variants of oxacillinases have been described, 45 of which have hydrolytic activity on carbapenems [10]. Of these, *bla*_{OXA} genes are important genetic and epidemiological markers that confirm identification of carbapenem-resistant NFGN bacteria. OXA-23, a clavulanic acid-resistant oxacillinase, is a plasmid-coded enzyme, which hydrolyzes broad-spectrum cephalosporins, aztreonam, and feebly hydrolyzes imipenem and meropenem [11]. OXA-24 is associated with bacterial resistance against third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins as well as carbapenems. In most cases, these microorganisms are multidrug resistant and sensitive to polymyxin B and colistin [12-15]. OXA-51 is a chromosomally coded oxacillinase present in the *Acinetobacter baumannii* species with a distinct hydrolytic action on carbapenems, *i.e.*, slow imipenem hydrolysis and non-meropenem inactivation [16,17]. OXA-58 was first described in 2003 in *A. baumannii*, and rapidly spread to the other countries [18-24]. This enzyme is associated with resistance against carbapenems, third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins, and monobactams [25]. OXA-143 is still little studied and restricted to Brazil. It was identified in a clinical isolate of multidrug-resistant *A. baumannii* [26-29]. β -lactamase OXA-143 hydrolyzes penicillins and carbapenems but does not significantly hydrolyze expanded-spectrum cephalosporins, as observed with other carbapenem-hydrolyzing class D- β -lactamase [26].

Knowledge on the occurrence, distribution, and antimicrobial susceptibility of oxacillinase-producing bacteria may be highly relevant to help prevent a misuse of antimicrobial agents and guide suitable therapy. Thus, this study was focused on the epidemiological characteristics, antimicrobial susceptibility patterns, and genetic screening for oxacillinase genes in carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* spp. isolated from patients admitted in a

Brazilian tertiary hospital between January and December of 2012.

Methodology

Study design, patients, and bacteria collection

This was a cross-sectional study based on routinely collected data from patients admitted to a Brazilian tertiary hospital in Juiz de Fora, Minas Gerais, between 1 January and 31 December 2012. None of the sampled patients were diagnosed with infectious diseases at the time of admission. The hospital is a 120-bed private institution, which includes general and specialized surgical units; adult, infant and neonatal intensive care units; a nursery unit; a coronary care unit; male, female and infant wards; outpatient care; and a diagnostic center that includes clinical analysis and pathological anatomy laboratories. The ethics committee of the Federal University of Juiz de Fora (certificate no. 346/2011) approved this study. Bacterial samples isolated from clinical specimens were sent to the hospital's clinical pathology laboratory (mainly urine, blood, tracheal secretion, and catheter tip cultures) in accordance with the guidelines described by the Brazilian Health Surveillance Agency [30].

After initial bacteria were isolated from monomicrobial cultures, all the presumptively identified NFGN rods were identified using the Vitek 2 System (BioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France); *Acinetobacter* spp. and *P. aeruginosa* were selected for further studies, as described below. Patients' demographic and clinical characteristics were recovered from corresponding medical records.

Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns

Antimicrobial susceptibility assays were performed on Mueller-Hinton agar (BD-Difco, São Paulo, Brazil), using the disk-diffusion method. Growth inhibition zones were interpreted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [31] with the exception of tigecycline [32].

Antimicrobial disks of amikacin (30 μ g), gentamicin (10 μ g), ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), polymyxin B (300 U), piperacillin-tazobactam (100/10 μ g), ceftazidime (30 μ g), cefepime (30 μ g), meropenem (10 μ g), and imipenem (10 μ g) were tested against *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* spp. Additional disks of sulphazotrim (25 μ g), tetracycline (30 μ g), and ampicillin-sulbactam (10/10 μ g) were tested against *Acinetobacter* spp., and an additional disk of aztreonam (30 μ g) was tested against *P. aeruginosa*. All the antimicrobial disks were of commercial grade (Laborclin Ltda, Paraná, Brazil). *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa ATCC 27853, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 35218, and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 were used for quality control.

Screening of *bla_{OXA}* genes among the carbapenem-resistant bacteria

For the isolated imipenem- and meropenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. and *P. aeruginosa* strains, polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were carried out targeting the *bla_{OXA-23}*, *bla_{OXA-24}*, *bla_{OXA-51}*, *bla_{OXA-58}*, and *bla_{OXA-143}* genes coding for oxacillinase enzymes. The genetic screening was performed using primers and conditions previously described [26,33].

Briefly, bacterial genomic DNA were extracted from 1 mL of overnight cultures in tryptic soy broth (BD-Difco, São Paulo, Brazil) using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega Corporation, Wisconsin, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA extracts were quantified using NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and stored at -20°C, to be used as templates in PCRs. The primer sequence, amplicon size, and amplification conditions are described in Table 1. All the PCR experiments were performed in duplicate. The expected amplicons were visualized in 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. The 1 kb DNA ladder was used as molecular weight standard (Life Technologies, California, USA). Positive controls for PCR reactions were carried out by sequencing randomly selected amplicons comprising 10% of the total reactions. The PCR products were sequenced in an ABI Prism 3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, California, USA).

Patient chart review

The medical records of the hospital-admitted patients from whose samples carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* spp. were isolated were reviewed. The following demographic and clinical data

were retrieved: age, sex, clinical specimens for microbiological culture, patient admission unit, and mortality attributable to the infection by carbapenem-resistant NFGN isolates.

Results

Over the sampled period, NFGN bacteria were isolated from 183 hospital-admitted patients, from samples that included urine, blood, tracheal secretion, and catheter tip cultures (49 non-replicated isolates of *Acinetobacter* spp. and 134 isolates of *P. aeruginosa*). Of the isolated bacteria, 44 samples of *Acinetobacter* spp. and 28 samples of *P. aeruginosa* were carbapenem resistant, representative of 72 patients, distributed as follows, according to their admission unit: intensive care unit (n = 42, 58.4%), male and female wards (n = 17, 23.6%), coronary care unit (n = 12, 16.6%), and neonatal intensive care unit (n = 1, 1.4%).

The sociodemographic data, clinical specimens, and the mortality associated with the colonization and infection by carbapenem-resistant NFGN isolates are presented in Table 2. Considering the *Acinetobacter*-associated patients, the mean patient age was 69.6 years old (ranging between 11 days old and 96 years old); 21 (47.7%) bacterial strains were isolated from female patients, while 23 (52.3%) strains were isolated from males. *Pseudomonas*-associated patients had a mean age of 71.7 years (ranging from 30 to 92 years); 20 (71.5%) bacterial strains were isolated from female patients and 8 (28.5%) were isolated from males. Overall, the main clinical specimen for microbiological culture was tracheal secretion followed by urine and catheter tip. Unfortunately, the patients' mortality rate was high, accounting for 77.2% of the cases associated with *Acinetobacter* colonization and infections and 78.5% of the cases associated with *Pseudomonas* colonization and infections.

Table 1. Primers used, expected amplicons, and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) conditions.

| Target | Primer sequence (5'-3') | Amplicon size (bp) | PCR conditions | References |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|------------|
| <i>bla_{OXA-23}</i> | F-5'-GAT CGG ATT GGA GAA CCA GA-3' R-5'-ATT TCT GAC CGC ATT TCC AT-3' | 501 | | 26 |
| <i>bla_{OXA-24}</i> | F-5'-GGT TAG TTG GCC CCC TTA AA-3' R-5'-AGT TGA GCG AAA AGG GGA TT-3' | 246 | | 26 |
| <i>bla_{OXA-51}</i> | F-5'-TAA TGC TTT GAT CGG CCT TG-3' R-5'-TGG ATT GCA CTT CAT CTT GG-3' | 353 | 95°C, 5 min; 30x (95°C, 1 min; 52°C, 1 min; 72°C, 1 min); 72°C, 10 min | 26 |
| <i>bla_{OXA-58}</i> | F-5'-AAG TAT TGG GGC TTG TGC TG-3' R-5'-CCC CTC TGC GCT CTA CAT AC-3' | 599 | | 26 |
| <i>bla_{OXA-143}</i> | F-5'-TGG CAC TTT CAG CAG TTC CT-3' R-5'-TAA TCT TGA GGG GGC CAA CC-3' | 149 | | 33 |

Table 2. Patients' sociodemographic and clinical data related to carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates in a tertiary hospital between January and December of 2012.

| Epidemiological parameters | Carbapenem-resistant bacteria | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. (n = 44) | <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (n = 28) |
| Gender | | |
| Male | 23 (52.3%) | 8 (28.5%) |
| Female | 21 (47.7%) | 20 (71.5%) |
| Age | | |
| 0–20 years | 1 (2.2%) | 0 (0%) |
| 21–40 years | 3 (6.8%) | 2 (7.1%) |
| 41–60 years | 6 (13.6%) | 3 (10.7%) |
| > 61 years | 34 (77.4%) | 23 (82.1%) |
| Clinical specimens | | |
| Urine | 5 (9.2%) | 13 (31.7%) |
| Blood | 5 (9.2%) | 2 (4.8%) |
| Tracheal secretion | 34 (63.2%) | 17 (41.6%) |
| Catheter tip | 7 (13%) | 6 (14.6%) |
| Bronchoalveolar lavage | 1 (1.8%) | 0 (0%) |
| Ulcer | 1 (1.8%) | 2 (4.8%) |
| Abdominal secretion | 1 (1.8%) | 0 (0%) |
| Orthopedic implants | 0 (0%) | 1 (2.5%) |
| Patient admission unit | | |
| Male and female ward | 6 (13.6%) | 11 (39.3%) |
| Intensive care unit | 30 (68.2%) | 12 (42.9%) |
| Coronary care unit | 7 (16.0%) | 5 (17.8%) |
| Neonatal intensive care unit | 1 (2.2%) | 0 (0%) |
| Patient evolution | | |
| Discharge | 10 (22.8%) | 6 (21.5%) |
| Death | 34 (77.2%) | 22 (78.5%) |

Table 3. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of the carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated in a tertiary hospital between January and December of 2012.

| Antimicrobials | <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. (n = 44) | | <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (n = 28) | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | R (%) | S (%) | R (%) | S (%) |
| Imipenem | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 |
| Meropenem | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 |
| Ampicillin-sulbactam* | 83.4 | 16.6 | - | - |
| Piperacillin-tazobactam | 100.0 | 0 | 44.0 | 56.0 |
| Ceftazidime | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 |
| Cefepime | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 |
| Aztreonam* | - | - | 90.2 | 9.8 |
| Amikacin | 77.8 | 22.2 | 63.4 | 36.6 |
| Gentamicin | 87.1 | 12.9 | 19.5 | 80.5 |
| Tetracycline* | 55.6 | 44.4 | - | - |
| Sulphazotrim* | 55.6 | 44.4 | - | - |
| Ciprofloxacin | 100.0 | 0 | 90.2 | 9.8 |
| Tigecycline* | 0 | 100.0 | - | - |
| Polymyxin B | 0 | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 |

*Antimicrobial drugs not tested against both bacterial species; R: resistance including intermediate resistance; S: sensitivity

Table 4. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* recovered from patients in a tertiary hospital between January and December of 2012.

| Bacteria | Resistance phenotype | Genotype (<i>bla</i> _{OXA} type genes) | Sampling | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | Unit (n; %) | Months (n) |
| <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. (n = 44) | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, TS | OXA-23 | ICU (1; 2.2) | NOV |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, TS, TE | OXA-23 | ICU (1; 2.2) | NOV |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, GM, CP, PT, AB, TS, TE | OXA-23 | ICU (1; 2.2) | DEC |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB, TS, TE | OXA-23 | MWAR (1; 2.2) | DEC |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB, TS, TE | OXA-51 | ICU (1; 2.2) | FEB |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, CP, PT, AB | OXA-23, OXA-51 | MWAR (1; 2.2) | APR |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, CP, PT, AB | OXA-23, OXA-51 | ICU (1; 2.2) | NOV |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, GM, CP, PT, AB | OXA-23, OXA-51 | ICU (1; 2.2) | APR |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, GM, CP, PT, TS | OXA-23, OXA-51 | ICU (1; 2.2) | DEC |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, TS | OXA-23, OXA-51 | ICU (2; 4.54) | JUN (1) AUG (1) |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB | OXA-23, OXA-51 | ICU (2; 4.54) | FEB (1) APR (1) |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, CP, PT, AB, TS | OXA-23, OXA-51 | CCU (1; 2.2) | MAR |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, CP, PT, AB, TS, TE | OXA-23, OXA-51 | CCU (1; 2.2) | JUN |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, CP, PT, AB, TS, TE | OXA-23, OXA-51 | MWAR (1; 2.2) | MAR |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, TS, TE | OXA-23, OXA-51 | NICU (1; 2.2) | SEP |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB, TS | OXA-23, OXA-51 | MWAR (1; 2.2) | APR APR (1) MAY (1) |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB, TS | OXA-23, OXA-51 | ICU (5; 11.36) | JUL (1) SEP (1) OCT (1) |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB, TS, TE | OXA-23, OXA-51 | CCU (2; 4.54) | MAR (2) |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, CP, PT | OXA-51, OXA-143 | ICU (1; 2.2) | DEC |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, CP, PT, AB | OXA-51, OXA-143 | CCU (1; 2.2) | OCT |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, CP, PT, AB | OXA-51, OXA-143 | ICU (1; 2.2) | OCT |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB | OXA-51, OXA-143 | FWAR (1; 2.2) | JUL |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB | OXA-51, OXA-143 | CCU (2; 4.54) | JUL (2) JUL (4) |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB | OXA-51, OXA-143 | ICU (9; 20.45) | AUG (1) SEP (2) OCT (2) |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB, TE | OXA-51, OXA-143 | FWAR (1; 2.2) | NOV |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB, TS | OXA-51, OXA-143 | ICU (1; 2.2) | APR |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB, TS, TE | OXA-51, OXA-143 | ICU (1; 2.2) | OCT |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AB | OXA-23, OXA-51, OXA-143 | ICU (1; 2.2) | AUG |

Table 4 (continued). Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* recovered from patients in a tertiary hospital between January and December of 2012.

| Bacteria | Resistance phenotype | Genotype (<i>bla</i> _{OXA} type genes) | Sampling | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------|
| | | | Unit (n; %) | Months (n) |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (n = 28) | MP, IP, TZ, PM | nd* | ICU (1; 3.57) | MAY |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AT | nd | FWAR (1; 3.57) | MAY |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, CP, AT | nd | FWAR (1; 3.57) | AUG |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, GM, CP | nd | ICU (1; 3.57) | JUN |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, PT, AT | nd | ICU (1; 3.57) | APR |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, CP, PT, AT | nd | MWAR (1; 3.57) | SEP |
| | | | FWAR (1; 3.57) | OCT |
| | | | | APR (1) |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP | nd | FWAR (3; 10.71) | MAY (1) |
| | | | | AUG (1) |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP | nd | ICU (1; 3.57) | APR |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, AT | nd | FWAR (1; 3.57) | FEB |
| | | | MWAR (1; 3.57) | OCT |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, AT | nd | CCU (3; 10.71) | MAY (1) |
| | | | | JUL (2) |
| | | | | JUL (1) |
| | MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, AT | nd | ICU (3; 10.71) | OCT (1) |
| | | | | NOV (1) |
| MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT | nd | ICU (1; 3.57) | JAN | |
| MP, IP, TZ, PM, GM, CP, PT, AT | nd | ICU (2; 7.14) | JAN (1) | |
| | | | SEP (1) | |
| MP, IP, TZ, PM, GM, CP, PT, AT | nd | FWAR (2; 7.14) | JUL (1) | |
| | | | OCT (1) | |
| MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AT | nd | CCU (2; 7.14) | MAY (1) | |
| | | | AUG (1) | |
| MP, IP, TZ, PM, AK, GM, CP, PT, AT | nd | ICU (2; 7.14) | JUL (1) | |
| | | | OCT (1) | |

*Oxacillinase-type genes (*bla*_{OXA}) not detected. MP: meropenem; IP: imipenem; TZ: ceftazidime; PM: cefepime; AK: amikacin; GM: gentamicin; CP: ciprofloxacin; PT: piperacillin-tazobactam; AB: ampicillin-sulbactam; TE: tetracycline; TS: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; AT: aztreonam; FWAR: female ward; MWAR: male ward; ICU: intensive care unit; CCI: coronary care unit; NICU: neonatal intensive care unit.

All the studied bacterial samples were resistant to ceftazidime, cefepime, imipenem, and meropenem. With regard to the *Acinetobacter* strains, resistance was also observed against ciprofloxacin (100%), piperacillin-tazobactam (100%), gentamicin (87.1%), ampicillin-sulbactam (83.4%), amikacin (77.8%), tetracycline (55.6%), and sulphazotrim (55.6%). As for *Pseudomonas* strains, resistance was also observed against ciprofloxacin (90.2%), aztreonam (90.2%), amikacin (63.4%), piperacillin-tazobactam (34%), and gentamicin (19.5%). Resistance to tigecycline and polymyxin B was not observed in *Acinetobacter*, and *Pseudomonas* did not appear to be resistant to polymyxin B (Table 3).

At least one of the genetic markers related to oxacillinases *bla*_{OXA-23}, *bla*_{OXA-51}, or *bla*_{OXA-143} was detected in all *Acinetobacter* strains recovered in this study. The genotype *bla*_{OXA-23}⁺/*bla*_{OXA-51}⁺ was the most frequently observed (45.5%), followed by *bla*_{OXA-51}⁺/*bla*_{OXA-43}⁺, observed in 41% of the bacterial strains. The genotype *bla*_{OXA-23}⁺ was observed in 9% of the isolated bacteria. The genetic markers *bla*_{OXA-24} and *bla*_{OXA-58} were not observed in any of the *Acinetobacter* samples. With regard to the *P. aeruginosa* isolates, none of the genetic markers were observed (Table 4).

Discussion

As long as antimicrobial resistance against carbapenems in nosocomial strains of *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas* is being reported worldwide, monitoring the drug susceptibility patterns in healthcare-associated bacteria is extremely important to guide the clinical management and chemotherapy of NFGN infections [2,7,8]. In this regard, appropriate laboratory handling of clinical specimens and microbiological procedures is also necessary. In this study, imipenem and meropenem susceptibility were considered for characterizing carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas*. Although there is a phenotypic screening method widely performed (Hodge test), according to the CLSI guidelines, this is only recommended for carbapenem-resistance screening among enterobacteria [31].

According to the literature, colonization and infection by multidrug-resistant bacteria, especially *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter*, is an important and predictive factor for intra-hospital patient death, mainly of immunocompromised individuals [14,34,35]. Our results corroborate data in the literature; high mortality was observed among patients from whom multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* spp. were isolated.

The mean age of patients harboring *Acinetobacter* spp. strains was 69.6 years and the gender distribution was 47.7% female, whereas for patients harboring *Pseudomonas*, the mean age was 77.1 years and 71.5% were female. Similar age range and gender associated with carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* was previously reported [14], as was a similar patient age range in cases of *Pseudomonas* isolation [35]. Our data may reflect the actual scenario of patients admitted to the evaluated tertiary hospital with high risks of NFGN colonization and infection [34].

Tracheal aspirate was the main clinical specimen associated with multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas*, followed by catheter, blood, and urine for *Acinetobacter* isolation and urine for *Pseudomonas*. So far, in Brazil, blood and catheter are among the most frequent clinical specimens associated with carbapenem-resistant NFGN bacteria isolation, followed by respiratory tract secretions, and urine [6,36].

With regard to the antimicrobial susceptibility patterns observed for the isolated carbapenem-resistant NFGN bacteria, a recent study on imipenem-resistant *A. baumannii* in hospital samples showed a result similar to that found in our study, *i.e.*, 100% resistance to ciprofloxacin and piperacillin-tazobactam [37]. Data from a national study carried out by others also corroborate these findings [38]. However, these data revealed a high frequency of isolates that were sensitive to tetracycline (approximately 85%), which were different from our results (44.4%). As long as therapeutic options for treating multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. strains are quite limited, our results support the effectiveness of polymyxin B and tigecycline [37-39].

Considering *P. aeruginosa*, as the isolation of carbapenem-resistant strains has been growing increasingly in Brazilian hospitals, literature data corroborate our findings that resistance was observed against piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftazidime, cefepime, aztreonam, and ciprofloxacin, but no resistance was observed against polymyxin B [6,8,34,37]. In this context, our results may support, on a regional scale, the reports by the SENTRY program, which pointed out polymyxin B as an alternative drug against multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* [40].

All isolates found in the present study were characterized as *A. baumannii* by using the automatized method Vitek 2 Compact. However, this method is not able to distinguish the *Acinetobacter baumannii* species from the remaining bacteria such as *A. pittii*, *A. nosocomialis*, and *A. calcoaceticus* [17]. Facing

methodological limitations, the *Acinetobacter* strains were referred as *Acinetobacter* spp. According to the literature, as long as *bla*_{OXA-51} is an intrinsic gene to *A. baumannii*, its screening would be of interest as an additional tool to confirm the species identification. *bla*_{OXA-51} is associated with low expression levels, but hyper expression may occur by the insertion of other genetic elements and also be associated with porin permeability alterations and efflux pumps expression [17]. In this study, although we would suggest that *bla*_{OXA-51}⁻ strains are not *A. baumannii*, additional molecular evaluation should be performed to confirm these *Acinetobacter* spp. identifications.

The oxacillinase gene *bla*_{OXA-23} has been detected all over the world and has also been pointed out as the predominant carbapenemase among *Acinetobacter* species in some geographic regions such as Europe, Australia, Singapore, the Americas, Asia, and Africa. This suggests it has already been widely disseminated [41]. In Brazil, *Acinetobacter* strains harboring *bla*_{OXA-23} have been reported in Porto Alegre (RS), Rio de Janeiro and Niterói (RJ), Belo Horizonte (MG) and São Paulo (SP) [14,37,42-45]. In this study, the data may support the OXA-23 epidemiology in Brazil, as 61.1% of the *Acinetobacter* strains were *bla*_{OXA-23}⁺.

As observed in other Brazilian hospitals, OXA-143 was also observed in this study. In this country, *bla*_{OXA-143} has been reported in frequencies ranging between 8.4% and 76% [29,46,47]. With regard to OXA-24 and OXA-58, although all *Acinetobacter* strains in this study were *bla*_{OXA-24}⁻ and *bla*_{OXA-58}⁻, international literature suggests these oxacillinases are well spread among *A. baumannii* clinical isolates [13-15,28].

In this study, the results may suggest that oxacillinase-type carbapenemases were not associated with the *P. aeruginosa* isolates, since no *bla*_{OXA}⁺ strains were observed. According to the literature, in the absence of enzymes with carbapenem hydrolytic activity such as oxacillinases, carbapenem resistance in NFGN bacteria may be associated with several other mechanisms, which include synthesis of metallo-β-lactamases, increased expression of chromosome-encoded AmpC, reduced outer-membrane porin expression, and efflux systems overexpression [48-50].

Conclusions

Despite empirical chemotherapy not being routinely prescribed to carbapenem-resistant NFGN rod-associated infections, regional data is highly important to monitor bacteria epidemiology and infectious spread into hospitals and the community. It

is important to enforce a rational use of antimicrobials together with effective practices of microbial and environmental control, including correct identification of bacterial strains that are resistant to several classes of antimicrobials. Although additional methods were not employed to confirm the species identification of *Acinetobacter* isolates, the findings of *bla*_{OXA-51} strains may suggest that non-*A. baumannii* species are emerging as opportunistic, multidrug-resistant bacteria, currently resistant to carbapenem drugs in Brazilian hospitals.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Postgraduate Program in Biological Sciences – PGCIBIO/UFJF, Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais – FAPEMIG, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico – CNPq, and Fundação Ezequiel Dias (FUNED) for their scientific support.

References

- Mesaros N, Nordmann P, Plésiat P, Roussel-Delvallez MR, Van Eldere J, Glupczynski Y, Van Laethem Y, Jacobs F, Lebecque P, Malfroot A, Tulkens PM, Van Bambeke F (2007) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: resistance and therapeutic options at the turn of the millennium. Clin Microbiol Infect 13: 560-578.
- Perez F, Hujer AM, Hujer KM, Decker BK, Rather PN, Bonomo RA (2007) Global challenge of multi-drug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51: 3471-3484.
- Paterson DL (2006) The epidemiological profile of infections with multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* species. Clin Infect Dis 43 Suppl 2: 43-48.
- Furtado GH, Martins ST, Machado AM, Wey SB, Medeiros SA (2006) Clinical culture surveillance of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* species in a teaching hospital in São Paulo, Brazil: a 7-year study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 27: 1270-1273.
- Rice LB (2006) Challenges in identifying new antimicrobial agents effective for treating infections with *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Clin Infect Dis 43 Suppl 2: 100-105.
- Scheffer MC, Bazzo ML, Steindel M, Darini AL, Clímaco E, Dalla-Costa LM (2010) Intra-hospital spread of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a University Hospital in Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 43: 367-371.
- Adams-Haduch JM, Paterson DL, Sidjabat HE, Pasculle AW, Potoski BA, Muto CA, Harrison LH, Doi Y (2008) Genetic basis of multidrug resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii* clinical isolates at a tertiary medical center in Pennsylvania. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52: 3837-3843.
- Amudhan MS, Sekar U, Kamalanathan A, Balaraman S (2012) *bla*_{IMP} and *bla*_{VIM} mediated carbapenem resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* species in India. J Infect Dev Ctries 6: 757-762. doi:10.3855/jidc.2268.

9. Bonomo RA, Szabo D (2006) Mechanism of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter* species and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Clin Infect Dis 43 Suppl 2: 49-56.
10. Rasmussen JW, Hoiby N (2006) OXA-types carbapenemases. J Antimicrob Chemother 57: 373-383.
11. Nordmann P, Poirel L (2002) Emerging carbapenemases in Gram negative aerobes. Clin Microbiol Infect 8: 321-331.
12. Lu PL, Doumith M, Livermore DM, Chen TP, Woodford N (2009) Diversity of carbapenem resistance mechanisms in *Acinetobacter baumannii* from a Taiwan hospital: spread of plasmid-borne OXA-72 carbapenemase. J Antimicrob Chemother 63: 641-647.
13. Morovat T, Bahram F, Mohammad E, Setareh S, Mehdi FM (2009) Distribution of different carbapenem resistant clones of *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Tehran Hospitals. New Microbiol 32: 265-271.
14. Perez F, Endimiani A, Ray AJ, Decker BK, Wallace CJ, Hujer KM, Ecker DJ, Adams MD, Toltzis P, Dul MJ, Windau A, Bajaksouzian S, Jacobs MR, Salata RA, Bonomo RA (2010) Carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* across a hospital system: impact of post acute care facilities on dissemination. J Antimicrob Chemother 65: 1807-1818.
15. Nowak P, Paluchowska P, Budak A (2012) Distribution of *bla*OXA genes among carbapenem resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* nosocomial strains in Poland. New Microbiol 35: 317-325.
16. Poirel L, Nordmann P (2006) Carbapenem resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii*: mechanisms and epidemiology. Clin Microbiol Infect 12: 826-836.
17. Turton JF, Woodford N, Glover J, Yarde S, Kaufmann ME, Pitt TL (2005) Identification of *Acinetobacter baumannii* by detection of *bla*OXA-51-like carbapenemase gene intrinsic to this species. J Clin Microbiol 44: 2974-2976.
18. Poirel L, Marqué S, Héritier C, Segonds C, Chabanon G, Nordmann P (2005) OXA-58, a novel class D {beta}-lactamase involved in resistance to carbapenems in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49: 202-208.
19. Peleg AY, Franklin C, Walters LJ, Bell JM, Spelman DW (2006) OXA-58 and IMP-4 Carbapenem-Hydrolyzing β -lactamases in an *Acinetobacter junii* blood culture isolate from Australia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50: 399-400.
20. Coelho J, Woodford N, Afzal-Shah M, Livermore D (2006) Occurrence of OXA-58-like carbapenemases in *Acinetobacter* spp. collected over 10 years in three continents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50: 756-758.
21. Merkier AK, Catalano M, Ramírez MS, Quiroga C, Orman B, Ratier L, Famiglietti A, Vay C, Di Martino A, Kaufman S, Centrón D (2008) Polyclonal spread of *bla*(OXA-23) and *bla*(OXA-58) in *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolates from Argentina. J Infect Dev Ctries 2: 235-240. doi:10.3855/jidc.269.
22. Liakopoulos A, Miriagou V, Katsifas EA, Karagouni AD, Daikos GL, Tzouveleki LS, Petinaki E (2012) Identification of OXA-23 producing *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Greece, 2010-2011. Euro Surveill 17: 5.
23. Zhang JP, Zhu W, Tian SF, Chu YZ, Chen BY (2010) Molecular characteristics and resistant mechanisms of imipenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolates in Shenyang, China. J Microbiol 48: 689-694.
24. Carretto E, Barbarini D, Dijkshoorn L, van der Reijden TJ, Brisse S, Passet V, Farina C; APSI *Acinetobacter* Study Group (2011) Widespread carbapenem resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* clones in Italian hospitals revealed by a multicenter study. Infect Genet Evol 11: 1319-1326.
25. Poirel L, Naas T, Nordmann P (2010) Diversity, epidemiology and genetics of class D beta-lactamases. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54: 24-38.
26. Higgins PG, Poirel L, Lehmann M, Nordman P, Seifert H (2009) OXA-143, a novel carbapenem-hydrolyzing class D β -lactamase in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53: 5035-5038.
27. Medeiros M, Lincopan N (2013) Oxacillinase (OXA) producing *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Brazil: clinical and environmental impact and therapeutic options. Braz J Pathol Lab Med 49: 391-405.
28. Townner KJ, Evans B, Villa B, Levi K, Hamouda A, Amyes SG, Carattoli A (2011) Distribution of intrinsic plasmid replicase genes and their association with carbapenem hydrolyzing class D β -lactamase genes in European clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55: 2154-2159.
29. Mostachio AK, Levin AS, Rizek C, Rossi F, Zerbini J, Costa SF (2012) High prevalence of OXA-143 and alteration of outer membrane proteins in carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates in Brazil. Int J Antimicrob Agents 39: 396-401.
30. ANVISA – National Health Surveillance Agency (2004) Clinical Microbiology Manual for Infection Control in Health Services. 1st ed. Available: <http://www.anvisa.gov.br/servicosauade/microbiologia/introducao.pdf>. Accessed on June 27, 2015.
31. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2012) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 22th information supplement. M100-S22. Wayne, PA: CLSI.
32. Jones RN, Ferraro MJ, Reller B, Schreckenberger PC, Swenson JM, Sader HS (2007) Multicenter studies of tigecycline disk diffusion susceptibility results for *Acinetobacter* spp. J Clin Microbiol 45: 227-230.
33. Woodford N, Ellington MJ, Coelho JM, Turton JF, Ward M, Brown S, Amyes S, Livermore DM (2006) Multiplex PCR for genes encoding prevalent OXA carbapenemases in *Acinetobacter* spp. Int J Antimicrob Agents 27: 351-353.
34. Hammami S, Boutiba-Ben Boubaker I, Ghazzi R, Saidani M, Amine S, Ben-Redjeb S (2011) Nosocomial outbreak of imipenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* producing VIM-2 metallo- β -lactamase in a kidney transplantation unit. Diag Pathol 6: 106.
35. Peña C, Suarez C, Tubau F, Dominguez A, Sora M, Pujol M, Gudiol F, Ariza J (2009) Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: factors influencing multidrug-resistant acquisition in non-critically ill patients. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 28: 519-522.
36. Mendes C, Oplustil C, Sakagami E, Turner P, Kiffer C; MYSTIC Brazil Group (2005) Antimicrobial susceptibility in intensive care units: MYSTIC Program Brazil 2002. Braz J Infect Dis 9: 44-51.
37. John S, Balagurunathan R (2011) Metallo- β -lactamase producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Indian J Med Microbiol 29: 302-304.
38. Correa LL, Botelho LA, Barbosa LC, Mattos CS, Carballido JM, Castro CL, Mondino PJ, de Paula GR, de Mondino SS, de Mendonça-Souza CR (2012) Detection of *bla*OXA-23 in *Acinetobacter* spp. isolated from patients of a university hospital. Braz J Infect Dis 16: 521-526.

39. Robledo IE, Aquino EE, Santé ML, Santana JL, Otero DM, León CF, Vazquez GJ (2010) Detection of KPC in *Acinetobacter* spp. in Puerto Rico. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 54: 1354-1357.
40. Gales AC, Jones RN, Sader HS (2006) Global assessment of the antimicrobial activity of polymyxin B against 54,731 clinical isolates of Gram negative bacilli: report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2001-2004). *Clin Microbiol Infect* 12: 315-321.
41. Kempf M, Rolain JM (2012) Emergence of resistance to carbapenems in *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Europe: clinical impact and therapeutic options. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 39: 105-114.
42. Carvalho KR, Carvalho-Assef AP, Peirano G, Santos LC, Pereira MJ, Asensi MD (2009) Dissemination of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* genotypes carrying *bla*OXA-23 collected from hospitals in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 34: 25-28.
43. Martins AF, Kuchenbecker R, Sukiennik T, Boff R, Reiter KC, Lutz L, Machado AB, Barth AL (2009) Carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* producing the OXA-23 enzyme: dissemination in southern Brazil. *Infection* 37: 474-476.
44. Martins AF, Kuchenbecker RS, Pilger KO, Pagano M, Barth AL; CMCIES-PMPA/SMS Task Force (2012) High endemic levels of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* among hospitals in southern Brazil. *Am J Infect Control* 40: 108-112.
45. Furtado GH, Cavalcante AC, Medeiros EA, Gales AC, Oliveira VG, Girardo R (2011) Bloodstream infections with OXA-23 producing *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolates in a university affiliated hospital in Brazil: epidemiology and clinical outcomes. *Am J Infect Control* 39: 706-708.
46. Werneck JS, Picão RC, Girardello R, Cayô R, Margutti V, Dalla-Costa L, Gales AC, Antonio CS, Neves PR, Medeiros M, Mamizuka EM, Elmor de Araújo MR, Lincopan N (2011) Low prevalence of *bla*OXA-143 in private hospitals in Brazil. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 55: 4494-4495.
47. Antonio CS, Neves PR, Medeiros M, Mamizuka EM, Elmor de Araújo MR, Lincopan N (2011) High prevalence of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* carrying the *bla*OXA-143 gene in Brazilian hospitals. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 55: 1322-1323.
48. Rodriguez-Martínez JM, Poirel L, Nordman P (2009) Molecular Epidemiology and mechanism of carbapenem resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 53: 4783-4788.
49. Tomás M, Doumith M, Warner M, Turton JF, Beceiro A, Bou G, Livermore DM, Woodford N (2010) Efflux pumps, OprD Porin, AmpC β -Lactamase and multiresistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from cystic fibrosis patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 54: 2219-2224.
50. Xavier DE, Picão RC, Girardello R, Fehlberg LC, Gales AC (2010) Efflux pumps expression and its association with porin down regulation and β -lactamase production among *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* causing bloodstream infections in Brazil. *BMC Microbiol* 10: 217.

Corresponding author

Professor Vânia Silva, PhD.
Department of Parasitology, Microbiology and Immunology
Institute of Biological Sciences - Federal University of Juiz de Fora, Minas Gerais, Brazil
Tel: 55-32-21023213
Email: vania.silva@ufjf.edu.br

Conflict of interests: No conflict of interests is declared.