## **Original Article**

# Seroprevalence of hepatitis B surface antigen and associated risk factors among pregnant women

Sirin Cetin<sup>1</sup>, Meryem Cetin<sup>2</sup>, Ebru Turhan<sup>3</sup>, Kenan Dolapcioglu<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ondokuzmayis University, Samsun, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Mustafa Kemal University, Antakya, Turkey

<sup>3</sup> Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Katip Celebi University, Izmir, Turkey

<sup>4</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Mustafa Kemal University, Antakya, Turkey

#### Abstract

Introduction: Hepatitis B infection is a serious global public health problem. The aim of the study was to assess the seroprevalance of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), as well as the risk factors associated with hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection among pregnant women attending antenatal care clinics of the University Hospital in Antioch, Turkey.

Methodology: This descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out between May 2016 and December 2016. The Chi-squared was utilized to estimate the statistical significance of the association between socio-demographic variables and HBsAg status. The results were generated as proportions odds ratio (OR) with their 95% confidence intervals (Cl) and calculated by using both univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis.

Results: The seroprevalence of HBsAg was found to be 2.1%. A significant association was observed between age and HBsAg seropositivity (p = 0.027). History of blood transfusion (AOR = 9.51, 95% CI = 1.92-46.80, p = 0.006), history of hepatitis (AOR = 11.13, 95% CI = 2.02-61.28, p = 0.006), tattooing (AOR = 13.64, 95% CI = 2.52-73.76, p = 0.002) and a history of household/close contact (AOR = 11.10, 95% CI = 1.56-78.65, p = 0.016) were significantly associated with the risk of HBV infection.

Conclusions: Data regarding the seroprevalence of HBsAg and risk factors associated with HBV infection in pregnant women plays a crucial role in evaluating the effectiveness of the public health protection policies and the strategies to control the disease.

Key words: hepatitis B virus; pregnant women; risk factor.

J Infect Dev Ctries 2018; 12(10):904-909. doi:10.3855/jidc.10018

(Received 06 December 2017 - Accepted 05 September 2018)

Copyright © 2018 Cetin *et al.* This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### Introduction

Infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV) is one of the world's serious public health problems [1,2]. HBV is an enveloped DNA virus classified within the family *Hepadnaviridae* [3] which infects the liver and causes hepatocellular necrosis and liver inflammation [4]. HBV infection is just about 100 times more contagious than HIV [5,6] and comes as the ninth cause of death on the global scale [7]. Around 240 million people are estimated to be chronically infected with HBV and overall, about 650,000 deaths occur annually from the complications of chronic hepatitis B, worldwide [4].

HBV can be transmitted by transfusion of blood, infected blood and blood products, urine, semen, sweat, saliva, tears, breast milk, vaginal secretions and pathological effusions [8,9]. The virus can be transmitted perinatally from HBV-infected mother to the newborn [9]. Perinatal transmission is the most common mode of HBV infection transmission [10] and responsible for approximately one third of chronic HBV infections [11]. Pregnant women who are positive for HBsAg have nearly 10-40% risk of transmitting HBV infection to their infants. If pregnant women are seropositive in terms of both HBsAg and HBeAg, the ratio of the risk transmitting infection increases to 70-90% to their neonates [12,13]. Perinatal transmission of HBV many times causes to serious long-term sequelae [14].

The prevalence of HBV infection and routes of transmission vary in different parts of the world. The World Health Organisation (WHO) has classified HBV prevalence into three patterns: high endemicity (>%8), intermediate (2-7%) and low endemicity (< 2%) [15]. The aim of the present study was to assess the prevalence of HBV infection and risk factors associated with hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection among pregnant women attending antenatal care.

### Methodology

This cross-sectional study was carried out in antenatal clinics of the University Hospital in Antioch between May 2016 and December 2016. After obtaining informed consent from a total of 475 pregnant women, socio-demographic characteristics and data on possible risk factors for HBV infection were collected through an interview with participants using a structured questionnaire which included some information of the participants like socio-demographic characteristics and the possible risk factors (age, level of education, residential location, occupation, income, parity status, gestational age, ever been operated upon, abortion, dental extraction, blood transfusion, history of hepatitis, tattooing and history of household/close contact) for HBV infection in the present study.

The presence of hepatitis B surface antigens (HBsAg) in serum was detected using Chemiluminescent Immunoassay (CHIMA) technology according to the manufacturer's instructions (ARCHITECT HBsAg Qualitative II, Abbott, Sligo, Ireland).

## Data analysis

The data were coded, entered and analyzed using SPSS version 21.0. The mean and proportion of sociodemographic characteristics and data on possible risk factors were estimated for the HBsAg seropositive individuals. The Chi-squared test was used to determine the association between HBsAg seropositivity and socio-demographic characteristics. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed using seropositivity for HBsAg. All of the variables having with p-value  $\leq 0.25$  in the univariate analysis were calculated by multivariate logistic regression analysis. Results were presented as proportions odds ratio (OR) with their 95% confidence intervals (CI). While socio-demographic characteristics and possible risk factors were taken as independent variables, the HBsAg seropositivity was taken as dependent variable. Results were evaluated as statistically significant at p value < 0.05.

## Ethic considerations

Ethics approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Medical School of Mustafa Kemal University (2015/80), Antioch, Turkey.

## Results

The mean age of the total study group (475 pregnant women) was 27.11 (SD  $\pm$  5.57) years which were between 16 and 45. The socio-demographic characteristics of the participants, regarding age, education level, residence, income, occupation parity status and gestational age are shown in Table 1.

 Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the pregnant women study participants.

Characteristics		Number $(n = 475)$	Percent (%)
	16-25	204	42.9
Age group (years)	26-35	234	49.3
	36-45	$\begin{array}{c} 204 \\ 234 \\ 37 \\ 123 \\ 110 \\ 120 \\ 122 \\ 118 \\ 176 \\ 181 \\ 353 \\ 39 \\ 29 \\ 20 \\ 34 \\ 114 \\ 195 \\ 166 \\ 207 \\ 268 \\ 152 \\ \end{array}$	7.8
	Illiterate	123	25.9
ducation level	Primary school	110	23.2
Education level	Secondary school	$\begin{array}{c} 204 \\ 234 \\ 37 \\ 123 \\ 110 \\ 120 \\ 122 \\ 118 \\ 176 \\ 181 \\ 353 \\ 39 \\ 29 \\ 20 \\ 34 \\ 114 \\ 195 \\ 166 \\ 207 \\ 268 \\ 152 \\ 171 \\ \end{array}$	25.3
	High school		25.7
	Village	118	24.8
Residential location	Country town	176	37.1
	City center	181	38.1
	Housewife	353	74.3
	Civil servant	39	8.2
Occupation	Self - employment	29	6.1
	Health professional	20	4.2
	Student	34	42.9 49.3 7.8 25.9 23.2 25.3 25.7 24.8 37.1 38.1 74.3 8.2 6.1
	Low income	114	24
income	Middle income	195	41.1
	High income	166	34.9
		207	43.6
Parity status	Multigravidas	207 268	56.4
Gestational age	First trimester	152	32
	Second trimester	171	36
	Third trimester	152	32

		HBsAg negative		HBsAg positive			
Variables		(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	Chi-squared	p-value
Age group (years)	16-25	200	98.0	4	2.0	7.25	0.027
	26-35	231	98.7	3	1.3		
	36-45	34	91.9	3	8.1		
	Illiterate	122	99.2	1	0.8		0.155
Education level	Primary school	105	95.5	5	4.5	5.24	
	Secondary school	117	97.5	3	2.5		
	High school	121	99.2	1	0.8		
Residential location	Village	116	98.3	2	1.7	2.42	0.297
	Country town	170	96.6	6	3.4		
	City center	179	98.9	2	1.1		
Occupation	Housewife	346	98.0	7	2.0		
	Civil servant	39	100	0	0.0		
	Self - employment	27	93.1	2	6.9	4.64	0.326
	Health professional	20	100	0	0.0		
	Student	33	97.1	1	2.9		
Income	Low income	109	95.6	5	4.4		
	Middle income	192	98.5	3	1.5	3.83	0.147
	High income	164	98.8	2	1.2		
Gestational	First trimester	149	98.0	3	2.0		
Age	Second trimester	167	97.7	4	2.3	0.07	0.965
	Third trimester	149	98.0	3	2.0	0.07	

Table 2. The association between seroprevalence of HBsAg and socio- demographic variables of pregnant women study participants.

Table 3. Crude and adjusted correlates of HBsAg among the pregnant women study participants.

	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
Variable	COR	95% CI	p value	AOR	95% CI	p value
Ever been operated upon						
No	1					
Yes	2.07	0.42-10.04	0.364			
Abortion						
No	1					
Yes	1.96	0.54-7.06	0.304			
Dental extraction						
No	1					
Yes	2.81	0.81-9.87	0.107	1.16	0.26-5.08	0.838
Blood transfusion						
No	1					
Yes	11.29	2.68-47.51	0.001	9.51	1.92-46.80	0.006
History of hepatitis						
No	1					
Yes	14.90	3.45-64.20	< 0.0001	11.13	2.02-61.28	0.006
Tattooing						
No	1					
Yes	10.06	2.41-41.96	0.002	13.64	2.52-73.76	0.002
History of house hold/ close contact						
No	1					
Yes	10.31	1.96-54.31	0.006	11.10	1.56-78.65	0.016

The sero-positivity of HBsAg was detected in 10 (2.1%) among the 475 pregnant women enrolled in the present study. The highest prevalence of HBsAg ratio (8.1%) was found in the age category of 36-46 years. The results showed that age categories were significantly associated with HBsAg seropositivity (p = 0.027). Regarding the gestation age, the prevalence of HBsAg was 2% in both the first trimester and the third trimester. In the second trimester, this ratio was 2.3% in our study. On the other hand, the prevalence of HBsAg and gestation age, showed no statistical significance. The statistical significance of association between socio-demographic variables and HBsAg status was estimated via Chi-squared test (Table 2).

Firstly, univariate analysis was conducted to find the realtionship between HBV infection and risk factors in the present study. History of blood transfusion (COR = 11.29, 95% CI = 2.68-47.51, p = 0.001), history of hepatitis (COR = 14.90, 95% CI = 3.45-64.20, p < 0.0001), tattooing (COR = 10.06, 95% CI = 2.41-41.96, p = 0.002) and history of household/close contact (COR = 10.31, 95% CI = 1.96-54.31, p = 0.006) were found statistically significant for HBV infection. The other risk factors (ever been operated, abortion, dental extraction) were not significantly assotiated with HBV infection in our study. In the present study, participants having history of blood transfusion were 11.29 times more likely to develop HBV infection than (COR = 11.29, 95% CI = 2.68-47.51) those who did not have history of blood transfusion. Similarly, participants who had history of tattooing were 10.06 times more likely to develop HBV infection than (COR = 10.06, 95% CI = 2.41-41.96) their counterparts. Participants having history of household/close contact were 10.31 times more likely to have HBV infection (COR = 10.31, 95%CI = 1.96-54.31) than those had no history of household/close contact. Having history of hepatitis were 14.90 times more likely to develop HBV infection (COR = 14.90, 95% CI = 3.45-64.20) than their couterparts.

The results of the variables of p-value  $\leq 0.25$  in univariate analysis were analysed in multivariate analysis. According to multivariate analysis, risk factors for history of blood transfusion (AOR = 9.51, 95% CI = 1.92-46.80,p = 0.006), history of hepatitis (AOR = 11.13, 95% CI = 2.02-61.28,p = 0.006), tattooing (AOR = 13.64, 95% CI = 2.52-73.76,p = 0.002) and history of household/close contact (AOR = 11.10, 95% CI = 1.56-78.65,p = 0.016) were also recorded statistically significant for HBV infection in participants. Crude and adjusted correlates of HBsAg among the pregnant women study participants are presented in Table 3.

#### Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the seroprevalence of HBsAg and potential risk factors assotiated with HBV infection among pregnant women living at Antioch in Southern Turkey. The study show that the seroprevalence of HBsAg among pregnant women was 2.1% in our study. According to the classification of the WHO, the seroprevalence of HBsAg among pregnant women in this study could be classified as moderate endemicity (2-7%) [16].

The prevalence of HBsAg in our study is alike in the studies reported in Libya 1.5%, in Algeria 1.6% [17], in Mexico 1.65% [18], in Amritsar (India) 1.19% [19], in Isfahan (Iran) (1.56%) [20] and in Bulgaria 2.26% [21]. However, the prevalence of HBsAg in the present study is higher than the studies reported in Lorestan West of Iran (0.7%) [22], in India (0.9%) [23], in Punjab (Northern India) (1.1%) [24], and in Peshawar, Pakistan (1.16%) [25]. On the other hand, higher prevalance rates were reported in Bahir Dar (Northwest of Ethiopia) (3.8%) [26], in Mayotte Indian Ocean (France) (3.4%) [27], in Southwestern Nigeria (7.1%) [28], in Cameroon (9.7%) [29], in Eastern Ethiopia (6.9%) [30], in Southern Ethiopia (7.8%) [31], in Bahir Dar (Northwest Ethiopia) once again (4.4%) [32], and in Sana'a Yemen (10.8%) [33]. These discrepancies in ratio of prevalance may be attributed to geographical variations, varieties in socio-cultural practices, sexual behaviorals, medical exposure, differences in the diagnostic test methods.

Participant age was significantly associated with HBsAg seropositivity (p = 0.027). Likewise, Lao *et al.* [34] have reported a positive correlation between age and prevalence (p = 0.006) in Hong Kong. While a significant association was recorded between age and HBsAg seropositivity in the some studies [18,34], in the others [26,29,31,33,35-37] no significant difference have reported between age and HBsAg seropositivity. In the present study, the highest seroprevalance of HBsAg was among the age group 36-45 years. Similar results were obtained in Southwestern Nigeria [28] and Northwest Ethiopia [32]. Opayele et al. [28] and Molla et al. [32] found the highest age range as the age group 40-44 in Nigeria and Bahir Dar (Ethiopia) respectively. Desalegn et al. [35] found 30-35 years as the highest age range in Addis Ababa (Ethiopia).

In the present study, educational status, residential location, income, occupations of participants and period

of gestation were not significantly associated with the risk of HBV infection.

In this sudy according to multivariate analysis, history of blood transfusion, history of hepatitis, tattooing and history of house hold contact were statistically associated with HBV infection among pregnant women. We reported that pregnant women who had history of blood transfusion were 9.51 (AOR = 9.51, 95% Cl = 1.92-46.80) times more likely to be under risk of HBV infection (p = 0.006). This might be due to the insufficient infection prevention strategies, hence, blood transfusion safety should be improved. Similar findings were reported in Bahir Dar city, Northwest Ethiopia (AOR = 3.70, about 3.70 times increased risk of HBV) [26] and in Far North Region of Cameron (AOR 12.59, p = 0.021) [38].

Pregnant women who had history of hepatitis were also 11.13 times more likely to be under risk of HBV infection (AOR = 11.13, 95% CI = 2.02-61.28) than their counterparts. Likewise, El-Karaksy et al. [36] found similar results; meaning (OR = 3.89, p < 0.05), as well. Pregnant women who had tattooing were 13.64 (AOR = 13.64,95%Cl = 2.52-73.76) times more likely to have HBV infection (p = 0.002) than their counterparts. This finding correlates with that obtained from in Bahir Dar, Ethiopia (AOR = 5.74, 5.7 times increased risk of HBV) [26]; and in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia (p = 0.033, AOR = 7.7 times increased risk HBsAg positivity) [35]. Moreover, pregnant women who had history of household/close contact were 11.10 (AOR = 11.10,95% Cl = 1.56-78.65) times more likely to develop HBV infection (p = 0.016). This finding of the study was supported by a study conducted in Northwest Ethiopia (AOR = 5.475, 5.45 times increased risk of HBV, p = 0.011) [32]. This might be due to sharing personal items between those who had history of HBV at home.

## Conclusions

In the present study, prevalence of HBV infection among pregnant women were found 2.1%. Turkey is an intermediate endemic country (2-8%) in terms of HBV infection [15,39]. Risk factors such as history of blood transfusion, history of hepatitis, tattooing and history of household/close contact were significantly associated with HBV infection among pregnant women. The limitation of the study is excluding the markers such as anti-HBs and anti-HBc, anti-HBe antibodies and HBV viral load. Moreover, it is also a cross-sectional study giving a limited range of data. In the future, such studies should be carried out by using other serological markers, molecular tests, larger samples, control group of non-pregnant women, and community-based not hospital-based in the area studied. It could be advised that well-designed health education programs on the routes of transmission of the HBV and increasing individual and public awareness may reduce the risk of HBV infection.

#### References

- 1. Verma R, Khanna P, Prinja S, Rajput M, Chawla S, Bairwa M (2011) Hepatitis B vaccine in national immunization schedule: a preventive step in India. Hum Vaccin 7: 1387-1388.
- 2. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2008) Recommendations for identification and public health management of persons with chronic hepatitis B virus. Morb and Mortal Wkly Rep. Surveill Summ 7: 1-20.
- 3. Kumar V, Abbas AK Fausto, N, Mitchell RN (2003) Robbins basic pathology, 7th edition. Portland: Saunders Press 873 p.
- 4. World Health Organization (2015) Guidelines for the prevention, care and treatment of persons with chronic hepatitis B infection. Beaverton: Ringgold Inc Press 134 p.
- World Health Organization (2016) Emergencies preparedness, response: hepatitis: frequently asked questions. Available: http://www.who.int/csr/disease/hepatitis/world\_hepatitis\_day/ question\_answer/en/. Accessed: 01 February 2017.
- Mast EE, Margolis, HS, Fiore AE, Brink EW, Goldstein ST, Wang SA, Moyer LA, Bell BP, Alter MJ (2005) Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). A comprehensive immunization strategy to eliminate transmission of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) part 1: immunization of infants, children, and adolescents. MMWR Recomm Rep.54: 1-31.
- 7. Rivkina A, Rybalov S (2002) Chronic hepatitis B: current and future treatment options. Pharmacotherapy 22: 721-737.
- 8. Gambarin-Gelwel M (2007) Hepatitis B in pregnancy. Clin Liver Dis 11: 945-963.
- Al-Kobaisi MF (2007) Jawetz, Melnick & Adelberg's Medical Microbiology. In Brooks GF, Carroll KC, Butel JS, Morse SA, editors. 24th edition. New York: McGraw-Hill Press 425-443.
- 10. Mast EE, Weinbaum CM, Fiore AE, Alter MJ, Bell BP, Finelli L, Rodewald LE, Douglas JM, Janssen RS, Ward JW (2006) Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). A comprehensive immunization strategy to eliminate transmission of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) Part II: immunization of adults. MMWR Recomm Rep. 8: 1-33.
- 11. Nelson NP, Jamieson DJ, Murphy TV (2014) Prevention of perinatal hepatitis B virus transmission. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc.3 Suppl 1: 7-12.
- 12. Beasley PR (1982) Hepatitis B virus as the etiologic agent in hepatocellular carcinoma, epidemiologic considerations. Hepatology Suppl 2: 21-26.
- 13. Alter MJ (2003) Epidemiology of hepatitis B in Europe and worldwide. J Hepatol 1: 64-69.
- 14. Bohidar NP (2004) Hepatitis B infection in pregnanacy. Hepatitis B Annual 1:199-209.
- World Health Organization (2014) Hepatitis B. Fact sheet N°204. Available: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs204/en/. Accessed 15 July 2015.

- 16. Bassey EB, Moses AE, Udo SM, Umo AN (2009) Parallel and overlapping human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B and C virus infections among pregnant women in the federal capital territory, Abuja, Nigeria. Online J Health Allied Sci 8: 4.
- Gasim GI, Murad IA, Adam I (2013) Hepatitis B and C virus infections among pregnant women in Arab and African countries. J Infect Dev Ctries 7: 566-578. doi: 10.3855/jidc.3243.
- Vázquez-Martínez, JL, Coreño-Juárez MO, Montaño-Estrada LF, Attlan M, Gómez-Dantés H (2003) Seroprevalence of hepatitis B in pregnant women in Mexico. Salud Publica Mex 45: 165-170.
- Harshita JR, Malhotra S, Devi P, Kaur S, Kaur KJR (2015) Seroprevalence of HIV, HBsAg, HCV & Syphilis in pregnant women: Re-addressing the need for antenatal screening. IJSR 4: 303-304.
- Afzali H, Momen Heravi M, Moravveji SA, Poorrahnama M (2015) Prevalence of hepatitis B surface antigen in pregnant women in Beheshti Hospital of Kashan, Isfahan. Iran Red Crescent Med J 17: e20598.
- Tsankova GS, Kostadinova T, Todorova TT (2016) Seroprevalence of hepatitis B among pregnant women in Varna Region Bulgaria J Med Virol 88: 2012-2015.
- 22. Mohebbi SR, Sanati A, Cheraghipour K, Rostami NM, Shalmani HM, Zali MR (2011) Hepatitis C and hepatitis B virus infection: epidemiology and risk factors in a large cohort of pregnant women in Lorestan, West of Iran. Hepat Mon 11: 736-739.
- Dwivedi M, Misra SP, Misra V, Pandey A. Pant S, Singh R, Verma M (2011) Seroprevalence of hepatitis B infection during pregnancy and risk of perinatal transmission. Indian J Gastroenterol 30: 66-71.
- 24. Sibia P, Mohi MK, Kumar A (2016) Seroprevalence of hepatitis B infection among pregnant women in one of the institute of Northern India. J Clin Diagn Res 10: 8-9.
- 25. Ahmad I (2016) Prevalence of hepatitis B and C viral infection among pregnant women in Peshawar, Pakistan. Hepat Mon 16: e36383.
- 26. Zenebe Y, Mulu W, Yimer M, Abera B (2014) Sero-prevalence and risk factors of hepatitis B virus and human immunodeficiency virus infection among pregnant women in Bahir Dar city, Northwest Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. BMC Infect Dis 14: 118.
- 27. Saindou M, Benet T, Troalen D, Abaine A, Voirin N, Giard M, Vanhems P (2012) Prevalence and risk factors for HIV, hepatitis B virus, and syphilis among pregnant women in Mayotte, Indian Ocean, 2008-2009.Int J Gynaecol Obstet 119: 61-65.
- Opaleye OO, Igboama MC, Ojo JA, Odewale G (2016) Seroprevalence of HIV, HBV, HCV, and HTLV among pregnant women in Southwestern Nigeria. J Immunoassay Immunochem 37: 29-42.
- 29. Frambo AA, Atashili J, Fon PN, Ndumbe PM (2014) Prevalence of HBsAg and knowledge about hepatitis B in pregnancy in the Buea Health District, Cameroon: a crosssectional study. BMC Res Notes7:394.
- 30. Umare A, Seyoum B, Gobena T, Haile MT (2016) Hepatitis B virus infections and associated factors among pregnant women

attending antenatal care clinic at Deder Hospital, Eastern Ethiopia. PLoS One:29.

- 31. Metaferia Y, Dessie W, Ali I, Amsalu A (2016) Seroprevalence and associated risk factors of hepatitis B virus among pregnant women in southern Ethiopia: a hospital-based cross-sectional study. Epidemiol Health38:e2016027.
- 32. Molla S, Munshea A, Nibret E (2015) Seroprevalence of hepatitis B surface antigen and anti HCV antibody and its associated risk factors among pregnant women attending maternity ward of Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. Virol J12:204.
- 33. Murad EA, Babiker SM, Gasim GI, Rayis DA, Adam I (2013) Epidemiology of hepatitis B and hepatitis C virus infections in pregnant women in Sana'a, Yemen. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth13:127.
- 34. Lao TT, Sahota DS, Law LW, Cheng YK, Leung TY (2014) Age-specific prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection in young pregnant women, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China. Bull World Health Organ1:782-789.
- 35. Desalegn Z, Wassie L, Beyene HB, Mihret A, Ebstie YA (2016) Hepatitis B and human immunodeficiency virus coinfection among pregnant women in resource-limited high endemic setting, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: implications for prevention and control measures. Eur J Med Res21:16.
- 36. El-Karaksy HM, Mohsen LM, Saleh DA, Hamdy MS, Yassin NA, Farouk M, Salit ME, El-Shabrawi MH (2014) Applicability and efficacy of a model for prevention of perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus infection: single center study in Egypt. World J Gastroenterol20:17075-17083.
- 37. Tohme RA, Andre-Alboth J, Tejada-Strop A, Shi R, Boncy J, François J, Domercant JW, Griswold M, Hyppolite E, Adrien P, Kamili S (2016) Hepatitis B virus infection among pregnant women in Haiti: A cross-sectional serosurvey. J Clin Virol76:66-71.
- NoubiapJJ, Nansseu JR, Ndoula ST, Bigna JJ, Jingi AM, Fokom-Domgue J (2015) Prevalence, infectivity and correlates of hepatitis B virus infection among pregnant women in a rural district of the Far North Region of Cameroon. BMC Public Health15:454.
- 39. Toy M, Onder FO, Idilman R, Kabacam G, Richardus JH, Bozdayi M, Akdogan M, Kuloglu Z, Kansu A, Schalm S, Yurdaydin C (2012) The cost-effectiveness of treating chronic hepatitis B patients in a median endemic and middle income country. Eur J Health Econ 13: 663-676.

#### Corresponding author

Dr Sirin Cetin, PhD Ondokuz Mayis University Kurupelit Campus, Körfez Street, 55, Atakum, Samsun Turkey 55139 Tel.: +905362923090 Fax: +903262291000 E-mail: cetinsirin55@gmail.com

**Conflict of interests:** No conflict of interests is declared.