Original Article

An unequivocal superbug: PDR *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with an arsenal of resistance and virulence factor genes

Ahmad Sleiman^{1,2,3}, Bassel Awada^{1,2,3}, Michele Mocadie⁴, Nour Sherri^{1,2,3}, Louis-Patrick Haraoui⁵, Vincent Baby⁵, George F Araj^{2,6}, Souha S Kanj^{2,4}, Nesrine Rizk^{2,4}, Ghassan M Matar^{1,2,3}, Antoine G Abou Fayad^{1,2,3}#

¹ Department of Experimental Pathology, Immunology and Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon

² Center for Infectious Diseases Research, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon

³ World Health Organization (WHO) Collaborating Center for Reference and Research on Bacterial Pathogens, Beirut, Lebanon

⁴ Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon

⁵ Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, University of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

⁶ Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon

Authors were co-principal investigators

Abstract

Introduction: Infections caused by extensively-drug resistant (XDR) and pan-drug resistant (PDR) *Klebsiella pneumoniae* represent an emerging threat due to the high associated mortality. This study aimed to characterize two carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae* strains from the same patient, the first being PDR (referred to as IMP 1078b) and the second being XDR (referred to as IMP 1078s) isolated from the same patient.

Methodology: Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done for the 2 *K. pneumoniae* isolates, followed by carbapenem/β-lactamase inhibitor combination assay, and fitness cost against cefepime and meropenem. Then, whole-genome sequence analysis was performed to decipher the molecular mechanisms behind the high level of resistance recorded in both isolates. Finally, qRT-PCR was done for β-lactam resistant genes. Results: This is the first report about a *K. pneumoniae* isolate harboring 47 antimicrobial resistance genes and having type IV pilli (*Yersinia*) and the fimbrial adherence determinant Stb (*Salmonella*) as virulence factors. Further analysis on both isolates are discussed within the article. Conclusions: The co-existence of a high number of antimicrobial resistant (AMR) genes and virulence factor genes may lead to a life threatening invasive and untreatable infection.

Key words: K. pneumoniae; XDR; PDR; AMR; NDM; OXA.

J Infect Dev Ctries 2021; 15(3):404-414. doi:10.3855/jidc.13573

(Received 29 July 2020 - Accepted 10 November 2020)

Copyright © 2021 Sleiman *et al.* This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Healthcare infections, associated such as pneumonia, catheter associated blood stream infections, surgical site infections, and catheter associated urinary tract infections caused by resistant Gram-negative bacteria such as *Klebsiella pneumonia*e are increasing worldwide [1]. Their burden is particularly heavy in the critically ill patients where there is an association between infection with such multidrug-resistant (MDR) organisms and poor outcomes [2,3]. Carbapenems are the mainstay of treatment for infections with extendedspectrum beta-lactamase producing (ESBL) K. pneumoniae [4]. However, some strains have acquired resistance against these antibiotics, leaving colistin as the only treatment option [5].

Infections caused by antibiotic resistant bacteria are increasing worldwide. Each year the U.S. reports more than 2.8 million infections with antibiotic resistant bacteria. This led the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to publish an antibiotic resistance threat report in 2019, classifying carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) as an urgent threat [6]. In Lebanon and the Middle East North Africa (MENA) region, the rates of Gram-negative resistance are very high [7–9]. With the raging conflicts in the MENA region, especially the Syrian conflict and due to the transfer of patients from field hospitals at the Lebanese-Syrian border to hospitals within Lebanon, there has been a noticeable increase in the rates of Gram-negative resistant organisms in peripheral towns and later in central Lebanese hospitals. Here we report a case of two *K. pneumoniae* strains that were recovered from a patient who was initially hospitalized at a peripheral hospital at the Lebanese-Syrian border. We therefore aimed at testing the susceptibility of the isolates against a battery of antibiotics used in clinical settings and determining phenotypic and genotypic mechanisms of resistance of these isolates using whole genome sequencing.

Following a motorcycle accident, a 22 year-old Lebanese man sustained severe trauma in his hometown close to the Lebanese-Syrian border. Unconscious, he was taken initially to a peripheral hospital where he was intubated for mechanical ventilation and a central line was inserted and admitted to the ICU. After 6 days in the other hospital, during which he received piperacillin-tazobactam, vancomycin and dexamethasone, he was transferred to a tertiary care hospital in Lebanon for continuity of care. Upon admission, he was afebrile and comatose. Workup revealed a subarachnoid hemorrhage and brain contusions with surrounding edema and multiple closed fractures of the extremities, chest subcutaneous emphysema, small pneumopericardium and pneumomediastinum. The old central line was discontinued and a new one inserted. Cultures from blood, urine and deep tracheal aspirates were taken in addition to skin screening as per standard screening protocols for ICU transfers at our institution. Those cultures later grew carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae from the deep tracheal aspirate (DTA) and the skin (sensitive to tigecycline, intermediate to colistin and fosfomycin). The following day the patient developed a high-grade fever. He was started on piperacillin-tazobactam and vancomvcin after removing the newly inserted central line and sending appropriate cultures. He remained febrile for several days with evidence of a left lower lobe pneumonia prompting changes to the antibiotics he was receiving based on the initial screening cultures: the DTA culture grew carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae and E.coli both sensitive to tigecycline, with the K. pneumoniae being intermediate to colistin and resistant to fosfomycin. A week following admission, he was persistently febrile, therefore new blood cultures were taken and the sample from the central line grew K. pneumoniae after 16 hours (sensitive to tigecycline, resistant to colistin and fosfomycin). The peripheral blood cultures remained negative. The patient received colistin and later inhaled inhaled amikacin: carbapenems were discontinued as the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) to these agents were all greater than 32. Despite the infection with panresistant organisms, our patient's condition improved. The bacteremia was related to the central line and it resolved as soon as the line was discontinued, which is essential with Gram-negative rod (GNR) central lineassociated bloodstream infections (CLABSI). He became afebrile with marked neurologic and clinical recovery and was extubated, and transferred to the regular floor, with eventual discharge home. The Supplementary Table1 lists all the different cultures and results. The Supplementary Figure1 shows the timeline of different antibiotic administration.

Methodology

Ethical approval was not required as clinical isolates were collected and stored as part of routine clinical care. Clinical isolates and patient records/information were anonymous and de-identified prior to analysis.

Identification of the isolates

The recovered isolates in culture were identified using the Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF) system (Bruker Daltonik, GmbH, Bremen, Germany) with a score of green flags.

Broth Micro-dilution assay

Broth microdilution was done against 19 different antibiotics from different families. Serial dilution took place between columns 1 and 11 to have concentration ranging from 2048 µg/mL to 2 µg/mL. Half of the wells in column 12 were used as a positive control and the other half as a negative control. For each isolate a bacterial suspension of 0.5 MacFarland was prepared, followed by dilution to reach a concentration of 5×10^6 CPU/mL. This was followed by adding 10 µL of the latter into all the well between columns 1-11, and in the positive control designated wells in column 12, ending with a final volume of 100 μ L in all the wells. The plate was then placed in the incubator at 37 °C for 18 hours after which the negative control was checked to ensure the absence of contamination. The positive control was checked to ensure that the bacterial suspension was properly prepared, and growth took place. Wells 1-11 were checked for bacterial growth, the well preceding the first well with bacterial growth, was referred as the well containing the MIC. Experiments were run in duplicates for each bacterial isolate. The results were interpreted according to the CLSI M100 guideline [10].

Disk Diffusion

The experiment was performed using the Kirby-Bauer technique. For each isolate, a bacterial suspension equivalent to 0.5 MacFarland was prepared. Then it was subcultured on a round Mueller-Hinton agar plate, in all the directions, to ensure that the bacterial suspension covered all the plate using a sterile swab. The plate was left for around 10 minutes closed on the bench, followed by the addition of the 24 tested antibiotics (8 per plate). The plate was then incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 hours after which the zone of inhibition diameters were measured and the results were interpreted according to the CLSI M100 guideline [10].

Fitness Cost assay

The tested isolates were first subcultured on MacConkey agar and incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 hours. The next day, a loop full of each bacterial isolate was transferred into 10 mL of sterile cation adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth and incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 hours. Then, the turbid inoculated broth of each isolate was diluted at a 1:1000 ratio. The latter was then transferred into 4 distinct wells (200 μ L each) of a 96 well microtiter plate. The replication rate of each tested isolate was measured using a densitometer (OD 600 nm) for 16 hours with reads at 30 minutes intervals. The

results were then averaged, normalized, and plotted against the *K. pneumoniae* (DSM[®] 30104) [11].

Carbapenem/ β -Lactamase Inhibitor Combination assay

Following the MIC determination of both isolates against carbapenems, Meropenem/ β-lactamase inhibitor combinations experiment was performed by adding fixed concentrations of the inhibitors to the experimental wells of a standard antimicrobial broth microdilution assay. We followed CLSI guidelines in this assay. However, minor modifications to broth volumes were made in order to accommodate for the presence of the β -lactamase inhibitors (β LIs) while keeping the concentrations of the meropenem and bacterial suspensions in accordance with CLSI recommendations. For isolates harboring *bla*_{OXA}-type carbapenemases, Avibactam (MedChem Express, Monmouth Junction, NJ, United States) was used as the β LI at a fixed concentration of 4 μ g/mL. However, for harbored isolates that bla_{NDM}. ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid calcium disodium salt (calcium-EDTA) (Sigma R, St. Louis, MO, United States) was used as the β LI at a fixed concentration of 32 µg/mL. In addition, both isolates were tested against both BLIs at their aforementioned fixed concentrations without the addition of meropenem in order to rule out any antibacterial activity exhibited by the inhibitors on the tested isolates. The MICs of the 4 tested isolates were interpreted according to the CLSI M100 guideline

Table 1. Broth micro-dilution results of both IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s against 19 different antibiotics.

Antibiotics	IMP 1078b		IMP 1078s	
Antibiotics	MIC (µg/mL)	Int*	MIC (µg/mL)	Int*
Cefuroxime	> 2,048	R	> 2,048	R
Ceftazidime	> 2,048	R	> 2,048	R
Cefepime	512	R	512	R
Ertapenem	2,048	R	1,024	R
Meropenem	256	R	256	R
Imipenem	128	R	128	R
Aztreonam	256	R	512	R
Nalidixic acid	256	R	512	R
Ciprofloxacin	32	R	64	R
Norfloxacin	256	R	256	R
Levofloxacin	64	R	64	R
Colistin	32	R	< 2	S
Gentamicin	1,024	R	2,048	R
Amikacin	> 2,048	R	> 2,048	R
Fosfomycin	> 2,048	R	1,024	R
Tigecycline	16	R	8	R
Trimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole	256	R	256	R
Piperacillin Tazobactam	512	R	512	R
Ceftolozane Tazobactam	> 2,048	R	> 2,048	R

*Int: Interpretation; S: Susceptible; R: Resistant.

[10]. Escherichia coli 1176 (harbors bla_{NDM-1} only) and E. coli 57 (harbors bla_{OXA-48} only) were used as a control in the experiment [12].

Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS)

To prepare whole-genome sequencing libraries, the cryopreserved stocks were grown on MacConkey agar. Genomic DNA was extracted using standard methods (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and NexteraXT libraries were prepared using the manufacturer's protocols (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000, 2 × 150 bp.

Bioinformatics analysis of the isolates

Assembly of the genome was performed using (https://usegalaxy.org/). Unicvcler Galaxy on Antimicrobial resistant genes were acquired through ResFinder on Center of Genomic Epidemiology (CGE) (http://www.genomicepidemiology.org/) and CARD (https://card.mcmaster.ca/). Plasmids harbored by our isolates were determined by using PlasmidFinder on CGE (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/PlasmidFinder/). Virulence factors were identified using VFDB (http://www.mgc.ac.cn/cgi-bin/VFs/v5/main.cgi). Genetic differences between the 2 isolates was determined using DNAdiff Galaxy on

(https://usegalaxy.org/). Finally, the circular genome was drawn and annotated using CGView server (http://cgview.ca/).

Results

Screening results

Two K. pneumoniae isolates were recovered from the patient. The cultures led to the isolation of a K. pneumoniae isolate from the blood (IMP 1078b). Moreover, the skin screening led to the isolation of the second K. pneumoniae isolate (IMP 1078s). The 2 isolates were identified using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry and later confirmed by WGS.

Antibiotics Susceptibility Testing

The antibiotic susceptibility testing results done by both broth micro-dilution assay (Table 1) and Kirby-Bauer technique (Table 2) showed that the IMP 1078s is XDR since it was resistant to all the tested antibiotics except for colistin. However, the IMP 1078b is PDR since the isolate was resistant to all the tested antibiotics.

WGS

The MLST typing results revealed that both clinical isolates were assigned to be ST383. A 99% similarity

|--|

Autootic Diameter (mm) Int* Diameter (mm) Int* Ampicillin 6 R 6 R Cefoxitin 6 R 6 R Cefuroxime 6 R 6 R Meropenem 6 R 6 R Marconam 6 R 6 R Aztronam 6 R 6 R Kanamycin 6	Antihiotia	IMP 1078b	IMP 1078s		
Ampicillin6R6RCefoxitin6R6RCefoxitine6R6RCeftazidime6R6RCeftriaxone6R6RCeftriaxone6R6RCeftriaxone6R6RCeftriaxone6R6RCeftriaxone6R6RCeftriaxone6R6RCeftriaxone6R6RCeftriaxone6R6RImipenem7R8RDoripenem6R6RAztronam6R6RGentamicin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTimethoprin Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Anubiotic	Diameter (mm)	Int*	Diameter (mm)	Int*
Cefoxitin6R6RCefuroxime6R6RCeftizidime6R6RCeftizoxime6R6RCeftizoxime6R6RCeftizoxime6R6RCeftizoxime6R6RCeftizoxime6R6RCeftizoxime6R6RCeftizoxime6R6RCeftizoxime6R6RImipenem7R8RDoripenem6R6RAztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RRifampicin6R6RFrinterhoprin Sulfamethoxazole6R6Piperacillin Tazobactam6R6	Ampicillin	6	R	6	R
Cefuroxime6R6RCeftazidime6R6RCeftriaxone6R6RCeftriaxonine6R6RCeftepime6R6RCeftepime6R6RErtapenem6R6RMeropenem6R6RImipenem7R8RDoripenem6R6RAztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6Piperacillin Tazobactam6R6R6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Cefoxitin	6	R	6	R
Ceftazidime6R6RCeftriaxone6R6RCeftrizoxime6R6RCeftrizoxime6R6RCeftrizoxime6R6RCeftrizoxime6R6RCeftrizoxime6R6RErtapenem6R6RMeropenem6R6RImipenem7R8RDoripenem6R6RAztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RRifampicin6R6RRifampicin6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Cefuroxime	6	R	6	R
Ceftriaxone6R6RCeftriaxxime6R6RCefepime6R6RErtapenem6R6RMeropenem6R6RImipenem7R8RDoripenem6R6RAztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RRifampicin6R6RRifampicin6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Ceftazidime	6	R	6	R
Ceftizoxime6R6RCefepime6R6RErtapenem6R6RMeropenem6R6RImipenem7R8RDoripenem6R6RAztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RKanamycin6R6RErythromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RRifampicin6R6RFirenceptine6R6RFosfomycin11R12RFosfomycin6R6RFosfomycin6R6RFosfomycin6R6RFosfomycin11R12RFosfomycin6R6RRifampicin6R6RRifampicin6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Ceftriaxone	6	R	6	R
Cefepime6R6RErtapenem6R6RMeropenem6R6RImipenem7R8RDoripenem6R6RAztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RKanamycin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Ceftizoxime	6	R	6	R
Ertapenem6R6RMeropenem6R6RImipenem7R8RDoripenem6R6RAztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RKanamycin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6Piperacillin Tazobactam6R6	Cefepime	6	R	6	R
Meropenem6R6RImipenem7R8RDoripenem6R6RAztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RKanamycin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6	Ertapenem	6	R	6	R
Imipenem7R8RDoripenem6R6RAztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RKanamycin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RAzithromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Meropenem	6	R	6	R
Doripenem6R6RAztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RKanamycin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Imipenem	7	R	8	R
Aztreonam6R6RGentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RKanamycin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RAzithromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Doripenem	6	R	6	R
Gentamicin6R6RAmikacin6R6RKanamycin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RAzithromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RTrimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Aztreonam	6	R	6	R
Amikacin6R6RKanamycin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RAzithromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RTrimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Gentamicin	6	R	6	R
Kanamycin6R6RLevofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RAzithromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RTrimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Amikacin	6	R	6	R
Levofloxacin6R6RErythromycin6R6RAzithromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RTrimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Kanamycin	6	R	6	R
Erythromycin6R6RAzithromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RTrimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Levofloxacin	6	R	6	R
Azithromycin6R6RChloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RTrimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Erythromycin	6	R	6	R
Chloramphenicol6R6RFosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RTrimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Azithromycin	6	R	6	R
Fosfomycin11R12RTetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RTrimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Chloramphenicol	6	R	6	R
Tetracycline6R6RRifampicin6R6RTrimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Fosfomycin	11	R	12	R
Rifampicin6R6RTrimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Tetracycline	6	R	6	R
Trimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole6R6RPiperacillin Tazobactam6R6R	Rifampicin	6	R	6	R
Piperacillin Tazobactam 6 R 6 R	Trimethoprim Sulfamethoxazole	6	R	6	R
	Piperacillin Tazobactam	6	R	6	R

Int: Interpretation; R: Resistant.

was found between the 2 isolates (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 2).

Both isolates harbored 47 antimicrobial resistant genes. The genes encoding resistance for each antibiotic class were distributed as the following: tetracycline (1), trimethoprim (1), phenicols (1), bleomycin (1), elfamycin (1), sulfonamides (2), fosfomycin (2), macrolides (3), fluoroquinolones (4), aminoglycosides (8), β -lactams (9), in addition to 14 genes encoding for

Figure 1. Circular genome representation of IMP 1078b (A) and IMP 1078s (B) (IMP1078b accession number is SAMN14404320 and IMP1078s accession number is SAMN14404321).



Figure 2. Fitness cost of IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s compared to *K. pneumoniae* DSM 30104.

K. pneumoniae DSM, IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s



efflux pumps with 12 being multidrug (Table 3). Moreover, the same 3 plasmids (IncFIB, IncHI1B, and IncL/M) were harbored by each isolate.

A plethora of virulence genes were detected in both isolates, including: Type I and III fimbriae, serum resistance loci, anti-phagocytic genes, iron acquisition system (Salmochelin and Yersiniabactin), *rcsAB* gene, and *acrAB* efflux pump gene (Table 4). Furthermore, we hereby report the first type IV pilli (*Yersinia*) *pilW* and the fimbrial adherence determinant Stb (*Salmonella*) in *K. pneumoniae*.

Fitness cost results

To assess the fitness cost of harboring AMR genes on the clinical K. pneumoniae isolates, growth kinetics assays was performed. K. pneumoniae DSM 30104 was used as a control strain, as it is the Wild Type. The growth rates did not vary significantly for IMP 1078b (p = 0.9946) nor IMP 1078s (p = 0.1860) when compared to K. pneumoniae DSM (Figure 2). These observations were made when each of the three isolates were grown in unmodified LB broth. To assess the effect of meropenem and cefepime on the fitness cost of both isolates, we evaluated the effect of exposure of the bacteria to antibiotics on the fitness cost of the clinical isolates and the wild type strain. We used the breakpoints of the selected antibiotics, 4 µg/mL for meropenem and 16 µg/mL for cefepime, as the concentration to grow the bacteria. We witnessed that for IMP 1078b (Figure 3A) and IMP 1078s (Figure 3B), the growth rates did not change significantly when comparing the division of the bacteria incubated with meropenem to that of the bacteria grown in broth alone (p = 0.2510 and p = 0.7728 respectively). Furthermore, as seen in Figure 4A and Figure 4B, similar results could be observed for the growth rates of these isolates when incubated with or without Cefepime (p = 0.3107and p = 0.8985 for IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s respectively).

Table 3. Antimicrobia	l resistant genes harbored by both IMP	1078b and IMP 1078	3s.
Gene	Resistance Phenotype	IMP 1078b	IM
D.5	0 1 1		

Come	Desistant Scheb Harbored by both Hill 10700	IMD 10791	IMD 1070-	C
Gene	Resistance Phenotype	IMP 1078b	IMP 1078s	Comments
oqxB5	Quinolone	+	+	
anrS1	Fluoroquinolone	+	+	
parC	Fluoroquinolone	+	+	Escherichia coli parC conferring resistance to
gyrA	Fluoroquinolone	+	+	Salmonella enterica gyrA conferring resistance to
aac(6') Ib	Eluoroquinolone and aminoglycoside	+	+	nuoroquinorones
<i>uuc(0)-10</i>		T	+	
aadA1	Aminoglycoside	+	+	
aph(3")-Ib	Aminoglycoside	+	+	
anh(3')-Ia	Aminoglycoside	+	+	
aph(3') VI	Aminoglycoside	+	+	
upn(5)=v1	Ammogrycoside		1	
aph(3')-VIb	Aminoglycoside	+	+	
aph(6)-Id	Aminoglycoside	+	+	
armA	Aminoglycoside	+	+	
fosA	Fosfomycin	+	+	
tot(A)	Tatravalina		1	
	Tetracychile	T	+	
afrA3	Trimethoprim	+	+	
sull	Sulfonamide	+	+	
sul2	Sulfonamide	+	+	
cat 41	Phenicol	+	+	
mnh(4)	Magralida		_	
mpn(A)	Waciolide	Т	-	
mph(E)	Macrolide	+	+	
msr(E)	Macrolide, Lincosamide and Streptogramin B	+	+	
bla _{CTX-M-14b}	Beta-lactam	+	+	
blacments	Reta-lactam	+	+	
LL.	Deta-lactain		1	
<i>bla</i> _{NDM-5}	Beta-lactam	+	+	
bla _{OXA-48}	Beta-lactam	+	+	
bla _{OXA-9}	Beta-lactam	+	+	
hlasuvi	Beta-lactam	+	+	
bla	Beta lactam	+	+	
DiuTEM	Deta-lactalli		1	
атрН	Beta-lactam	+	+	Escherichia coli ampH beta-lactamase
PBP3	Cephalosporin, cephamycin, carbapenem	+	+	Haemophilus influenzae PBP3 conferring resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics
Ble	Bleomycin resistant protein against glycopeptide antibiotic	+	+	BRP(MBL)
UhpT	Fosfomycin	+	+	Escherichia coli UhpT with mutation conferring resistance to fosfomycin
EF-Tu	Elfamycin antibiotic	+	+	<i>Escherichia coli EF-Tu</i> mutants conferring resistance to Pulyomycin
KpnE	Macrolide, aminoglycoside, cephalosporin, tetracycline, peptide, and rifamycin	+	+	Klebsiella pneumoniae KpnE (MFS antibiotic efflux
KpnF	Macrolide, aminoglycoside, cephalosporin, tetracycline, peptide, and rifamycin	+	+	Klebsiella pneumoniae KpnF (MFS antibiotic efflux pump)
	Macrolide, fluoroquinolone, aminoglycoside,			1 1/
KpnG	carbapenem, cephalosporin, penam, peptide, and	+	+	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae KpnG</i> (MFS antibiotic efflux pump)
	penem			
	Macrolide, fluoroquinolone, aminoglycoside,			Klebsiella nneumoniae KnnH (MFS antibiotic efflux
KpnH	carbapenem, cephalosporin, penam, peptide, and	+	+	Recosteria preamoniae Riphiri (Ini 5 antibiotie eritax
-	penem			pump)
emrD	Fluoroquinolone	+	+	MFS antibiotic efflux nump
enn B	Manahaatam aarhananam aanhalaanarin			Klobgialla nucumoniae OmnK27 (Conoral Postorial
OmpK37	Monobaciani, carbapeneni, cephaiosporni,	+	+	Rieosiena pneumoniae OmpR37 (General Bacterial
1	cephamycin, penam, and penem			Porin with reduced permeability to beta-lactams)
baeR	Aminoglycoside and aminocoumarin	+	+	RND antibiotic efflux pump
CRP	Macrolide, fluoroquinolone antibiotic, and penam	+	+	RND antibiotic efflux pump
adeF	Fluoroquinolone and tetracycline	+	+	RND antibiotic efflux pump
msh 1	Nitroimidazole	+	+	ABC antibiotic offlux pump
MSUA		т	-	Abe antibiotic effux pump
marR	penam, tetracycline, rifamycin, phenicol, and	+	+	<i>Escherichia coli marR</i> mutant conferring antibiotic resistance (RND antibiotic efflux pump)
	triclosan			1 1/
HNC	Macrolide, fluoroquinolone, cephalosporin,	1	±	MFS antibiotic efflux pump and RND antibiotic
11-103	cephamycin, penam, and tetracycline	т	-	efflux pump
	Fluoroquinolone, monobactam, carbanenem			
mart	cenhalosporin alveyleveling canhamyoin nonem	+	+	RND antibiotic efflux pump, and General Bacterial
mur A	totroavaling and riferenzia	'		Porin with reduced
	terracycline, and mamycin			
oqxA	r luoroquinolone, glycylcycline, tetracycline, diaminopyrimidine, and nitrofuran	+	+	RND antibiotic efflux pump

VFclass	Virulence factors	Related genes	IMP 1078b	IMP 1078s
Adherence	Type 3 fimbriae	mrkA	orf01624	orf01742
1 101101 0110 0	Type & Innorme	mrkB	orf01625	orf01741
		mrkC	orf01626	orf01740
		mrkD	orf01627	orf01739
		mrkF	orf01628	orf01738
		mrkH	orf01631	orf01735
		mrkI	orf01630	orf01736
		mrkJ	orf01629	orf01737
	Type I fimbriae	fimA	orf01617	orf01749
		fimB	orf01619	orf01747
		fimD	orf01614; orf04620	orf01752; orf04626
		fimE	orf01618	orf01732; 0f104020
		fimE	orf01613	orf01753
		fimG	orf01612	orf01754
		fimH	orf01611	orf01755
		fimI	orf01616	orf01750
		fimK	orf01610	orf01756
	Type IV pili(Yersinia)	pilW	orf00174	orf00260
Antiphagocytosis	Capsule		orf01201; orf01202; orf01203;	orf00893; orf00894; orf00895;
	•		orf01204; orf01205; orf01206;	orf00896; orf00897; orf00899;
			orf01207; orf01208; orf01209;	orf00900; orf00901; orf00902;
		-	orf01210; orf01211; orf01212;	orf00903; orf00904; orf00905;
			orf01214; orf01215; orf01216;	orf00906; orf00907; orf00908;
			orf01217; orf01218; orf04070	orf00909; orf00910; orf04187
Efflux pump	AcrAB	acrA	orf00340	orf00095
¥		acrB	orf00341; orf02038	orf00094; orf02035
Iron acquision	Aerobactin	iucA	orf04473	orf04505
		iucB	or1044/4	orf04504
		ineD	orf04475	or104505
		int A	01104470	orf02907; orf04501
	Ent sideronhore	entA	orf00156	orf00278
	Lift siderophore	entB	orf00157	orf00277
		entD	orf00159	orf00275
		entD	orf00169	orf00265
		entE	orf00158	orf00276
		entF	orf00165	orf00269
		entS	orf00161	orf00273
		fepA	orf00168; orf01499	orf00266; orf01498
		fepB	orf00160	orf00274
		fepC	orf00164	orf00270
		fepD	orf00162	orf00272
		fepG	orf00163	orf00271
		tes	orf00167	orf00267
	Salmochelin	iroE	orf02963	orfU3244
	Versiniabactin	vbt∐	orf02612	orf02806
Regulation	ResAB	resA	orf02012	orf02800
Regulation	ResAD	resB	orf01085	orf01026
Secretion system	T6SS-I	clpV/tssH	orf04519	orf04568
2		dotU/tssL	orf04522	orf04565
		hcp/tssD	orf04520	orf04567
		icmF/tssM	orf02208	orf02402
		impA/tssA	orf02207	orf02401
		ompA	orf04521	orf04566
		sciN/tssJ	orf02203	orf02397
		tssF	orf02205	orf02399
		tssG	orf02204	orf02398
		vasE/tssK	ort04523	ort04564
		vgrG/tssl	oriu4518	oriu4569
		vipA/tssB	01104525 01104524	or104562
	TASS II	vipB/issC	01104524 0rff04765	or104305
	1000-11	cipv	01104/05	01104/03

Table 4. Virulence genes harbored by both IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s.

Table 4 (continued). Virulence genes harbored by both IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s.

VFclass	Virulence factors	Related genes	IMP 1078b	IMP 1078s
Secretion system	T6SS-III	-	orf00452	orf00452
		dotU	orf04175	orf02039
		icmF	orf00446	orf00446
		impA	orf00451	orf00451
		impF	orf00450	orf00450
		impG	orf00447	orf00447
		impH	orf00448	orf00448
		impJ	orf04176	orf02040
		ompA	orf04174	orf02038
		sciN	orf00449	orf00449
		vgrG	orf04173	orf02037
Serum resistance	LPS rfb locus		orf01221; orf01222; orf01223;	orf00886; orf00887; orf00888;
		-	orf01224; orf01225	orf00889; orf00890
Fimbrial adherence	Stb(Salmonella)	stbA	orf04623	orf04620
determinants		stbB	orf04622	orf04619
		stbC	orf04621	orf04618
		stbD	orf04620	orf04617

Additionally, the growth rate was slightly improved for both isolates when incubated with either antibiotics, when matched with its unchanged control. Moreover, the efficiency of both antibiotics was supported by visualizing the growth rates of *K. pneumoniae* DSM 30104 grown in intact broth or media containing either meropenem or cefepime. As noticed in Figures 3C and 4C, the growth rates of *K. pneumoniae* DSM decreased significantly when incubated with either meropenem or cefepime respectively (p < 0.0001).

Inhibitors

There are multiple mechanisms for resistance in CRE. Our aim is to understand the mechanisms by which our isolates escape the action of carbapenems.

Both Κ. pneumoniae isolates expressed carbapenemases: Class В Metallo-β-lactamases (bla_{NDM-5}) and class D β -lactamases $(bla_{OXA-48}$ and bla_{OXA-9}). In order to show which enzyme plays the major role in carbapenem resistance in these isolates, each class of carbapenemase was inhibited and the effect on meropenem MICs was recorded. Calcium-EDTA inhibits class B Metallo-β-lactamases by chelating their zinc ions, while avibactam obstructs the action of class D β -lactamases via acylation of their serine. As seen in Table 5, the MIC of Meropenem for both isolates was 256 µg/mL. However, the combination of Meropenem with EDTA significantly dropped the MIC to $64 \mu g/mL$ for IMP 1078b and to 32 µg/mL for IMP 1078s. Interestingly, when adding

Figure 3. Fitness cost of K. pneumonia DSM, IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s against meropenem.



Figure 4. Fitness cost of K. pneumonia DSM, IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s against cefepime.



avibactam alone, the MIC of meropenem remained constant (256 μ g/mL) for both isolates. On the other hand, the MIC of meropenem declined to 4 μ g/mL and 16 μ g/mL for IMP 1078 b and IMP 1078s respectively when combining both Ca-EDTA and avibactam. Taken together, these data indicate that *bla*_{NDM-5} represents the main enzyme that these isolates use to hydrolyze carbapenems.

E. coli 1176 and E. coli 57 are clinical isolates that express solely one carbapenemase: $bla_{\text{NDM-1}}$ and $bla_{\text{OXA-}}$ 48 respectively. These bacteria were used as control strains to check the efficiency of EDTA and Avibactam in combination with Meropenem.

Discussion

We report the highest number of AMR genes ever detected in a K. pneumoniae isolate. These 47 AMR genes encode for resistance to all the antimicrobial agents used in clinical setting, except for colistin. Colistin resistance in IMP 1078b may be the reason behind the 1% difference between the genomes of both isolates. Colistin resistance is occasionally caused by the acquisition of the mcr gene [13], which is not the case in our isolate. Other ways that K. pneumoniae can acquire resistance to colistin are: (i) the *lpxM* gene that leads to the formation of hexa-acylated lipid A by encoding an enzyme that is involved in the addition of the myristoyl group to lipid A [14], and (ii) LPS modification due to the inactivation of mgrB, upregulation of the PhoP/PhoQ signalling system, activation of the PmrA-regulated pmrHFIJKLM operon, and the presence of ArnB [15]. Moreover, several studies were done at the country level that led to the detection of several β -lactamase genes in K. pneumoniae, such as: bla_{CTX-M-15} [16-18], bla_{TEM-1} $[17,18], bla_{SHV-28}$ $[17], bla_{OXA-1}$ $[16-18], bla_{OXA-48}$ [16,18,19], bla_{NDM-1} [16-18], and bla_{NDM-7} [20]. However, we hereby report the first K. pneumoniae isolate harboring bla_{NDM-5} , bla_{OXA-48} , and bla_{OXA-9} , bla_{CTX-M-15}, bla_{CTX-M-14b}, 7 different bla_{SHV} genes, and 2 *bla*_{TEM} genes at the same time. Seventy-two virulence genes were detected in each isolate encoding for 15 different virulence factors. We are the first to report type IV pilli (Yersinia) and the fimbrial adherence determinant Stb (Salmonella) in K. pneumoniae. StbA, stbB, stbC, and stbD are genes encoding for the fimbrial adherence determinant Stb (Salmonella) present in our 2 isolates. Stb are a type 1 fimbriae and are, in addition to 7 other clusters, one of the most abundant fimbrial clusters in the genome of Salmonella spp. [21]. They function by binding to the intestinal epithelial cells or by participating in the colonization of avian or mammalian intestines [22]. Furthermore, type IV pilli (Yersinia) are multifunctional surface structures that function in: biofilm formation, adhesion to host cells and other surfaces, cellular invasion, formation of bacterial aggregates or microcolonies, DNA and phage uptake, electron transfer, and twitching or gliding motility [23]. The cost of harboring AMR genes is believed to reduce bacterial fitness, especially in the absence of antibiotic pressure [24,25]. However, multiple studies have already refuted this hypothesis for Enterobacterales that acquired either carbapenemases [26] or extended-spectrum β -lactamases [27]. Our hypervirulent isolates which garnered an incredible sum of resistance genes further support this evidence. Even in the absence of antibiotics, both IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s did not show a significant decrease in growth rates. However, both isolates demonstrated a slightly enhanced fitness in the presence of antibiotics used. A reason behind the lack of a burden on the growth in these isolates might be from the resistance-conferring plasmids themselves. IncHI family of plasmids such as IncHI1B which is present in both our isolates, can carry a gene called histone-like nucleoid-structuring protein or H-NS [28]. This pleotropic regulator has been shown to regulate a multitude of pathogenicity factors in K. pneumoniae, as well as reducing the fitness cost of plasmid acquisition [29]. The latter effect might be a consequence of the abilities of H-NS: it allows the entry of plasmids to the host with a minimal change on global gene expression patterns, then integrates other plasmidic genes into the established gene expression regulation networks [28]. Thus, H-NS might be allowing plasmid-encoded resistance genes to be expressed constitutively without being affected by antibiotic exposure. This assumption is based on our RT-PCR data (Supplementary Figures 2-4), where the

Table 5. Minimal inhibitory concentration variation of IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s after the addition of β-lactamase inhibitors.

	$MIC (\mu g/mL)$					
	Meropenem	Meropenem + Ca-EDTA	Meropenem + Avibactam	Meropenem + Ca-EDTA + Avibactam		
IMP 1078b	256	64	256	4		
IMP 1078s	256	32	256	16		
E. coli 1176	64	< 1	NA	NA		
E. coli 57	32	NA	< 1	NA		

expression of resistance genes showed no significant changes with or without antibiotics. While trying to determine the mechanisms of carbapenem resistance of these K. pneumoniae isolates, we used an inhibitorbased approach. We discovered that the main enzyme used by these isolates to hydrolyze carbapenems is the class B metallo- β -lactamase *bla*_{NDM-5}. Once inhibited by Ca-EDTA, the bacteria utilize class D carbapenemases to disable the action of carbapenems. However, the use of a combination of inhibitors (Ca-EDTA + Avibactam) showed that even when both types of carbapenemases are inhibited, both isolates remain resistant to meropenem. This persistence of resistance could be attributed to 2 reasons. First, both isolates possess a variety of MDR efflux pumps capable of ejecting carbapenems to the outside of the cell. Second, the inability of avibactam to block the action of bla_{OXA-9} . Although the action of avibactam on bla_{OXA-48} has been repeatedly proven [30,31], no study has directly linked avibactam to an inhibitory activity on bla_{OXA-9}. This hypothesis is also supported by the variation of effect of avibactam on Class D carbapenemases [32].

The current report focused on the phenotypic and molecular characterization of two clinical Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates recovered from a patient at a tertiary care Lebanese hospital. Both isolates demonstrated resistance to a wide range of antibiotics. This resistance is encoded by an overabundance of AMR genes. Additionally, the presence of the H-NS factor capable of reducing the burden imposed by the plasmid acquisition and facilitating its conjugable transfer increases the risk of nosocomial outbreaks related to these isolates. Moreover, the co-existence of a high number of AMR genes and virulence factors may lead to a life-threatening invasive K. pneumoniae infection. Despite infection with highly resistant organisms, our patient recovered and did not succumb to the bloodstream infection. In fact, in-vitro observations do not correlate always with the real life experience and the most resistant organism may not always be the most virulent.

In addition, the initial bacterial screening revealed *K. pneumoniae* strains that we believe evolved under antibiotic pressure and multiple courses of antibiotics, and acquired resistance through different mechanisms.

Acknowledgements

Sequencing and bioinformatic analysis was coordinated and provided by omics2view.consulting GbR, Kiel (Germany). We deposited the 2 scaffolded genome sequences in GenBank under the accession numbers SAMN14404320 for IMP1078b and SAMN14404321 for IMP1078s.

Authors' contributions

Drs. Antoine Abou Fayad and Ghassan Matar designed the study. The clinical case was handled by Drs. Nesrine Rizk, Soha Kanj, and Michele Mocadie. Experiments were performed by Ahmad Sleiman, Bassel Awada, and Nour Sherri. The manuscript was written by Ahmad Sleiman, Drs. Antoine Abou Fayad and Louis-Patrick Haraoui.

References

- 1. Peleg AY, Hooper DC (2010) Hospital-acquired infections due to Gram-negative bacteria. N Engl J Med 362: 1804–1813.
- Macvane SH (2017) Antimicrobial resistance in the intensive care unit: a focus on Gram-negative bacterial infections. J Intensive Care Med 32: 25–37.
- Vincent JL, Rello J, Marshall J, Silva E, Anzueto A, Martin CD, Moreno R, Lipman J, Gomersall C, Sakr Y, Reinhart K, EPIC II Group of investigation (2009) International study of the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. JAMA 302: 2323–2329.
- 4. Talbot GH, Bradley J, Edwards JE, Gilbert D, Scheld M, Bartlett JG, Antimicrobial Availability Task Force of the Infectious Diseases Society of America (2006) Bad bugs need drugs: an update on the development pipeline from the antimicrobial availability task force of the infectious diseases society of America. Clin Infect Dis 15: 97–105.
- Karaiskos I, Souli M, Galani I, Giamarellou H (2017) Colistin: still a lifesaver for the 21st century? Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 13: 59–71.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2019) Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2019. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.15620/cdc:82532. Accessed 2 April 2020.
- Moghnieh RA, Kanafani ZA, Tabaja HZ, Sharara SL, Awad LS, Kanj SS (2018) Epidemiology of common resistant bacterial pathogens in the countries of the Arab League. Lancet Infect Dis 18: e379–e394.
- Moghnieh R, Araj GF, Awad L, Daoud Z, Mokhbat JE, Jisr T, Abdallah D, Azar N, Irani-Hakimeh N, Balkis MM, Youssef M, Karayakoupoglou G, Hamze M, Matar M, Atoui R, Abboud E, Feghali R, Yared N, Husni R (2019) A compilation of antimicrobial susceptibility data from a network of 13 Lebanese hospitals reflecting the national situation during 2015-2016. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 8: 1–17.
- Araj GF, Avedissian AZ, Itani LY, Obeid JA (2018) Antimicrobial agents active against carbapenem-resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates in Lebanon. J Infect Dev Ctries 12: 164–170. doi: 10.3855/jidc.9729.
- Clinical and Laboratory standard institute (CLSI) (2019) Performance standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility testing, 29th edition. CLSI document M100 ED29 (ISBN 978-1-68440-032-4).

- 11. Mu X, Wang N, Li X, Shi K, Zhou Z, Yu Y, Hua X (2016) The effect of colistin resistance-associated mutations on the fitness of Acinetobacter baumannii. Front Microbiol 7: 1–8.
- Hafi B El, Rasheed SS, Abou Fayad AG, Araj GF, Matar GM (2019) Evaluating the efficacies of carbapenem/β-lactamase inhibitors against carbapenem-resistant gram-negative bacteria *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Front Microbiol 10: 1–13.
- Wang X, Liu Y, Qi X, Wang R, Jin L, Zhao M, Zhang Y, Wang Q, Chen H, Wang H (2017) Molecular epidemiology of colistin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in inpatient and avian isolates from China: high prevalence of mcr-negative Klebsiella pneumoniae. Int J Antimicrob Agents 50: 536–541.
- Olaitan AO, Morand S, Rolain JM (2014) Mechanisms of polymyxin resistance: Acquired and intrinsic resistance in bacteria. Front Microbiol 5: 1–18.
- Ah YM, Kim AJ, Lee JY (2014) Colistin resistance in Klebsiella pneumoniae. Int J Antimicrob Agents 44: 8–15.
- El-Herte RI, Araj GF, Matar GM, Baroud M, Kanafani ZA, Kanj SS (2012) Detection of carbapenem-resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae producing NDM-1 in Lebanon. J Infect Dev Ctries 6: 457–461.
- Tokajian S, Eisen JA, Jospin G, Matar G, Araj GF, Coil DA (2016) Draft genome sequence of Klebsiella pneumoniae KGM-IMP216 harboring blaCTX-M-15, blaDHA-1, blaTEM-1B, blaNDM-1, blaSHV-28, and blaOXA-1, isolated from a patient in Lebanon. Genome Announc 4: 5–6.
- 18. Baroud M, Dandache I, Araj GF, Wakim R, Kanj S, Kanafani Z, Khairallah M, Sabra A, Shehab M, Dbaibo G, Matar GM (2013) Underlying mechanisms of carbapenem resistance in extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli isolates at a tertiary care centre in Lebanon: Role of OXA-48 and NDM-1 carbapenemases. Int J Antimicrob Agents 41: 75–79.
- Matar GM, Cuzon G, Araj GF, Naas T, Corkill J, Kattar MM, Nordmann P (2008) Oxacillinase-mediated resistance to carbapenems in Klebsiella pneumoniae from Lebanon. Clin Microbiol Infect 14: 887–888.
- Arabaghian H, Salloum T, Alousi S, Panossian B, Araj GF, Tokajian S (2019) Molecular Characterization of Carbapenem Resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae and Klebsiella quasipneumoniae Isolated from Lebanon. Sci Rep 9: 1–12.
- 21. Kolenda R, Ugorski M, Grzymajlo K (2019) Everything you always wanted to know about Salmonella type 1 fimbriae, but were afraid to ask. Front Microbiol 10: 1–18.
- 22. Yue M, Rankin SC, Blanchet RT, Nulton JD, Edwards RA, Schifferli DM (2012) Diversification of the Salmonella Fimbriae: A model of macro- and microevolution. PLoS One 7: e38596.
- 23. Thanassi DG, Bliska JB, Christie PJ (2012) Surface organelles assembled by secretion systems of Gram-negative bacteria: diversity in structure and function. FEMS Microbiol Rev 36: 1046–1082.
- Andersson DI, Hughes D (2010) Antibiotic resistance and its cost: Is it possible to reverse resistance? Nat Rev Microbiol 8: 260–271.

- Göttig S, Riedel-Christ S, Saleh A, Kempf VAJ, Hamprecht A (2016) Impact of blaNDM-1 on fitness and pathogenicity of Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae. Int J Antimicrob Agents 47: 430–435.
- Long D, Zhu LL, Du FL, Xiang TX, Wan LG, Wei DD, Zhang W, Liu Y (2019) Phenotypical profile and global transcriptomic profile of Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae due to carbapenemase-encoding plasmid acquisition. BMC Genomics 20: 1–13.
- 27. Ranjan A, Scholz J, Semmler T, Wieler LH, Ewers C, Muller S, Pickard DJ, Schierack P, Tedin K, Ahmed N, Schaufler K, Guenther S (2018) ESBL-plasmid carriage in E. coli enhances in vitro bacterial competition fitness and serum resistance in some strains of pandemic sequence types without overall fitness cost. Gut Pathog 10: 1–9.
- Phan MD, Wain J (2008) IncHI plasmids, a dynamic link between resistance and pathogenicity. J Infect Dev Ctries 2: 272–278.
- 29. Ares MA, Fernández-Vázquez JL, Rosales-Reyes R, Jarillo-Quijada MD, von Bargen K, Torres J, Gonzalez-y-Merchand JA, Alcantar-Curiel MD, De la Cruz MA (2016) H-NS nucleoid protein controls virulence features of Klebsiella pneumoniae by regulating the expression of type 3 pili and the capsule polysaccharide. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 6: 13.
- 30. Kazmierczak KM, Bradford PA, Stone GG, De Jonge BLM, Sahm DF (2018) *In vitro* activity of ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam against OXA-48-carrying Enterobacteriaceae isolated as part of the International Network for Optimal Resistance Monitoring (INFORM) global surveillance program from 2012 to 2015. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62: 1–17.
- Sousa A, Pérez-Rodríguez MT, Soto A, Rodríguez L, Pérez-Landeiro A, Martinez-Lamas L, Nodar A, Crespo M (2018) Effectiveness of ceftazidime/avibactam as salvage therapy for treatment of infections due to OXA-48 carbapenemaseproducing Enterobacteriaceae. J Antimicrob Chemother 73: 3170–3175.
- Ehmann DE, Jahić H, Ross PL, Gu RF, Hu J, Durand-Reville TF, Lahiri S, Thresher J, Livchak S, Gao N, Palmer T, Walkup Gk, Fisher SL (2013) Kinetics of avibactam inhibition against class A, C, and D β-lactamases. J Biol Chem 288: 27960– 27971.

Corresponding author

Antoine Abou Fayad, PhD DTS building, American University of Beirut 11-0236, Beirut, Lebanon Tel: +9611350000 ext 4938 Email: aa328@aub.edu.lb

Conflict of interests: No conflict of interests is declared.

Annex – Supplementary Items Supplementary Table 1. DNA difference between IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s.

		IMP 1078b	IMP 1078s
	TotalSeqs	140	135
Sequences	AlignedSeqs	140 (100.00%)	135 (100.00%)
	UnalignedSeqs	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
_	TotalBases	5722168	5721916
Bases	AlignedBases	5721714 (99.99%)	5721908 (100.00%)
	UnalignedBases	454 (0.01%)	8 (0.00%)
	I-to-I	143	143
	IotalLength	5739542	5739509
	AvgLength	40130.00	40136.43
Alignments	Avgidentity M to M	100	100
	Total ength	5740303	5740268
	Avol enoth	39049 68	39049 44
	AvgIdentity	100	100
	Breakpoints	15	31
	Relocations	1	1
	Translocations	1	6
	Inversions	0	0
Eastern Estimates	Insertions	7	10
Feature Estimates	InsertionSum	767	471
	InsertionAvg	109.57	47.1
	TandemIns	0	1
	TandemInsSum	0	16
	TandemInsAvg	0	16
	TotalSNPs	31	31
	AT	3 (9.68%)	4 (12.90%)
	AC	0 (0.00%)	4 (12.90%)
	AG	5 (16.13%)	5 (16.13%)
	1C	2 (6.45%)	4 (12.90%)
	IG	1(3.23%)	1(3.23%)
		4(12.90%)	3 (9.68%)
	GC	2(0.4376) 5(16(129/)	5(16,129/)
	GA	1(2,229/)	1(2,229/)
	CG	0 (0.00%)	2(645%)
	CT	4 (12 90%)	2 (6.45%)
	CA	4 (12.90%)	0 (0.00%)
	TotalGSNPs	19	19
	TG	1 (5.26%)	1 (5.26%)
	TC	1 (5.26%)	2 (10.53%)
	ТА	2 (10.53%)	1 (5.26%)
	AT	1 (5.26%)	2 (10.53%)
	AG	5 (26.32%)	5 (26.32%)
	AC	0 (0.00%)	1 (5.26%)
	GC	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
SNPs	GT	1 (5.26%)	1 (5.26%)
Britb	GA	5 (26.32%)	5 (26.32%)
	CG	0(0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	CA	1 (5.26%)	0(0.00%)
	UI Tatalin dala	2 (10.55%)	1 (5.20%)
	Totalinders		1
	A. T	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	G.	1 (100 00%)	0 (0.00%)
	С.	0 (0 00%)	0 (0.00%)
	.C	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	.G	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
	.А	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	.Т	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	TotalGIndels	0	0
	Τ.	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	А.	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	G.	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	C.	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	.G	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	.C	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	.A T	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	.1	U (U.UU%)	U (U.UU%)

	Ulabsialla proumoniao	Skin screening	Urine culture	Blood culture	Miscellaneous	MIC ver
26/3	Pure mod. growth *CRE Tigecycline: S; Colistin: I; Fosfomycin: I	CRE Tigecycline: S; Fosfomycin: I	negative			Ertapenem: 8 ug/ml Imipenem: 0.5 ug/ml Meropenem: 4 ug/ml
27/3				Negative blood cxs 2 sets	Catheter Tip: Klebsiella pneumoniae >15 colonies *CRE same as above	
28/3				2 sets of blood cultures negative	20070	
30/3	Escherichia coli -Heavy growth Klebsiella pneumoniae - Heavy growth *CRE 1-2-Tigecycline: S 2-Colistin: I; Fosfomycin: R		Negative	One set, negative		MIC vs: Ertapenem: >32 ug/ml Meropenem: >32 ug/ml Imipenem : >32 ug/ml
01/4	Escherichia coli -Heavy growth Klebsiella pneumoniae - Heavy growth*CRE 1-2 Tigecycline: S 2-Colistin: I, Fosfomycin: R.		Negative	From Central line: Klebsiella pneumoniae 2:2 after 16 hrs *CRE Tigecycline: S; Colistin: R; Fosfomycin: R Peripheral blood cx: negative Placed ry: 2 acta;		
2/4			Negative	negative	Catheter tip: negative	
3/4		Klebsiella CRE Tigecycline: S; Fosfomycin: I		e		
5/4				Blood Cxs: 2 sets:		
7/4	Klebsiella pneumoniae - Heavy growth *CRE Escherichia coli -Heavy growth *ESBL 1-2-Tigecycline: S 1-Colistin: I; Fosfomycin: R 2-Cefepime: S-DD Klebsiella pneumoniae -			negauve		
8/4	Candida species not albicans -Moderate growth 1-Tigecycline: S; Fosfomycin: R; Colistin:		Negative	One set negative		
9/4	Klebsiella pneumoniae - Heavy growth *CRE	Klebsiella pneumonia CRE-Tigecycline: S; Fosfomycin: R				
12/4	Tigecycline: S; Fosfomycin: R; Colistin: I		Negative	One set negative		
	Candida species not- albicans -Heavy growth					
15/4		Klebsiella pneumoniae	Negative	One set negative		
17/4		*CRE Tigecycline: I; Fosfomycin: I				
23/4	Proteus mirabilis -Heavy growth Candida species not- alhianna Hanna di		Negative	One set negative		
29/4	aibicans -Heavy growth Proteus mirabilis -Heavy growth					

Supplementary Table 2. Timeline of infection

	Klebsiella pneumoniae - Heavy growth *CRE		
	2-Tigecycline: S;		
	Colistin: I; Fosfomycin:		
	S		
	Klebsiella pneumoniae -		
	Few growth *CRE 1-Tigecycline: S;	Klebsiella pneumoniae *CRE	
5/5	Colistin: R; Fosfomycin:	Tigecycline: R;	Negative
	R	Fosfomycin: R	
	Proteus mirabilis -Few	1 0010111 00111 10	
	growth		

Supplementary Figure 1. Timeline of infection.



Supplementary Figure 2. qRT-PCR results of IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s against *bla*_{NDM-5}, *bla*_{OXA-48}, and *bla*_{OXA-9}.



Supplementary Figure 3. qRT-PCR results of IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s against $bla_{CTX-M-14b}$ and $bla_{CTX-M-14b}$ and $bla_{CTX-M-14b}$.





Supplementary Figure 4. qRT-PCR results of IMP 1078b and IMP 1078s against blashv and blatem.