

Original Article

## Prevalence and distribution of pathogenic genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from poultry and human sources

Leonardo Moreira Lima<sup>1</sup>, Gustavo Perdoncini<sup>1</sup>, Karen Apellanis Borges<sup>1</sup>, Thales Quedi Furian<sup>1</sup>, Carlos Tadeu Pippi Salle<sup>1</sup>, Hamilton Luiz de Souza Moraes<sup>1</sup>, Vladimir Pinheiro do Nascimento<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centro de Diagnóstico e Pesquisa em Patologia Aviária, Faculdade de Veterinária, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

### Abstract

**Introduction:** *Campylobacter jejuni* is one of the most common bacterial causes of human gastroenteritis. Despite its public health importance, the virulence factors and mechanisms underlying *C. jejuni* pathogenesis remain poorly understood and the relationships between these genes and the sources of the strains are not clear. We aimed to determine the virulence profiles of *C. jejuni* isolated from poultry and human cases of Campylobacteriosis.

**Methodology:** A total of 50 strains of *C. jejuni* isolated from poultry and human cases of Campylobacteriosis were screened by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the presence of six pathogenic genes (*flaA*, *iam*, *wlaN*, *cdtA*, *cdtB*, *cdtC*).

**Results:** A total of 40% (10/25) of the human isolates presented only one virulence marker. In contrast, 64% (16/25) of the poultry-derived strains showed four or five virulence markers. *cdtA* and *flaA* occurred more frequently in poultry-derived strains than in human strains. Ten different virulence profiles were observed among the human isolates and 11 among the poultry strains. Only four profiles were common to both sources: profiles 3 (*flaA*, *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC*), 5 (*cdtA* and *cdtB*), 7 (*flaA* and *cdtB*), and 10 (*iam*, *flaA*, *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC*). The human-derived strains had a higher Shannon diversity index (1.9396) and Simpson index (0.8367), indicating a more diversified population than found in poultry (1.7742 and 0.7333, respectively).

**Conclusions:** We found variations in the genetic profiles of the circulating strains based on the isolation source and genes that are potentially pathogenic to humans were detected in poultry-derived strains.

**Key words:** Broiler; Campylobacteriosis; *Campylobacter jejuni*; human; virulence marker.

*J Infect Dev Ctries* 2022; 16(9):1466-1472. doi:10.3855/jidc.16485

(Received 16 February 2022 – Accepted 02 July 2022)

Copyright © 2022 Lima *et al.* This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Introduction

Campylobacteriosis is a foodborne disease caused by thermophilic *Campylobacter* species, most frequently *C. jejuni* (subspecies *jejuni*) and *C. coli* [1]. It is one of the most common bacteria-caused human gastroenteritis in the world [1-4]. *Campylobacter* infection usually causes abdominal and muscle pain, nausea, headache, fever, and diarrhea. Complications such as Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS), an acute neuromuscular paralysis, can occur [4,5].

*Campylobacter* species are usually present in the intestine of wild and domesticated animals. Poultry is the major reservoir and the primary source of transmission to humans [6,7]. Human cases of Campylobacteriosis are usually associated with the handling, preparation, and consumption of raw or undercooked chicken meat or cross-contamination with these products [8].

Despite its public health importance, the virulence factors and mechanisms underlying *C. jejuni*

pathogenesis remain poorly studied in Latin-American countries [9]. Moreover, the relationships between these genes and the sources are unclear [10]. *Campylobacter* virulence factors are mostly associated with motility, chemotaxis, the adhesion to and colonization of intestinal epithelial cells, invasion and translocation capabilities, production of toxins and secreted proteins, and other mechanisms essential for bacterial survival [11].

The cytolethal distending toxin (CDT) consists of three subunits encoded by the *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC* genes, which are genetically arranged as an operon [12]. CdtB is the active toxic unit. CdtA and CdtC are subunits required for CDT to bind on target cells and for the delivery of CdtB into the cell's interior [13]. Flagella motility is an important virulence marker for many bacterial pathogens, including *C. jejuni*, as it is necessary to establish infections [14]. *Campylobacter* strains carry one flagellum at each pole. Flagella are composed of several different proteins. The

extracellular filament structural components produce two flagellins, FlaA and FlaB (encoded by *flaA* and *flaB*, respectively) that form the flagellar filament [11,14]. The *Campylobacter* strains responsible for GBS also carry *wlaN*, which encodes a  $\beta$ -1,3-galactosyltransferase enzyme required for the production of sialylated lipooligosaccharide (LOSSIAL). *Campylobacter* LOSSIAL structures cross-react with gangliosides in peripheral nerves leading to clinical symptoms characteristic of this syndrome [15,16]. Invasion and host adaptation are influenced by several factors, for example, the expression of the invasion-associated marker (*iam*) is associated with more invasive *Campylobacter* strains [17].

Considering that *Campylobacter* is transferred from animals to humans, and that poultry serves as its main reservoir, knowing the genetic profile of circulating strains in broiler and human populations is important. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the virulence potential of *C. jejuni* isolated from poultry and humans.

## Methodology

### Bacterial strains

A total of 50 *C. jejuni* strains isolated from poultry ( $n = 25$ ) and from human cases of Campylobacteriosis ( $n = 25$ ) were used for this study. The poultry-derived strains were previously obtained from broiler carcass samples (cooled and frozen) from Brazilian poultry slaughterhouses according to the criteria described by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO 10272-1:2017). The human-derived strains were kindly

provided by the Oswaldo Cruz Institute Foundation (Fiocruz, Brazil). All strains were isolated in 2012. The bacterial isolates were stored at  $-80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  in brain-heart infusion broth (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) supplemented with 15% glycerol (Synth, Diadema, Brazil). The bacterial strains were cultured on blood base agar (Oxoid) supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood (Laborclin, Curitiba, Brazil) to reactivate them. The plates were incubated under microaerobic conditions using a gas tank [10% carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ), 5% oxygen ( $\text{O}_2$ ), and 85% nitrogen ( $\text{N}_2$ )] for 48 h at  $42\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

### DNA extraction

The DNA was extracted using an adapted protocol described by Borsoi *et al.* [18]. Briefly, an aliquot (1 mL each) of the bacterial cultures were boiled at  $95\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 10 min. After centrifugation at  $8,000 \times g$  for 2 min, the supernatants were stored at  $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  and used as template DNA. The genetic content of the isolates was confirmed by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based detection of 16S rRNA and *mapA* gene [19].

### PCR primer design and amplification

*C. jejuni* isolates were screened for the presence of six pathogenic genes: *flaA*, *iam*, *wlaN*, *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC*. The primers, PCR conditions, amplicon lengths, and references are listed in Table 1.

All PCR amplifications consisted of  $10 \times$  PCR Buffer [3  $\mu\text{L}$ ; 200 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 500 mM KCl], *Taq* thermostable DNA polymerase (2 U/ $\mu\text{L}$ ), dNTPs (2.5  $\mu\text{L}$ , 2.5 mM), and extracted template DNA

**Table 1.** List of primers and PCR conditions used in this study.

Target gene	Primers	Sequence (5'→3')	PCR conditions	Product (bp)	Reference
16S - rRNA	MD16S1	ATCTAATGCTTAACCATTAAAC	95 $^{\circ}\text{C}/10$ min, 35 cycles: 95 $^{\circ}\text{C}/30$ s, 59 $^{\circ}\text{C}/90$ s, 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, and 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/10$ min	857	<i>Campylobacter</i> genus identification [19,45]
	MD16S2	GGACGGTAACTAGTTAGTATT			
<i>mapA</i>	MDmapA1	CTATTTATTTTTGAGTGCTTGTG	94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min, 30 cycles: 94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, 48 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min 30 s, 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, and 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min	589	<i>C. jejuni</i> species identification [19]
	MDmapA2	GCTTTATTTGCCATTTGTTTTATTA			
<i>flaA</i>	flaAF	GGATTTCGTATTAACACAAATGGTGC	94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min, 30 cycles: 94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, 48 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min 30 s, 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, and 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min	1700	[46]
	flaAR	CTGTAGTAATCTTA AACATTTTG			
<i>iam</i>	IAMF	GCGCAAAATATTATCACCC	94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min, 30 cycles: 94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, 55 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, and 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min	518	[38]
	IAMR	TTCACGACTACTATGCGG			
<i>wlaN</i>	wlaN-DL39	TTAAGAGCAAGATATGAAGGTG	95 $^{\circ}\text{C}/10$ min, 25 cycles: 95 $^{\circ}\text{C}/30$ s, 60 $^{\circ}\text{C}/30$ s, 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, and 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min	434	[47]
	Cj1139cF	TGCTGGGTATACAAAAGGTTGTG			
<i>cdtA</i>	cdtAF	CCTTGTGATGCAAGCAATC	94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min, 30 cycles: 94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, 49 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, and 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min	370	[48]
	cdtAR	ACACTCCATTGCTTTCTG			
<i>cdtB</i>	cdtBF	CAGAAAGCAAATGGAGTGTT	94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min, 30 cycles: 94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, and 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min	620	[15]
	cdtBR	AGCTAAAAGCGGTGGAGTAT			
<i>cdtC</i>	cdtCF	CGATGAGTAAAACAAAAAGATA	94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min, 30 cycles: 94 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, 49 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, and 72 $^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min	182	[15]
	cdtCR	TTGGCATTATAGAAAATACAGTT			

(2 µL). For protocol 1 (*cdtA* and *cdtC*) the mixture (30 µL) consisted of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (1 mM) and primers (0.5 µL each, 20 pmol). Protocol 2 (*cdtB*) was composed of a mixture (30 µL) with MgCl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 mM) and primers (0.5 µL each, 20 pmol). For protocol 3 (*iam*), the mixture (25 µL) was composed of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 mM) and primers (0.5 µL each, 20 pmol). Finally, for protocols 4 (*flaA*) and 5 (*wlaN*), the mixture consisted of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (1.2 mM) and primers (0.5 µL each, 10 pmol). Sterile Milli-Q water was used to achieve the final reaction volume (25 µL or 30 µL). All amplification reactions were performed in a thermal cycler according to the cycling conditions described in Table 1. The DNA bands were stained with ethidium bromide to visualize the PCR products. Aliquots of 10 µL were subjected to electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel in Tris-acetylated EDTA (TAE) buffer for 2 h at 100 V, viewed under an ultraviolet transilluminator, and photographed. The size of the PCR amplicons was determined through comparison with a 100-bp DNA ladder (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). *C. jejuni* ATCC 33560 and two strains of *C. jejuni* from our laboratory stock collection were used as positive controls. In all PCRs, a mixture of all constituents of the PCR mixed without the addition of extracted DNA was used as a PCR control.

#### Statistical analysis

The data were subjected to statistical analysis using the PASW Statistics software (IBM, Hong Kong). Descriptive statistics (frequency distribution) were used to determine the presence of the virulence genes according to the isolation source (poultry and human). Chi square ( $\chi^2$ ) and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare the frequencies of virulence-associated genes within and between the isolation sources. Statistical significance was defined as  $p < 0.05$ , and the Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust the confidence intervals for multiple hypothesis testing; these adjusted  $p$  values are indicated in the tables. Shannon and Simpson diversity index values were determined based on virulence profiles. This index is calculated as the

natural logarithm of the proportion of individuals in one particular group divided by the total number of individuals. Populations with higher indexes are considered more diverse [20]. Simpson diversity index values (D) were calculated using the following formula:

$$D = 1 - \left( \frac{n(n-1)}{N(N-1)} \right)$$

where  $n$  represents the total number of bacterial strains with a particular virulence profile and  $N$  is the total number of bacterial strains from the respective source (human or poultry). The value of this index ranges from 0 to 1 with higher values indicating greater sample diversity [21].

## Results

The occurrence of virulence genes according to the isolation source is shown in Table 2. Only one strain (poultry origin) did not present any virulence markers. However, no strain presented all of the virulence markers. Among the human isolates, 40% (10/25) presented only one virulence marker. In contrast, 64% (16/25) of the poultry-derived strains presented four or five virulence markers. Statistical analysis indicated that the isolation sources differed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) regarding *cdtA* and *flaA*. For both genes, *C. jejuni* isolated from poultry presented higher frequencies of these pathogenicity-associated genes than the strains isolated from humans. Among the poultry-derived strains, *wlaN* and *iam* were significantly less frequent ( $p < 0.05$ ) than the other genes. Among the human isolates, the frequency of *cdtB* was significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) than the other genes.

The distribution of virulence profiles according to isolation source is described in Table 3. The distribution of *C. jejuni* strains indicated 10 virulence profiles among the human-derived strains and 11 profiles in the samples of poultry origin strains. Only four profiles were shared by both isolation sources: profiles 3 (*flaA*, *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC*), 5 (*cdtA* and *cdtB*), 7 (*flaA* and *cdtB*), and 10 (*iam*, *flaA*, *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC*). Among the human isolates, profiles 1 (*cdtB*) and 2 (*cdtA*, *cdtB*,

**Table 2.** Detection of virulence genes among *Campylobacter jejuni* strains.

Gene	Distribution		
	Poultry % (n = 25)	Human % (n = 25)	Total % (n = 50)
<i>cdtA</i>	84 (21) <sup>a,A</sup>	44 (11) <sup>b,A</sup>	64 (32) <sup>A</sup>
<i>cdtB</i>	88 (22) <sup>a,A</sup>	96 (24) <sup>a,B</sup>	92 (46) <sup>B</sup>
<i>cdtC</i>	76 (19) <sup>a,A</sup>	48 (12) <sup>a,A</sup>	62 (31) <sup>A</sup>
<i>flaA</i>	80 (20) <sup>a,A</sup>	20 (5) <sup>b,A</sup>	50 (25) <sup>A</sup>
<i>wlaN</i>	16 (4) <sup>B</sup>	0	8 (4) <sup>C</sup>
<i>iam</i>	4 (1) <sup>a,B</sup>	12 (3) <sup>a,A</sup>	8 (4) <sup>C</sup>

Different lowercase letters in the same line indicate significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) in detection of virulence-associated genes between sources of isolation (Fisher's exact test). Different uppercase letters in the same column indicate significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) in frequency detection of virulence-associated genes within the same source of isolation (Fisher's exact test; adjusted  $p$  value = 0.0085).

and *cdtC*) were the most common, representing 36% (9/25) and 20% (5/25) of these strains, respectively. Among the poultry strains, profile 3 was predominant, representing 52% (13/25) of these strains.

## Discussion

*C. jejuni* is one of the most reported causes of gastroenteritis worldwide [1]. However, most studies analyzing *Campylobacter* occurrence and pathogenicity focus on European and North American isolates [22]. The absence of an internal monitoring program adopted by poultry companies and national governments, especially in developing countries, is likely responsible for the lack of more precise data in these regions, including South America [23,24]. The pathogenic potential of *C. jejuni* strains isolated from Brazilian poultry has increased over the last decade [25]. Recently, *C. jejuni* strains isolated from poultry in Brazil were characterized according to their zoonotic risk [26]. However, studies comparing virulence factors in human and poultry sources in South American countries remain scarce. Therefore, studies that identify and monitor pathogenic markers in *C. jejuni* isolated from this region are extremely important for the development of effective management strategies.

*Campylobacter* spp. pathogenicity depends on the presence of virulence factors that differ among isolates of different origin [27]. In the present study, *Campylobacter* strains isolated from poultry presented more virulence markers than those obtained from humans. We expected that human-derived strains would present more virulence marks, as previously reported [28], because these isolates were obtained

from people presenting Campylobacteriosis, rather than presumably healthy chickens.

The presence of the *wlaN* gene is associated with the occurrence of GBS in patients with Campylobacteriosis [16]. This gene was only detected in the poultry-derived strains in the present study, which was unexpected. Although previous studies have detected this gene in human isolates in other countries [28,29], studies analyzing Brazilian samples also did not detect *wlaN* [30]; neither did these studies find a higher occurrence in *C. coli* strains than in *C. jejuni* [31]. The *wlaN* gene is associated with GBS. However, it is not essential, and other genes (e.g., *cgtB*) have also been associated with the occurrence of this syndrome [16,28]. The presence of *wlaN* in poultry isolates poses challenges to public health because the consumption of undercooked chicken meat is the most common transmission route of *C. jejuni* to humans [16].

The genes associated with bacterial motility are usually essential for the development of *Campylobacter* infection [28]. The *flaA* gene was significantly more common in the poultry-derived strains (80%) than in the human ones (20%). This result was not expected, since human-derived strains were isolated from persons suffering from Campylobacteriosis, and the poultry-derived strains were collected from carcasses of presumably healthy broilers. This differs from previous studies, which found this gene to be highly conserved among *C. jejuni*, independent of the strain's origin [26,28,32]. Similarly, other Brazilian studies found a high frequency (> 80%) of *flaA* among human and poultry isolates [25,33], which was not confirmed in the present study.

**Table 3.** Distribution of virulence profiles among *Campylobacter jejuni* strains, according to the source of isolation.

Profile number	Virulence profile	Distribution		
		Human % (n = 25)	Poultry % (n = 25)	Total % (n = 50)
1	<i>cdtB</i>	36 (9)	0	18 (9)
2	<i>cdtA, cdtB, cdtC</i>	20 (5)	0	10 (5)
3	<i>flaA, cdtA, cdtB, cdtC</i>	8 (2)	52 (13)	30 (15)
4	<i>cdtB, cdtC</i>	8 (2)	0	4 (2)
5	<i>cdtA, cdtB</i>	8 (2)	4 (1)	6 (3)
6	<i>iam</i>	4 (1)	0	2 (1)
7	<i>flaA, cdtB</i>	4 (1)	4 (1)	4 (2)
8	<i>iam, cdtA, cdtB, cdtC</i>	4 (1)	0	2 (1)
9	<i>flaA, cdtB, cdtC</i>	4 (1)	0	2 (1)
10	<i>iam, flaA, cdtA, cdtB, cdtC</i>	4 (1)	4 (1)	4 (2)
11	<i>wlaN, cdtA, cdtB</i>	0	8 (2)	4 (2)
12	<i>flaA, cdtB, cdtC</i>	0	8 (2)	4 (2)
13	<i>wlaN, flaA, cdtA, cdtB, cdtC</i>	0	4 (1)	2 (1)
14	<i>cdtA, cdtC</i>	0	4 (1)	2 (1)
15	<i>flaA, cdtA, cdtB</i>	0	4 (1)	2 (1)
16	<i>wlaN, flaA, cdtA, cdtC</i>	0	4 (1)	2 (1)
17	No virulence genes detected.	0	4 (1)	2 (1)

The expression of all three *cdt* genes is required to maximize the effect of CDT toxin [10,34]. In this study, one strain from each source did not present any of the *cdt* genes. Van Deun *et al.* [35] reported that not all *Campylobacter* strains produce toxins. In contrast, 75% (15/20) of poultry and 45% (9/20) of the human-derived strains possessed all three genes. Our results differ from previous reports which indicate that these genes occur with similar [10] or even a higher frequency in human-derived strains [36]. Furthermore, more pronounced CDT production is associated with human-derived strains [10,35]. Differences in cytotoxicity levels between isolates obtained from humans and poultry have also been previously described [10,35].

The genetic marker *iam* has been associated with the adherence and invasion of HEp-2 cells *in vitro*, and is usually positively associated with *in vitro* invasion [10,38]. Furthermore, a correlation between the clinical occurrence of diarrhea and the isolation of *Campylobacter* strains that adhere to and invade HEp-2 cells has been established [32]. In this study, a lower number (4/40) of *C. jejuni* strains presented this gene, regardless of the isolation source. Among the poultry strains, only one (4%) was positive for *iam*, and three human isolates (12%) presented this gene. The poultry strains analyzed in this study had a lower occurrence of *iam* than those evaluated in previous reports [39,40]. These results show that the occurrence of this virulence marker depends not only on the isolated *Campylobacter* species but also on the isolation source [26]. According to Carvalho *et al.* [40], the absence of *iam* in invasive strains supports the existence of function polymorphisms, high heterogeneity in the *iam* locus, and the contribution of additional loci.

In the present study, a variety of virulence profiles were identified, but only four (3, 5, 7, and 10) were shared by the human and poultry sources. Interestingly, profiles 5, 7, and 10 were not common in either of the two isolation sources. Profile 3 was the most common (52%) among the poultry-derived strains, and profiles 1 and 2 among the human isolates (56%).

These virulence profiles were also used to determine the diversity among *C. jejuni* strains. The Shannon diversity index is a statistical indicator that assumes all groups are represented in a sample and that they are randomly sampled. Populations with higher indexes are considered more diverse [20]. In this study, the human-derived strains had a higher Shannon diversity index (1.9396) than those isolated from poultry (1.7742), indicating a more diversified population in the former. Similarly, Simpson index values were greater for the human-derived strains

(0.8367) than their poultry counterparts (0.7333). These results differed from previous studies, which found that poultry isolates were more diverse than the human ones [39]. A possible reason for the difference found in the present study is that the poultry isolates were obtained from a single Brazilian state (RS, Brazil), and, therefore, the strain types may be restricted. On the contrary, although the human-derived strains were also obtained from a single institution, they were isolated from human samples received from across the country. However, it is noteworthy that previous studies have found that, despite the evidence that virulence-associated genes in *C. jejuni* are widely dispersed in both species, poultry isolates presented a high occurrence of virulence markers [10,41,42]. A previous study has evaluated the genotypic relationship between human and poultry strains of *C. jejuni* in Brazil and observed that human isolates presented limited virulence capacity when compared to their poultry counterparts, and were different in molecular typing. They also observed that the ability to cause GBS was similar for both strains [41].

Previous studies have demonstrated that *C. jejuni* isolated from Brazilian poultry presented a high level of diversity of circulating genotypes, since no clones were observed in strains isolated in the same period (2012) of the isolates from this study [43]. In addition, a concern regarding this high frequency of virulence genes in poultry isolates is their stability in chicken juice through conditions that mimic the transmission route and their transmission through host models, which demonstrate the potential transmission of *C. jejuni* from food to human [44]. It is possible that the overlap observed in distribution of virulence-associated genes among human and chicken isolates suggests that Campylobacteriosis may be linked with chicken meat [22]. However, their relationship with clinical severity in humans and the expression of virulence factors should be further investigated.

Studies that identify virulence traits are crucial to better understand the risk of Campylobacteriosis associated with different strains and the zoonotic potential of animal strains. However, despite the high prevalence of pathogenic factors found in the present study, it is difficult to predict the *in vivo* virulence of *C. jejuni* strains during human infection [10,28]. Thus, the detection of virulence-associated genes should be complemented by gene expression analyses and by determining the pathogenicity through *in vivo* models [10].

## Conclusions

This study has shown that there are variations in the genetic profiles of the circulating strains based on the isolation source (poultry or human) and that genes potentially pathogenic in humans were detected in poultry-derived strains. In this study, poultry strains presented more virulence markers, making these strains potentially capable to adapt to the environment, invade, and cause disease in humans. Our findings support the potential risk of transmitting highly virulent *C. jejuni* from chicken meat to human. Their relationship with clinical severity in humans and the expression of virulence factors warrant further investigation.

## References

- World Health Organization (2021) Food safety. Available: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/food-safety>. Accessed: 07 April 2021.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2021) *Salmonella* and food. Available: <https://www.cdc.gov/foodsafety/communication/salmonella-food.html>. Accessed: 07 April 2021.
- European Food Safety Authority, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (2021) The European Union one health 2019 zoonoses report. EFSA J 19: 1-286.
- Rodrigues CS, Armendaris PM, de Sá CVGC, Haddad JPA, de Melo CB (2021) Prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. in chicken carcasses in slaughterhouses from south of Brazil. Cur Microbiol 78: 2242-2250.
- World Health Organization, Food and Agriculture Organization, World Organization for Animal Health (2012) The global view of *Campylobacteriosis*: report of an expert consultation - 2012. Available: [https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/80751/9789241564601\\_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/80751/9789241564601_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y). Accessed: 3 June 2021.
- Humphrey S, Chaloner G, Kemmett K, Davidson N, Williams N, Kipar A, Humphrey T, Wigley P (2014) *Campylobacter jejuni* is not merely a commensal in commercial broiler chickens and affects bird welfare. MBio 5: e01364-14.
- Rasschaert G, De Zutter L, Herman L, Heyndrickx M (2020) *Campylobacter* contamination of broilers: the role of transport and slaughterhouse. Int J Food Microbiol 322: 108564.
- Silva J, Leite D, Fernandes M, Mena C, Gibbs PA, Teixeira P (2011) *Campylobacter* spp. as a foodborne pathogen: a review. Front Microbiol 2: 1-12.
- Levicán A, Ramos-Tapia I, Briceño I, Guerra F, Mena B, Varela C, Porte L (2019) Genomic analysis of Chilean strains of *Campylobacter jejuni* from human faeces. Biomed Res Int 8: 1902732.
- Wysok B, Wojtacka J, Kivistö R (2020) Pathogenicity of *Campylobacter* strains of poultry and human origin from Poland. Int J Food Microbiol 334: 108830.
- Bolton DC (2015) *Campylobacter* virulence and survival factors. Food Microbiol 48: 99-108.
- Asakura M, Samosornsuk W, Taguchi M, Kobayashi K, Misawa N, Kusumoto M, Nishimura K, Matsuhisa A, Yamasaki S (2007) Comparative analysis of cytolethal distending toxin (*cdt*) genes among *Campylobacter jejuni*, *C. coli* and *C. fetus* strains. Microb Path 42: 174-183.
- Méndez-Olvera ET, Bustos-Martínez JA, López-Vidal Y, Verdugo-Rodríguez A, Martínez-Gómez D (2016) Cytolethal distending toxin from *Campylobacter jejuni* requires the cytoskeleton for toxic activity. Jundishapur J Microbiol 9: e35591.
- Radomska KA, Wösten MMSM, Ordoñez SR, Wagenaar JA, van Putten JPM (2017) Importance of *Campylobacter jejuni* *FliS* and *FliW* in flagella biogenesis and flagellin secretion. Front Microbiol 8: 1060.
- Datta S, Niwa H, Itoh K (2003) Prevalence of 11 pathogenic genes of *Campylobacter jejuni* by PCR in strains isolated from humans, poultry meat and broiler and bovine faeces. J Med Microbiol. 52: 345-348.
- Guirado P, Paytubi S, Miró E, Iglesias-Torrens Y, Navarro F, Cerdà-Cuéllar M, Otto Attolini CSO, Balsalobre C, Madrid C (2020) Differential distribution of the *wlaN* and *cgtB* genes, associated with Guillain-Barré Syndrome, in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from humans, broiler chickens, and wild birds. Microorganisms 8: 325.
- Sanad YM, Kassem II, Liu Z, Lin J, LeJeune JT, Rajashekara G (2011) Occurrence of the invasion associated marker (*iam*) in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from cattle. BMC Res Notes 4: 570.
- Borsoi A, Santín E, Santos LR, Salle CTP, Moraes HLS, Nascimento VP (2009) Inoculation of newly hatched broiler chicks with two Brazilian isolates of *Salmonella* Heidelberg strains with different virulence gene profiles, antimicrobial resistance, and pulsed field gel electrophoresis patterns to intestinal changes evaluation. Poult Sci 88: 750-758.
- Denis M, Soumet C, Rivoal K, Ermel G, Blivet D, Salvat G, Colin P (1999) Development of a m-PCR assay for simultaneous identification of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli*. Lett Appl Microbiol 29: 406-410.
- Pielou EC (1975) Ecological Diversity. New York: Wiley-Interscience, 166p.
- Simpson E (1949) Measurement of diversity. Nature 163: 688.
- González-Hein G, Huaracán B, García P, Figueroa G (2013) Prevalence of virulence genes in strains of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from human, bovine and broiler. Braz J Microbiol 44: 1223-1229.
- Mendonça EP, De Melo RT, Prado RR, Monteiro GP, Brasão SC, Timoteo MF, Rossi DA (2015) *Campylobacteriosis*: an emerging zoonosis, underdiagnosed and underreported by public health agencies in Brazil. Biosc J 31: 1458-1474.
- Borges KA, Cisco IC, Furian TQ, Tedesco DC, Rodrigues LB, Nascimento VP, dos Santos LR (2020) Detection and quantification of *Campylobacter* spp. in Brazilian poultry processing plants. J Infect Dev Ctries 14: 109-113. doi: 10.3855/jidc.11973.
- Melo RT, Graziotin AL, Júnior ECV, Prado RR, Mendonça EP, Monteiro GP, Peres PABM, Rossi DA (2019) Evolution of *Campylobacter jejuni* of poultry origin in Brazil. Food Microbiol 82: 489-496.
- Sierra-Arguello YM, Perdoncini G, Rodrigues LB, dos Santos LR, Borges KA, Furian TQ, Salle CTP, Moraes HLS, Nascimento VP (2021) Identification of pathogenic genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from broiler carcasses and broiler slaughterhouses Sci Rep 11: 4588.
- Reddy S, Zishiri OT (2018) Genetic characterization of virulence genes associated with adherence, invasion and cytotoxicity in *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from commercial

- chickens and human clinical cases. Onderstepoort J Vet Res 85: e1-e9.
28. Wiczczonek K, Wołkowicz T, Osek J (2018) Antimicrobial resistance and virulence-associated traits of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from poultry food chain and humans with diarrhea. Front Microbiol 9: 1508.
  29. Truccollo B, Whyte P, Burgess C, Bolton D (2021) Genetic characterization of a subset of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from clinical and poultry sources in Ireland. PLoS One 16: e0246843.
  30. Würfel SFR, Prates DF, Kleinubing NR, Vecchia JD, Vaniel C, Haubert L, Dellagostin OA, Silva WP (2021) Comprehensive characterization reveals antimicrobial-resistant and potentially virulent *Campylobacter* isolates from poultry meat products in Southern Brazil. LWT 149: 111831.
  31. Gomes CN, Passaglia J, Vilela FP, Silva FMHSP, Duque SS, Falcão JP (2018) High survival rates of *Campylobacter coli* under different stress conditions suggest that more rigorous food control measures might be needed in Brazil. Food Microbiol 73: 327-333.
  32. Rizal A, Kumar A, Vidyarthi AS (2010) Prevalence of pathogenic genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from poultry and human. Int J Food Saf 12: 29-34.
  33. Quetz JDS, Lima IFN, Havt A, Prata MMG, Cavalcante PA, Medeiros PHQS, Cid DAC, Moraes ML, Rey LC, Soares AM, Mota RMS, Weigl BH, Guerrant RL, Lima AAM (2012) *Campylobacter jejuni* infection and virulence-associated genes in children with moderate to severe diarrhea admitted to emergency rooms in northeastern Brazil. J Med Microbiol 61: 507-513.
  34. Martínez I, Mateo E, Churrua E, Girbau C, Alonso R, Fernández-Astorga A (2006) Detection of *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC* genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* by multiplex PCR. Int J Med Microbiol 296: 45-48.
  35. Van Deun K, Haesebrouck F, Heyndrickx M, Favoreel H, Dewulf J, Ceelen L, Dumez L, Messens W, Leleu S, Immerseel FV, Ducatelle R, Pasmans F (2007) Virulence properties of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates of poultry and human origin. J Med Microbiol 56: 1284-1289.
  36. Findik A, Ica T, Onuk E, Percin D, Kevenk TO, Ciftci A (2011) Molecular typing and *cdt* genes prevalence of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from various sources. Trop Anim Health Prod 43: 711-719.
  37. Gilbert C, Slavik M (2004) Determination of toxicity of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from humans and from poultry carcasses acquired at various stages of production. J Appl Microbiol 97: 347-353.
  38. Carvalho AC, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Ramos-Cervantes P, Cervantes LE, X Jiang X, Pickering LK (2001) Molecular characterization of invasive and noninvasive *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolates. J Clin Microbiol 39: 1353-1359.
  39. El-Hamid MIA, El-Aziz NKA, Samir M, El-Naenaeey EY, Remela EMA, Mosbah RA, Bendary MM (2019) Genetic diversity of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from avian and human sources in Egypt. Front Microbiol 10: 2353.
  40. Wysok B, Wojtacka J, Wiszniewska-Łaszcznych A, Sztejn J (2020) Antimicrobial resistance and virulence properties of *Campylobacter* spp. originating from domestic geese in Poland. Animals (Basel) 10: 742.
  41. Melo RT, Dumont CF, Braz RF, Monteiro GP, Takeuchi MG, Lourenzatto ECA, Santos JP, Rossi GA (2021) Genotypical relationship between human and poultry strains of *Campylobacter jejuni*. Curr Microbiol 78: 2980-2988.
  42. Ghoneim NH, Abdel-Moein KAA, Barakat AMAK, Hegazi AG, Abd El-Razik KAEH, Sadek SAS (2021) Isolation and molecular characterization of *Campylobacter jejuni* from chicken and human stool samples in Egypt. Food Sci. Technol 41: 195-202.
  43. Melo RT, Mendonça EP, Valadares Júnior EC, Monteiro GP, Peres PABM, Rossi DA (2019) *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* originated from chicken carcasses modulate their transcriptome to translate virulence genes in human cells. Pesq Vet Bras 39: 592-599.
  44. Vučković D, Pogačar MS, Raspor P, Abram M, Možina SS, Klančnik A (2017) Virulence comparison of human and poultry *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates in a mouse model. Med Res Arch 5: 1-11.
  45. Linton D, Lawson AJ, Owen RJ, Stanley J (1997) PCR detection, identification to species level, and fingerprinting of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* direct from diarrheic samples. J Clin Microbiol 35: 2568-2572.
  46. Ertas HB, Cetinkaya B, Muz A, Ongör H (2004) Genotyping of broiler-originated *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolates using *fla* typing and random amplified polymorphic DNA methods. Int J Food Microbiol 94: 203-209.
  47. Linton D, Gilbert M, Hitchen PG, Dell A, Morris HR, Wakarchuk WW, Gregson NA, Wren BW (2000) Phase variation of a  $\beta$ -1,3 galactosyltransferase involved in generation of the ganglioside GM1-like lipo-oligosaccharide of *Campylobacter jejuni*. Mol Microbiol 37: 501-514.
  48. Wiczczonek K, Osek J (2008) Identification of virulence genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* isolates by PCR. Bull Vet Inst Pulawy 52: 211-216.

#### Corresponding author

Karen Apellanis Borges, PhD  
 Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul,  
 9090 Avenida Bento Gonçalves.  
 Tel: +55 51 3308-6138  
 Fax: +55 51 3308-6138  
 Email: karen.borges@ufrgs.br

**Conflict of interests:** No conflict of interests is declared.