

## Review

# A review on aeromoniasis in poultry: A bacterial disease of zoonotic nature

Wafaa A Abd El-Ghany<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Poultry Diseases Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

### Abstract

*Aeromonas* spp. are widely distributed in surface water, sewage, untreated and chlorinated, drinking water, as well as meats, fish, shellfish, poultry, and their products. A disease caused by *Aeromonas* spp. is designated as aeromoniasis. It can affect different aquatic animals, mammals, and birds in different geographic regions. Moreover, gastrointestinal and extra-intestinal disease conditions may be provoked in humans as a result of food poisoning with *Aeromonas* spp. Some *Aeromonas* spp. have been identified, however, *Aeromonas hydrophila* (*A. hydrophila*), *A. caviae*, and *A. veronii* bv. *sobria* may be of public health significance. *Aeromonas* spp. are members of family *Aeromonadaceae* and genus *Aeromonas*. They are Gram-negative rod-shaped, facultative anaerobic, and oxidase and catalase-positive bacteria. The pathogenicity of *Aeromonas* in different hosts is mediated by several virulence factors such as endotoxins, cytotoxic enterotoxin, cytotoxins, hemolysins, adhesins, and extracellular enzymes such as proteases, amylases, lipases, ADP-ribosyltransferases, and DNases. Most avian species are susceptible to either natural or experimental infections with *Aeromonas* spp. Infection usually arises through fecal-oral route. Traveler's diarrhea as well as other systemic and local infections are the clinical picture of food poisoning associated with aeromoniasis in humans. Despite *Aeromonas* spp. being sensitive to various antimicrobials, multiple drug resistance has been commonly reported worldwide. Accordingly, this review highlights aeromoniasis in poultry regarding *Aeromonas* virulence factors epidemiology, pathogenicity, zoonosis, and antimicrobial resistance.

**Key words:** *Aeromonas*; health hazard; resistance; poultry; virulence.

*J Infect Dev Ctries* 2023; 17(1):1-9. doi:10.3855/jidc.17186

(Received 01 August 2022 – Accepted 23 November 2022)

Copyright © 2023 Abd El-Ghany. This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Introduction

*Aeromonas* spp. have a wide geographical distribution, being able to cause a wide spectrum of diseases in humans [1]. Aeromonads are considered as ubiquitous inhabitants of aquatic and terrestrial cold-blooded animals such as fish [2]. The clinical disease caused by *Aeromonas* spp. is referred to as aeromoniasis and is globally distributed. The disease in poultry has been reported in many parts of the world causing localized or systemic infections either alone or in combination with other infections [3,4]. *Aeromonas* spp. have the ability to adapt to different ecological sites in the host [5] and have astonishing characteristics that permit their capabilities to survive and flourish under diverse environmental conditions [6,7], thus allowing their cosmopolitan occurrence in nature. The droppings of living birds [8], poultry carcasses, and poultry plant processing water [9] are important sources of infection for the pathogenic types of *Aeromonas* spp.

*Aeromonas* spp. are Gram-negative, non-spore former, rod-shaped, facultative anaerobic, and oxidase, catalase, and indole-positive bacteria [10]. *Aeromonas* genus comprises more than 30 species, of which

*Aeromonas hydrophila* (*A. hydrophila*), *A. caviae*, *A. media*, *A. veronii* bv. *sobria*, and *A. veronii* bv. *veronii* are of special clinical importance [11].

Aeromonads have been implicated in food-borne disease outbreaks, particularly in developing countries where hygiene is a challenge [12]. Strains of *A. hydrophila*, *A. sobria*, and *A. caviae* have been shown as emergent food-borne pathogens implicated in human gastroenteritis and extra-intestinal diseases [13]. However, the pathogenesis and virulence factors associated with aeromonads in different hosts are not fully understood [14]. *Aeromonas* spp. found in food can produce different exotoxins, some of which are enterotoxins [15].

Little information regarding aeromoniasis in poultry is available as most of the researches deal with the infection of aquatic animals and the presence of *Aeromonas* spp. in retail animals and poultry products. Based on currently available knowledge about aeromonads, this review article silhouettes at investigating aeromoniasis in poultry regarding *Aeromonas* virulence factors, epidemiology, pathogenicity, zoonosis, and antimicrobial resistance.

## Etiology and virulence factors

### Etiology

*Aeromonas* spp. are members of the family *Aeromonadaceae* and genus *Aeromonas*, Gram-negative and asporogenous short rod-shaped bacteria. They are facultative anaerobe microorganisms that can grow over a wide range of environmental conditions as pH values from 4.0 to 10.0 and salt concentrations up to 6.5% [16]. Setta [17] isolated *A. hydrophila* from cloacal samples of experimentally infected chicks for up to 16 days post-infection. The long persistence rate of *A. hydrophila* in the droppings explains its possible importance on health especially when it occurs in broilers associated with carcass contamination at processing [18]. Moreover, *A. hydrophila* could persist in chicken crates, droppings, ration, and sawdust and straw for 11, 9, 23, 22, and 17 days, respectively [18]. In addition, Kelley *et al.* [19] isolated *A. hydrophila* with other bacteria from litter during reutilization as a bedding supplement of growing broiler chickens.

The genus *Aeromonas* consists of two groups; one group is non-motile psychrophilic (*A. salmonicida*) and the other one comprising of three mesophilic motile *A. hydrophila* (*A. caviae* and *A. sobria*) [20]. Motile aeromonads are ubiquitous and autochthonous aquatic bacteria that are present in fresh, sewage, and brackish water [21] as well as in chlorinated and non-chlorinated drinking water [22,23]. The completely identified *Aeromonas* spp. are 15 types, 6 of them are of public health significance including *A. hydrophila*, *A. caviae*, *A. veronii* bv *sobria*, *A. veronii* bv *veronii*, *A. jandaei*, and *A. schubertii*. Other 9 species are environmental types including *A. salmonicida*, *A. encheilia*, *A. popoffi*, *A. media*, *A. eucrenophila*, *A. allosaccharophila*, *A. bestiarum*, *A. sobria*, and *A. trota* [24]. Based on the biochemical and physiological growth characteristics, *Aeromonas* spp. are belonging to 3 major groups; *A. caviae* group includes *A. caviae*, *A. eucrenophila*, and *A. media*; *A. hydrophila* group includes *A. hydrophila* and a motile biogroup of *A. salmonicida*; as well as *A. sobria* group includes *A. sobria* and *A. veronii*.

Most members of *Aeromonas* genus are mesophilic that can grow at an optimal growth temperature of 28 °C, while some members can grow at temperatures ranging from 4 °C to 42 °C. They are non-lactose fermenter, but oxidase, catalase, indole, glucose fermenter, and nitrate reduction positive [25]. These organisms are able to grow on sheep blood agar and produce  $\beta$  hemolysis. According to the product information from the manufacturer, the Microbact™ 24E system (Oxoid) can identify the following species,

*A. hydrophila*, *A. veronii* bv *sobria*, *A. veronii* bv *veronii*, and *A. caviae* [26].

### Virulence factors

The pathology and virulence of *Aeromonas* spp. may result from stress responses and heat shock proteins [27]. Moreover, intestinal and systemic infections are mediated by numerous virulence factors [28,29] including endotoxins, cytotoxic enterotoxin (*act*), cytotoxins, hemolysins, adhesins, and extracellular enzymes such as proteases, amylases, lipases, ADP-ribosyltransferases, and DNases. The diversity in virulence among *Aeromonas* spp. isolates and the relationship of virulence markers reveal great variation according to the survival needs in the environment. The expression of *Aeromonas* virulence factors has been linked with gene regulation cascades associated with interactions of the pathogen with the environment [30].

Species of *Aeromonas* harbor different virulence genes such as haemolysin (*hlyA*), aerolysin (*aerA*), and extracellular deoxyribonuclease (*exu*) [31]. Each of these genes has an essential role in the pathogenicity related to diarrheal diseases [32,33]. For instance, the *exu* gene codes for an extracellular DNase that blocks the antibacterial host defenses [34]. Its existence is correlated with the bacterial ability to invade, colonize, and survive in the host immune system [28]. This gene is prevalent in 96% of environmental *Aeromonas* spp. isolates worldwide [35]. Moreover, many toxin genes have been reported among *Aeromonas* spp. isolates [30,36]. In cases of intestinal infections, the heat-labile cytotoxic enterotoxin (*alt*) gene has been associated with loose feces, *alt* plus heat-stable cytotoxic enterotoxin (*ast*) with watery feces, while cytolytic enterotoxin (*act*) with bloody feces [37]. The *alt* gene causes excessive secretion of fluid inside the host's cell [30]. Besides, *aerA* gene is the major virulence contributor in pathogenic *Aeromonas* spp. [38]. It is a pore-forming toxin that binds to the receptors of the host's cell membrane. Following proteolytic activation, *aerA* gene causes pores that lead to the destruction of membrane permeability, osmotic lysis, and cell death [39]. It is important to note that *aerA* gene is the most prevalent in marine mammals and food sources [14]. Genes associated with *Aeromonas* virulence are not only present in clinical cases, but also they are present in different food sources such as water and fish [40]. Cytotoxic enterotoxin is incriminated in the triggering of inflammatory response in host cells, disorders of the plasma membrane, and degeneration of the intestinal villi causing bloody diarrhea [33]. Biofilm formation is

another vital virulence factor and plays a potential role in the initial bacterial attachment, adhesion, and colonization of the host's surface epithelium and intestinal villi, as well as reduced susceptibility to antibiotics and recognition by the immunologic system [41,42]. The presence of biofilm-forming *Aeromonas* isolates in poultry and poultry workers was reported [9]. Igbino [43] assessed the presence of biofilm-forming *Aeromonas* isolates in chicken droppings and found that 42.1% (8/19), 31.6% (6/19), 10.5% (2/19), and 15.8% (3/19) were moderate, weak, non, and strong producers of biofilm, respectively. The biofilm genes may include polar flagellin (*fla*) and lateral flagellin (*lafA*).

## Epidemiology

### The incidence

The incidence of *Aeromonas* spp. in poultry flocks and environment of different countries (1990s-2020s) is presented in Table 1 [44-52].

### Susceptibility and infection

*Aeromonas* infections were frequently reported in some avian spp. *Aeromoniasis* were represented as high mortality in chicks [17], septicemia in turkey [53], salpingitis in ducks [54,55], epidemic deaths in Mallard ducks [56], watery diarrhea in waterfowls [27], necrotizing enteritis and septicemia in ostriches [49], conjunctivitis in pet parrots [57], haemorrhagic septicemia in captive ground-hornbill [58], and

**Table 1.** The incidence of *Aeromonas* spp. in poultry flocks and environment of different countries (1990s-2020s).

Country	Source	Findings	Reference
Egypt	Broiler chickens, ducks, and turkeys	<i>A. hydrophila</i> was isolated from different ages of dead or euthanized chickens and from ducks and turkeys with percentages of 15%, 22.5%, and 20%, respectively.	[44]
	Broiler chickens	The isolation rate of <i>A. hydrophila</i> was 18% (9/50) from diarrheic cloacal samples, but 14% (7/50) from cloacal swabs of apparently healthy broilers.	[45]
	Broiler and layer chickens and broiler ducks	<i>Aeromonas</i> spp. were recovered from 9 out of 16 (15%) broiler chickens, from 1 out of 7 (14.3%) layer chickens, and from 2 out of 11 (18.2%) duck flocks suffering from diarrhea and stunted growth. Moreover, out of 14 <i>Aeromonas</i> isolates, 7 isolates were <i>A. caviae</i> (3 from liver and 4 from intestines); 2 were <i>A. hydrophila</i> (1 from liver and 1 from intestine); 3 were <i>A. schubertii</i> (1 from liver and 2 from intestines); and 2 were <i>A. trola</i> (1 from liver and 1 from intestine).	[4]
	Water surface	Seventeen isolates of <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. were isolated from Manzala Lake and identified as 11 <i>A. hydrophila</i> and 6 <i>A. sobria</i>	[46]
	Feed	<i>A. hydrophila</i> persisted in chicken's ration for 23 days	[18]
	Feed	<i>A. hydrophila</i> was found in 18 out of 37 (48.6%) in the fish meal.	[45]
Turkey	Feed and water	Seventeen <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. isolates were detected in 50 poultry flocks where 11 (22%) isolates were found in ration and 6 ones (11%) were isolated from water samples. The isolates were identified as 3 <i>A. caviae</i> and 8 <i>A. hydrophila</i> in ration as well as 4 <i>A. caviae</i> and 2 <i>A. hydrophila</i> in water.	[4]
	Broiler chickens	<i>Aeromonas</i> spp. were detected in 15 (29%) samples by the direct plating method and in 89 (17.5%) samples by enrichment method. Moreover, motile aeromonads were isolated from 48 (18.8%) of 254 diarrhoeic and from 41 (16.1%) of 254 normal chickens. Out of these isolates, 53 (59.6%), 14 (5.7%), and 22 (24.7%) were identified as <i>A. hydrophila</i> , <i>A. sobria</i> , and <i>A. caviae</i> , respectively.	[47]
California	African grey parrot	Both <i>Burkholderia cepacia</i> and <i>A. hydrophila</i> were detected in brain, lung, liver, kidney, and heart samples of birds showed neurological and respiratory signs and lesions.	[48]
	Ostriches	<i>Aeromonas</i> spp. were identified microscopically from intestine, liver, lungs, and trachea of 10-years-old male ostrich had neurological signs, severe necrotizing enteritis, and septicemia. However, vitamin A deficiency might have predisposed the case to the <i>Aeromonas</i> infection.	[49]
Nigeria	Commercial chickens	Two thousand oro-pharyngeal swabs and samples from bone marrow, heart, liver, lung and spleen were collected from 400 apparently healthy and diseased chickens for isolation of <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. From the bone marrow, heart, and liver of the diseased chickens, a total 11 (0.5%) <i>A. hydrophila</i> isolates were identified. However, <i>Aeromonas</i> bacterium was not isolated at all from the apparently healthy chickens.	[50]
Brazil	Water surface	<i>Aeromonas</i> spp. were found in 12 of 200 (6%) drinking water samples and they were identified as <i>A. caviae</i> (41.7%), <i>A. hydrophila</i> (15.7%), <i>A. allosacharophila</i> (10.4%), <i>A. schubertii</i> (1%), and other <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. (31.2%).	[51]
Italy	Water surface	Twenty seven <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. were found in the surface water and represented as 5 <i>A. hydrophila</i> (18.5%), 5 <i>A. caviae</i> (18.5%), 4 <i>A. veronii</i> bv <i>sobria</i> (14.8%), 1 <i>A. salmonicida</i> (3.7%), 4 <i>A. eucrenophila</i> (14.8%), 1 <i>A. trola</i> (3.7%), 3 <i>A. media</i> (11.1%), 1 <i>A. bestiarum</i> (3.7%), 2 <i>A. sobria</i> (7.4%), and 1 <i>A. Jandaiei</i> (3.7%)	[52]

droppings of raptors [59]. Moreover, diarrhea and weight loss were demonstrated in Japanese quails, canaries, cockatiels, and other psittacine and wild birds [60-63].

The horizontal mean of aeromonad's infection through fecal-oral route is common in birds [50]. Roskopf and Woerpel [63] demonstrated that exposure of birds to *A. hydrophila* infection may occur via their food and transmission is primarily via oral routes and fecal shedding into the environment. This may reflect a disturbance in the intestinal ecology which has permitted the pathogen growth to high numbers [64]. Contaminated drinking water and un-hygienic contaminated feed particularly fish meal are sources of aeromonads infection in poultry. Fish and shellfish may harbor pathogenic *A. hydrophila*. For example, Farag [65] demonstrated that poorly processed fish or shellfish in poultry ration could lead to *Aeromonas* infection.

Some suitable environmental conditions such as increased humidity and temperature as well as poor hygienic conditions in hatcheries may provoke *A. hydrophila* infection via eggshell penetration [18,66]. Musgrove *et al.* [67] isolated *A. hydrophila* and other enterobacteria from the eggs shell of chickens. However, aeromoniasis is not a congenitally transferred disease, as the ovary and oviduct have no role in dissemination of *A. hydrophila* infection [18].

#### Pathogenicity

The pathogenicity of *Aeromonas* spp. is complex and multifactorial as it is associated with many virulence factors [68]. It has been documented that *A. hydrophila* either alone or in combination with other pathogens may cause localized and systemic infections in poultry [69]. Concomitant *Aeromonas* infections with other diseases such as salmonellosis [70] and fowl cholera [3] have been reported.

Depression, ruffled feathers, severe diarrhea, emaciation, and congested friable livers were observed 2 days post-experimental infection of Japanese quails with *A. hydrophila* [27]. Additionally, *A. hydrophila* challenge in 2 and 5-day-old chicks induced 60% to 100% mortality, gastrointestinal disturbance, and congestion of the liver, spleen, lungs, kidneys, and intestine [71]. Moreover, petechial haemorrhages on the liver, omphalitis, enteritis, and nephrosis have been reported following experimental inoculation of chicks with *A. hydrophila* [17]. Mahmoud and Tanios [72] detected a mortality rate of 52.5% following subcutaneous (S/C) injection of a dose of  $3.5 \times 10^7$  of *A. hydrophila*, while the mortality was decreased to

35% following inoculation of  $1.5 \times 10^9$  of *A. hydrophila*. Hatched chicks from *A. hydrophila* infected eggs showed mortalities reached 13.3% and 1.7% during 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> week post-hatching, respectively, and the chicks exhibited omphalitis, enteritis, unabsorbed yolk sac, distended gall bladder, and congested liver and heart (18). In the study of Girh *et al.* [73], the results showed that S/C inoculation of 2-weeks-old Fayoumi chicks with *Aeromonas* spp. isolates induced mild pathogenicity with a long course of diarrhea and enteritis. The pathogenicity test for *A. hydrophila*, *A. trota*, *A. caviae*, and *A. schubertii* was reported in day-old chicks and the results revealed mortality rate of 13.3% in *A. hydrophila*, 20% in *A. trota*, 13.3% in *A. caviae*, and 6.7% in *A. schubertii* infected chicks [4]. Off-food, pasty vent, diarrhea, enteritis, unabsorbed yolk sac, distended gall bladder, generalized congestion, enlarged spleen and kidney, congested lungs, and air sac turbidity were also seen. Besides, *A. schubertii* infection induced more marked adverse effects on body weight than that of *A. caviae*, *A. trota*, and *A. hydrophila*.

#### Zoonosis

The risk of food-borne disease, due to *Aeromonas* infection has been increased due to the ability of the organism to grow at low temperatures and produce toxins [74]. Many predisposing factors are involved in human's aeromoniasis including ingestion of contaminated food and water [75], presence of other diseases conditions as diabetes [76], and immunosuppression and age of humans [77]. Depending on *Aeromonas* virulence and antibiotic resistance profiles, numerous spp. have been reported as important zoonotic pathogens [22]. Chickens carcasses, heart, and liver could be potential sources for the spread of *Aeromonas* infection and they present a possible threat to public health [78].

Aeromonads are identified as causative agents of diarrhea with a public health hazard importance [79]. Infants and the elderly are more severely affected by *Aeromonas*-diarrheal conditions than other ages [80]. In some areas, aeromonads have been regarded as emerging food-borne pathogens involved in human's gastroenteritis, ranging from mild diarrheal to cholera-like sickness [36,81]. Moreover, they are involved in human's extra-intestinal infections [82-84]. For example, *Aeromonas* spp. infections were reported to cause severe meningitis, cellulites, otitis, septicemia, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, peritonitis, bacteremia, septicaemia, and respiratory tract disease in humans [9,85]. Besides, the organisms were implicated as the

cause of traveler's diarrhea in 18 (2%) out of 863 patients [86].

Aquatic environment as well as different food including fish, seafood, and raw and cooked meat and chickens can be a potential vehicle for human's infections with aeromonads [15,87-91]. Examined 563 samples of fish, raw and cooked meat, and pre-prepared salads revealed the presence of mesophilic *Aeromonas* spp. in 287 samples as most of contaminated samples were offals (84.3%) and chickens (79.3%) [92]. *Aeromonas salmonicida* was isolated from paddlefish [93]. Moreover, *Aeromonas* spp. were isolated from frozen fish intended for human consumption in Mexico City [94]. Therefore, the presence of *Aeromonas* organisms in the raw meat samples can represent a serious potential risk for public health. In India, out of 154 food samples represented chickens, fish, and ready-to-eat sprouts, 22 (14.28%) isolates were *Aeromonas* spp. and the highest percentage of isolation was from chickens (28.6%), followed by fish (20%), and sprout (2.5%) [95]. Moreover, 53 (57.6%) and 27 (17%) of aeromonads isolates were characterized in 92 chickens and 158 minced meat samples, respectively. The isolation rate of aeromonads was significantly higher in chicken than in minced meat samples [96]. Mailafia *et al.* [97], in Nigeria, reported on isolation of *A. hydrophila* from diarrheic patients in a rate of 6.8%.

### Antimicrobial resistance

Multiple drug resistance of *Aeromonas* spp. strains have been commonly reported worldwide due to frequent administration of antibiotics besides the classical resistance to  $\beta$  lactam group [98]. Considering the risk to human health, the incidence of antimicrobial resistance is alarming especially among *A. hydrophila*, *A. caviae*, and *A. sobria*, which are responsible for infections in both animals and humans [99]. Antibiotic resistance occurs either by carrying intrinsic genes or by acquiring resistance markers from other pathogens [15,74]. Aeromonads could possess integron that enables bacteria to acquire and transfer antibiotic resistance genes, giving rise to the risk of resistant bacterial infections [9,100]. Aeromonads were initially shown as susceptible to tetracycline, chloramphenicol, cephalosporins, aminoglycosides, and quinolones [22]. However, chromosomal inducible  $\beta$  lactamase class C has been detected as a major mechanism of *Aeromonas* spp. resistance to cephalosporins and cefoxitin [101]. Moreover, Sinha [102] found high levels of intrinsic resistance to antimicrobials among *Aeromonas* isolates due to *gyr* gene of chromosomal origin and *qnr* gene of plasmid origin. It has been reported that *Aeromonas*

spp. revealed resistance to quinolones in domestic and free-living animals, hospital effluents, and wastewater [103-105]. Aeromonads may also become a reservoir of gene encoding resistance to some antimicrobials such as tetracycline. Stratev and Odeyemi [106] reported on the spread of tetracycline-resistant plasmids between *A. hydrophila* and *Escherichia coli* and between human and aquaculture in different locations. Besides, pathogenic *Aeromonas* spp. are capable of transferring the genes responsible for antimicrobial resistance to other pathogenic organisms in humans and throughout the food chain which is a risk to human and animal health [31]. Biofilm formation also enhances aeromonads to be more resistant to antimicrobial agents and host defenses. Bacteria may express more virulent phenotypes as a result of gene activation via bacterial communication (quorum sensing) or gene transfer [41]. It has been reported that *A. hydrophila* is the most resistant spp. to antimicrobials followed by *A. caviae*, *A. trota*, and then *A. schubertii* [4]. Moreover, *A. hydrophila* isolates of fish and poultry origins are more resistant to antibiotics than those of water origin [107].

Low resistance of *Aeromonas* isolates has been observed against tetracycline in different geographical regions [9,43,72,108]. The susceptibility of *Aeromonas* isolates to gentamycin has been also reported in samples collected from infected chickens [4,43], fresh and frozen chickens [108], and minced meat and chickens [109]. *Aeromonas* spp. showed variable resistance to cephalosporins. Igbiosa [43] found that cephalosporins (cefotaxime) were very potent against *Aeromonas* isolates when compared with (cephalothin) which showed an average effect. The resistance to the first generation of cephalosporins is expected due to the  $\beta$  lactamase activity of aeromonads and the expanded effect of metallo- $\beta$ -lactamases [110]. Many studies showed resistance of *Aeromonas* isolates to penicillin [9,43,111-113], which may be attributed to the presence of intrinsic or chromosomally mediated resistance genes [4,114]. Antibiotics including aztreonam, cefotaxime, chloramphenicol, nalidixic acid, nitrofurantoin, and tobramycin showed excellent activity against all *Aeromonas* isolates [9,43,108]. Excellent sensitivity to chloramphenicol [99,112], nitrofurantoin, and tetracycline [108,115-118] have been also reported among *Aeromonas* isolates. Despite trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole revealed good efficacy against *Aeromonas* isolates in many studies [9,43,113], it showed poor activity in another study [115].

## Conclusions

*Aeromonas* spp. are frequently discovered in food, animals, and birds. The potential risk of *Aeromonas* gastro-enteric human's infections and the dissemination of the pathogen to animals, poultry, or humans with close contact and the wider community have been proven. Therefore, periodical regular screening of poultry flocks in different geographical locations is essential. Moreover, public health awareness and enlightenment of the hazard associated with *Aeromonas* infection is necessary.

## References

- Zhou Y, Yu L, Nan Z, Zhang P, Kan B, Yan D, Su J (2019) Taxonomy, virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance of *Aeromonas* isolated from extra-intestinal and intestinal infections. BMC Infect Dis 19: 158.
- Cahill MM (1990) Bacterial flora of fishes: A review. Microb Ecol 19: 21–41.
- Dashe YG, Raji MA, Abdu PA, Oladele BS (2013) *Aeromonas hydrophila* infections in chickens affected by fowl cholera in Jos Metropolis, Nigeria. Int J Microbiol Immunol Res 1: 032–036.
- Mourad DM, Ellakany HF, Awad AM, Khalil RH, Gouda ASA (2022) Prevalence and Pathogenicity of *Aeromonas* species in poultry. J Vet Med Anim Sci 5: 1100.
- Mateos D, Anguita J, Naharro G, Paniagua C (1993) Influence of growth temperature on the production of extracellular virulence factors and pathogenicity of environmental and human strains of *Aeromonas hydrophila*. J Appl Bacteriol 74: 111–118.
- Agarwal RK (1997) Characterization of virulence factors of aeromonads isolated from foods of animal origin. Ph.D. thesis, Deemed University, IVRI, Izatnagar, India.
- Arora S, Agarwal RK, Bist B (2006) Comparison of ELISA and PCR vis-à-vis cultural methods for detecting *Aeromonas* spp. in foods of animal origin. Int J Food Microbiol 106: 177–183.
- Jindal N, Garg SR, Kumar A (1993) Comparison of *Aeromonas* species isolated from human, livestock and poultry faces. Isr J Vet Med 48: 80–83.
- Zanella JDP, da Luz RB, Fadanelli R, Figueiro P, Delamare APL, da Costa SOP, Echeverrigaray S (2012) High prevalence of *Aeromonas* spp. in poultry farmers from a rural community of South Brazil. Asian Pac J Mol Biol Biotechnol 20: 93–98.
- Parker JL, Shaw JC (2011) *Aeromonas* spp. clinical microbiology and disease. J Infect 62: 109–118.
- Persson S, Al-Shuweli S, Yapici S, Jensen JN, Olsen KE (2015) Identification of clinical aeromonas species by rpoB and gyrB sequencing and development of a multiplex PCR method for detection of *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *A. caviae*, *A. veronii*, and *A. media*. J Clin Microbiol 53: 653–656.
- Odeyemi OA, Ahmad A (2013) Anti-biogram and resistogram profiling of *Aeromonas* species isolated from Malaysian aquatic sources. J Coastal Life Med 1: 108–112.
- Batra P, Mathur P, Misra MC (2016) *Aeromonas* spp.: An emerging nosocomial pathogen. J Lab Physicians 8: 1–4.
- El-Bahar HM, Ali NG, Aboyadak IM, Khalil SAES, Ibrahim MS (2019) Virulence genes contributing to *Aeromonas hydrophila* pathogenicity in *Oreochromis niloticus*. Int Microbiol 22: 479–490.
- Bhowmick UD, Bhattacharjee S (2018) Bacteriological, clinical and virulence aspects of *Aeromonas*-associated diseases in humans. Pol J Microbiol 67: 137–149.
- Blair IS, McMahon MAS, McDowell DA (1999) *Aeromonas* detection by cultural and modern techniques. Food Studies Research Unit, University of Ulster at Jordan Stown, Co. Antim, Northern Ireland Academic press. 25–30.
- Setta AMH (2004) Studies on *Aeromonas hydrophila* in chickens. MVSC, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.
- Awaad MH, Hatem ME, Wafaa AA, Asia E, Fathi A (2011) Certain epidemiological aspects of *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in chickens. J Am Sci 7: 761–770.
- Kelley TR, Panncorbo OC, Merka WC, Barnhar HM (1998) Antibiotic of bacterial litter isolates. J Poult Sci 77: 243–247.
- Praveen PK, Debnath C, Pramanik AK, Shekhar S, Dalai N, Rai R (2014) Antibiotic sensitivity and virulence potential study of *Aeromonas* species isolated from retail fish and chicken in and around Kolkata. J Cell Tissue Res 14: 4613–4616.
- Wei L, Mustakim MT, Azlina IN, Zulhisyam AK, An'amt MN, Wee W, Huang NM (2015) Antibiotic and heavy metal resistance of *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from diseased red hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis* sp.). Ann Res Rev Biol 6: 264–269.
- Janda JM, Abbott SL (2012) The genus *Aeromonas*: taxonomy, pathogenicity, and infection. Clin Microbiol Rev 23: 35–73.
- Abraham TJ (2011) Food safety hazards related to emerging antibiotic resistant bacteria in cultured freshwater fishes of Kolkata, India. Adv J Food Sci Technol 3: 69–72.
- Janda JM, Abbott SL (1998) Evolving concepts regarding the genus *Aeromonas*: an expanding Panorama of species, disease presentations, and unanswered questions. Clin Infect Dis 27: 332–344.
- Martin-Carnahan A, Joseph SW (2005) Order XII. *Aeromonadales* ord. nov. In Brenner DJ, Krieg NR, Staley JT, Garrity GM, editors, Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Vol. 2 part B, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Springer, New York. 556.
- Mailafia S, Nabilah B, Olabode HOK (2021) Phenotypic characterization of *Aeromonas hydrophila* isolates in fresh water fishes in FCT using Microbact™ GNB 24E identification kit. Open Access Libr J 8: 1–12.
- Efuntoye MO (1995) Diarrhea disease in livestock associated with *Aeromonas hydrophila* biotype 1. J Gen Appl Microbiol 41: 517–521.
- Tomás JM (2012) The main *Aeromonas* pathogenic factors. ISRN Microbiol 2012: 256261.
- Silva LCAD, Leal-Balbino TC, Melo BST, Mendes-Marques CL, Rezende AM, Almeida AMP, Leal NC (2017) Genetic diversity and virulence potential of clinical and environmental *Aeromonas* spp. isolates from a diarrhea outbreak. BMC Microbiol 17: 179.
- Rasmussen-Ivey CR, Figueras MJ, McGarey D, Liles MR (2016) Virulence factors of *Aeromonas hydrophila*: In the wake of reclassification. Front Microbiol 7: 1337.
- Roges EM, Gonçalves VD, Cardoso MD, Festivo ML, Siciliano S, Berto LH, Pereira VLA, Rodrigues DDP, de Aquino MHC (2020) Virulence-associated genes and antimicrobial resistance of *Aeromonas hydrophila* isolates from animal, food, and human sources in Brazil. Biomed Res Int 2020: 1052607.

32. Citterio B, Francesca B (2015) *Aeromonas hydrophila* virulence. *Virulence* 6: 417–418.
33. Gonçalves Pessoa RB, de Oliveira WF, Marques DSC, Dos Santos Correia MT, de Carvalho EVMM, Coelho LCBB (2019) The genus *Aeromonas*: A general approach. *Microb Pathog* 130: 81–94.
34. Brinkmann V (2004) Neutrophil extracellular traps kill bacteria. *Science* 303: 1532–1535.
35. Khor WC, Pua SM, Tan JA, Puthuchery SD, Chua KH (2015) Phenotypic and genetic diversity of *Aeromonas* species isolated from fresh water lakes in Malaysia. *PLoS One* 10: e0145933.
36. Igbiosa IH, Igumbor EU, Aghdasi F, Tom M, Okoh AI (2012) Emerging *Aeromonas* species infections and their significance in public health. *Sci World J* 2012: 625023.
37. Zhou H, Gai C, Ye G, An J, Liu K, Xu L, Cao H (2019) *Aeromonas hydrophila*, an emerging causative agent of freshwater-farmed whiteleg shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Microorganisms* 7: 450.
38. Iacovache I, De Carlo S, Cirauqui N, Dal Peraro M, van der Goot FG, Zuber B. Cryo-EM (2016) Structure of aerolysin variants reveals a novel protein fold and the pore-formation process. *Nat Commun* 7: 12062.
39. Cirauqui N, Abriata LA, van der Goot FG, Dal Peraro M (2017) Structural, physicochemical and dynamic features conserved within the aerolysin pore-forming toxin family. *Sci Rep* 7: 13932.
40. Rather MA, Willayat MM, Wani SA, Hussain SA, Shah SA (2019) Enterotoxin gene profile and molecular epidemiology of *Aeromonas* species from fish and diverse water sources. *J Appl Microbiol* 127: 921–931.
41. Davey ME, O'toole GA (2000) Microbial biofilms: from ecology to molecular genetics. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 64: 847–467.
42. Kirov SM, Tassell BC, Semmler AB, O'Donovan LA, Rabaan AA, Shaw JG (2002) Lateral flagella and swarming motility in *Aeromonas* species. *J Bacteriol* 184: 547–555.
43. Igbiosa IH (2014) Antibiogram profiling and pathogenic status of *Aeromonas* species recovered from Chicken. *Saudi J Biol Sci* 21: 481–485.
44. Amal AM (2007). Preliminary studies on *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in poultry in Upper Egypt. MVSC, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.
45. Mohamed FM, Mohamed MA (2012) The relationship between feeding on fish meal and *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in broiler chickens in Assiut Governorate. *Assiut Vet Med J* 58: 1–26.
46. Zaky MM, Salem MA, Persson KM, Eslamian S (2011) Incidence of *Aeromonas* species isolated from water and fish sources of Lake Manzala in Egypt. *Int J Hydrol Sci Technol* 1: 47–62.
47. Akan M (1996) Isolation of motile *Aeromonas* species from chicken faeces. *Ank Univ Vet Fak Derg* 43: 267–269.
48. Akkoç A, Kocabiyik AL, Özyiğit MÖ, Cangül, İT, Yilmaz R, Özakin C (2008) *Burkholderia cepacia* and *Aeromonas hydrophila* septicemia in an African grey parrot (*Psittacus erithacus erithacus*). *Turk J Vet Anim Sci* 32: 233–236.
49. França M, Walker RL, Kokka R, Shivaprasad HL (2009) *Aeromonas* species associated with necrotizing enteritis and septicemia in an adult male ostrich (*Struthio camelus*). *Avian Dis* 53: 310–316.
50. Dashe YG, Raji MA, Abdu PA, Oladele BS, Olarinmoye D (2014) Isolation of *Aeromonas hydrophila* from commercial chickens in Jos metropolis, Nigeria. *Int J Poult Sci* 13: 26–30.
51. Razzolini MT, Di Bari M, Sanchez PS, Sato MI (2008) *Aeromonas* detection and their toxins from drinking water from reservoirs and drinking fountains. *J Water Health* 6: 117–123.
52. Ottaviani D, Parlani C, Citterio B, Masini L, Leoni F, Canonico C, Sabatini L, Bruscolini F, Pianetti A (2011) Putative virulence properties of *Aeromonas* strains isolated from food, environmental and clinical sources in Italy: A comparative study. *Int J Food Microbiol* 144: 538–545.
53. Gerlach H, Bitzer K (1971) Infection with *Aeromonas hydrophila* in young turkeys. *Dtsch Tierarz Wochenschr* 78: 593–608.
54. Bisgaard M (1995) Salpingitis in web-footed birds: prevalence, aetiology and significance. *Avian Pathol* 24: 443–452.
55. El-Gohary AA, Yousef AI (2002) *Aeromonas* infection in commercial duck farms. 10<sup>th</sup> Scientific Congress, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt. 521–527.
56. Zbikowski A, Szeleszczuk P, Karpinska E, Rzewuska M, Malicka, E, Binek M (2006) Epidemic deaths of Mallard ducks after *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection. *Med Weter* 62: 720–722.
57. Garcia ME, Doménech A, Domínguez L, Ramiro F, Fernández-Garayzábal JF (1992) *Aeromonas hydrophila* conjunctivitis in a pet parrot (*Amazona versicolor*). *Avian Dis* 36: 1110–1111.
58. Ocholi RA, Kalejaiye JO (1990) *Aeromonas hydrophila* as cause of hemorrhagic septicemia in a ground-hornbill (*Bucorvus abyssinicus*). *Avian Dis* 34: 495–496.
59. Needham JR, Kirkwood JK, Cooper JE (1979) A survey of aerobic bacteria in droppings of captive birds of prey. *Res Vet Sci* 27: 125–126.
60. Glunder G (1989) Occurrence of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in finches and psittacines. *Kleintierpraxis* 34: 33–34.
61. Korbel R, Kösters J (1989) Epidemic deaths of wild birds after *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection. *Tierarztl Prax* 17: 297–298.
62. Glunder G, Siegmann O (1989) Occurrence of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in wild birds. *Avian Pathol* 18: 685–696.
63. Roskopf WJ and Woerpel RW (1996) Diseases of cage and aviary birds. In Williams and Wilkins, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Baltimore. 364.
64. Turnbull PC, Lee JV, Miliotis MD, Van de Walle S, Koornhof HJ, Jeffery L, Bryant TN (1984) Enterotoxin production in relation to taxonomic grouping and source of isolation of *Aeromonas* species. *J Clin Microbiol* 19: 175–180.
65. Farag HESM (2006) Incidence of hemolysin producing motile *Aeromonas* in some shellfish and their public health significance in Port-Said city. *J Appl Sci Res* 2: 972–979.
66. Girh ZM, Mahgoub KM, Nagwa SR, Sahar AZ, Kutkat MA (2011) Pathogenicity of *Aeromonas* on embryonated chicken eggs. *Life Sci J* 8: 502–507.
67. Musgrove MT, Northcutt JK, Jones DR, Cox NA, Harrison MA (2008) *Enterobacteriaceae* and related organisms isolated from shell eggs collected during commercial processing. *Poult Sci* 87: 1211–1218.
68. Hoel S, Vadstein O, Jakobsen AN (2017) Species distribution and prevalence of putative virulence factors in mesophilic *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from fresh retail sushi. *Front Microbiol* 8: 931.
69. Glunder G (1988) Occurrence of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in birds. *J Vet Med B* 35: 331–337.

70. Saif YM, Bush WF (1974) *Aeromonas* and *Salmonella* infection in turkey poult. Wooster, Ohio, USA, Report of the Ohio Agriculture Research and Development Center 89: 119–120.
71. El-Khashab EF (2001) Pathogenicity of *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in chicks. Beni-Suef Vet Med J 11: 737–749.
72. Mahmoud AM, Tanios AI (2008) Pathogenicity of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in chickens. Egypt J Comp Pathol Clin Pathol 21: 88–110.
73. Girh ZM, El-Bayoumi KM, Hassan ER, Mahgoob KM (2011) Studies on pathogenicity of *Aeromonas* species to native breed (Fayoumi) chickens. Beni-Suef Vet Med J 21: 27–32.
74. Bello-López JM, Cabrero-Martínez OA, Ibáñez-Cervantes G, Hernández-Cortez C, Pelcastre-Rodríguez LI, Gonzalez-Avila LU, Castro-Escarpullí G (2019) Horizontal gene transfer and its association with antibiotic resistance in the genus *Aeromonas* spp. Microorganisms 7: 363.
75. Handfield M, Simard P, Letarte R (1996) Differential media for quantitative recovery of waterborne *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Appl Environ Microbiol 62: 3544–3547.
76. Kumar S, Mukhopadhyay P, Chatterjee M, Bandyopadhyay MK, Bandyopadhyay M, Ghosh T, Samaddar D (2012) Necrotizing fasciitis caused by *Aeromonas caviae*. Avicenna J Med 2: 94–96.
77. Okumura K, Shoji F, Yoshida M, Mizuta A, Makino I, Higashi H (2011) Severe sepsis caused by *Aeromonas hydrophila* in a patient using tocilizumab: A case report. J Med Case Rep 5: 499.
78. Brahmabhatt SMN (2011) Prevalence of *Aeromonas* species in chicken samples collected from retail shops of Anand (Gujarat). J Vet Pub Health 9: 115–117.
79. Hatha M, Vivekanandhan AA, Joice GJ, Christol (2005) Antibiotic resistance pattern of motile aeromonads from farm raised fresh water fish. Int J Food Microbiol 98: 131–134.
80. Nzeako B, Okafor N (2002) Bacterial enteropathogens and factors associated with seasonal episodes of gastroenteritis in Nsukka, Nigeria. Br J Biomed Sci 59: 76–79.
81. Vila J, Ruiz J, Gallardo F, Vargas M, Soler L, Figueras MJ, Gascon J (2003) *Aeromonas* spp. and traveler's diarrhea: Clinical features and antimicrobial resistance. Emerg Infect Dis 9: 552–555.
82. Agger WA, Callister SM (1987) Intestinal infections with *Aeromonas*. Ann Int Med 106: 497.
83. Xu DH, Pridgeon JW, Klesius PH, Shoemaker CA (2012) Parasitism by protozoan *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* enhanced invasion of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in tissues of channel catfish. Vet Parasitol 184: 101–107.
84. Gowda TK, Reddy VR, Devleeschauwer B, Zade NN, Chaudhari SP, Khan WA, Shinde SV, Patil AR (2015) Isolation and seroprevalence of *Aeromonas* spp. among common food animals slaughtered in Nagpur, central India. Foodborne Pathog Dis 12: 626–630.
85. Albert MJ, Ansaruzzaman M, Talukder KA, Chopra AK, Kuhn I, Rahman M, Faruque AS, Islam MS, Sack RB, Mollby R (2000) Prevalence of enterotoxin genes in *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from children with diarrhea, healthy controls, and the environment. J Clin Microbiol 38: 3785–3790.
86. Hofer E, Reis CM, Theophilo GN, Cavalcanti VO, Lima NV, Henriques Mde F (2006) *Aeromonas* associated with an acute diarrhea outbreak in São Bento do Una, Pernambuco. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 39: 217–220.
87. Palumbo SA, Maxino F, Williams AC, Buchanan RL, Thayer DW (1985) Starch-ampicillin agar for the quantitative detection of *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Appl Environ Microbiol 50: 1027–1030.
88. Kirov SM, Anderson MJ, McMeekin TA (1990) A note on *Aeromonas* spp. from chickens as possible food-borne pathogens. J Appl Bacteriol 68: 327–334.
89. Gray SJ, Stickler DJ, Bryant TN (1990) The incidence of virulence factors in mesophilic *Aeromonas* species isolated from farm animals and their environment. Epidemiol Infect 105: 277–294.
90. Joseph SW, Carnahan A (1994) The isolation, identification and systematic of motile *Aeromonas* spp. Ann Rev Fish Dis 4: 315–343.
91. Ghenghesh KS, Ahmed SF, El-Khalek RA, Al-Gendy A, Klena J (2008) *Aeromonas* infections in developing countries. J Infect Dev Ctries 2: 81–98. doi: 10.3855/jidc.277.
92. Fricker CR, Tompsett S (1989) *Aeromonas* spp. in foods: A significant cause of food poisoning? Int J Food Microbiol 9: 17–23.
93. Ford LA, Cipriano RC, Penniston TK (1994) Isolation of *Aeromonas salmonicida* from paddlefish, *Polyodon spathula*. J Wildl Dis 30: 447–449.
94. Castro-Escarpullí G, Figueras MJ, Aguilera-Arreola G, Soler L, Fernández-Rendón E, Aparicio GO, Guarro J, Chacón MR (2003) Characterisation of *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from frozen fish intended for human consumption in Mexico. Int J Food Microbiol 84: 41–49.
95. Kingombe CIB, Huys G, Howald D, Luthi E, Swings J, Jemmi T (2004) The usefulness of molecular techniques to assess the presence of *Aeromonas* spp. harbouring virulence markers in foods. Int J Food Microbiol 94: 113–121.
96. Lsleyici O, Sancak CY, Hallac B, Ekici K (2006) Presence of motile *Aeromonas* spp. in raw chicken meats. Ind Vet J 83: 153–155.
97. Mailafia S, Ajogi I, Nok A (2008) Occurrence and epidemiology of *Aeromonas* infections isolated from diarrhoeic patients in Zaria, Nigeria. Vom J Vet Sci 5: 27–30.
98. Kampfer P, Christmann C, Swing J, Huys G (1999) *In vitro* susceptibilities and *Aeromonas* geno species to 69 antimicrobial agents. Syst Appl Microbiol 22: 662–669.
99. Yano Y, Hamano K, Tsutsui I, Aue-Umneoy D, Ban M, Satomi M (2015) Occurrence, molecular characterization, and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Aeromonas* spp. in marine species of shrimps cultured at inland low salinity ponds. Food Microbiol 47: 21–27.
100. Marchandin H, Godreuil S, Darbas H, Jean-Pierre H, Jumas-Bilak E, Chanal C, Bonnet R (2003) Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase TEM-24 in an *Aeromonas* clinical strain: acquisition from the prevalent Enterobacter aerogenes clone in France. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47: 3994–3995.
101. Chen PL, Ko WC, Wu CJ (2012) Complexity of  $\beta$ -lactamases among clinical *Aeromonas* isolates and its clinical implications. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 45: 398–403.
102. Sinha S (2004) Prevalence, serotype distribution, antibiotic susceptibility and genetic profiles of mesophilic *Aeromonas* species isolated from hospitalized diarrhoeal cases in Kolkata, India. J Med Microbiol 53: 527–534.
103. Chenia HY (2016) Prevalence and characterization of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance genes in *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from South African freshwater fish. Int J Food Microbiol 231: 26–32.
104. Varela AR, Nunes OC, Manaia CM (2016) Quinolone resistant *Aeromonas* spp. as carriers and potential tracers of acquired



- antibiotic resistance in hospital and municipal wastewater. *Sci Total Environ* 542: 665–671.
105. Wimalasena S, De Silva B, Hossain S, Pathirana H, Heo G (2017) Prevalence and characterisation of quinolone resistance genes in *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from pet turtles in South Korea. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* 11: 34–38.
  106. Stratev D, Odeyemi OA (2016) Antimicrobial resistance of *Aeromonas hydrophila* isolated from different food sources: A mini-review. *J Infect Public Health* 9: 535–544.
  107. Kudinha T, Tswana SA, Simango C (2004) Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of *Aeromonas* species from humans, animals and water. *S Afr J Epidemiol Infect* 19: 101–105.
  108. Awan MB, Maqbool A, Bari A, Krovacek K (2009) Antibiotic susceptibility profile of *Aeromonas* spp. isolates from food in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. *New Microbiol* 32: 17–23.
  109. Dallal MMS, Yazdi MKS, Avadisians S (2012) Study of prevalence and antibiotic resistance in *Aeromonas* species isolated from minced meat and chicken samples in Iran. *Afr J Microbiol Res* 6: 460–464.
  110. Morita K, Watanabe N, Kurata S, Kanamori M (1994) beta-Lactam resistance of motile *Aeromonas* isolates from clinical and environmental sources. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 38: 353–355.
  111. Kaskhedikar M, Chhabra D (2009) Multiple drug resistance of *Aeromonas hydrophila* isolates from chicken samples collected from Mhow and Indore city of Madhyapradesh. *Vet World* 2: 31–32.
  112. Alam S, Hassan SM, Shirin M, Ali Akond M (2010) Sensitivity of *Aeromonas* obtained from poultry sources of Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J Bot* 39: 123–125.
  113. Ghenghesh KS, El-Mohammady H, Levin SY, Zorgani A, Tawil K (2013) Antimicrobial resistance profile of *Aeromonas* species isolated from Libya. *Libyan J Med* 8: 21320.
  114. Rall VLM, Iaria ST, Heidtmann S, Pimenta FC, Gamba RC, Pedroso DMM (1998) *Aeromonas* species isolated from PINTADO fish (*Pseudoplatystoma* sp): virulence factors and drug susceptibility. *Rev de Microbiol* 29: 222–227.
  115. von Graevenitz A and Altwegg M (1991) *Aeromonas* and *Plesiomonas*. In: *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*, 5<sup>th</sup> ed. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C., USA.
  116. Pasquale V, Baloda SB, Dumontet S, Krovacek K (1994) An outbreak of *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in turtles (*Pseudemys scripta*). *Appl Environ Microbiol* 60: 1678–1680.
  117. Vivekanandhan G, Savithamani K, Hatha AA, Lakshmanaperumalsamy P (2007) Antibiotic resistance of *Aeromonas hydrophila* isolated from marketed fish and prawn of South India. *Int J Food Microbiol* 76: 165–168.
  118. Musa MD, Ahmed WA (2017) Molecular detection of some *A. hydrophila* toxins and its antibiotics resistance pattern isolated from chicken feces in Thi-Qar province (Iraq). *Kufa J Vet Med Sci* 8: 167–180.

### Corresponding author

Wafaa A. Abd El-Ghany  
Poultry Diseases Department,  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,  
Cairo University, Giza, 12211, Egypt  
Tel: +0201224407992,  
E-mail: wafaa.soliman@cu.edu.eg

**Conflict of interests:** No conflict of interests is declared.