Original Article

Investigation of the prevalence of carbapenem resistance genes in faecal carriage of carbapenem resistant *Klebsiella spp.* isolates by multiplex real-time PCR method

Hatice Kubra Demir¹, Yasar Nakipoglu¹

¹ Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Department of Medical Microbiology, Istanbul University, 34390 Capa, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract

Introduction: Increased carbapenem resistance in *Klebsiella spp.* strains causes high morbidity and mortality. The genes encoded for carbapenemaseare transferrable between different bacterial species. In the present study, we aimed to investigate carbapenem resistance genes in *Klebsiella spp.* strains.

Methodology: Fifty *Klebsiella spp.* strains were isolated from rectal swabs of patients hospitalized in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). All strains were identified with API20E. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of carbapenems were determined by the broth dilution method. The major five carbapenem genes (OXA-48, NDM, VIM, KPC, and IMP) were detected by the multiplex real-time PCR method. Results: It was found that 49 (98%) of the strains were resistant to ertapenem (MIC $\ge 2\mu$ g/mL) and imipenem(MIC $\ge 4 \mu$ g/mL), and 47 (94%) of the strains were resistant to doripenem (MIC $\ge 4 \mu$ g/mL)and meropenem(MIC $\ge 4 \mu$ g/mL).NDM was detected in 42%, OXA-48 in 16%, and VIM in one (2%) isolate, and NDM + OXA-48 co-existed in 36% of the isolates. The KPC and IMP genes were not detected. Conclusions: NDM and NDM co-existing with OXA-48 were prevalent in the NICU of Istanbul Medical Faculty Hospital. Paying attention to the hand hygiene of healthcare workers, screening of rectal swabs of hospitalized patients for the presence of carbapenem resistance strains, and isolation of infected patients can effectively control the spread of carbapenem-resistant strains.

Key words: Carbapenem resistance gene; *Klebsiella spp.*; neonatal; intensive care unit; rectal swab; multiplex real-time PCR.

J Infect Dev Ctries 2023; 17(11):1606-1612. doi:10.3855/jidc.17892

(Received 05 January 2023 - Accepted 01 May 2023)

Copyright © 2023 Demir *et al.* This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Although carbapenems, which belong to the betalactam antibiotic group, are currently used as the last option in treating serious infections, various resistance pathways have emerged against carbapenems. Changes in penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) in the bacterial cell, porin changes in the cell membrane, and synthesis of beta-lactamases encoded by various genes carried on the plasmids predispose to the spread of carbapenem resistance [1]. In recent years, carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacilli (CRGNB) have posed a great danger for hospitalized patients because the number of antibiotics to be used to treat CRGNB infections is gradually decreasing. Carbapenem resistance can be detected phenotypically by the disc diffusion test and by determining their minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) [2]. Genotypically, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method can determine which genes (IMP, VIM, NDM, KPC, and OXA-48) are responsible for this resistance. The presence and spread of these genes, called the 'major five,' differ between

countries and regions [3,4]. OXA-48 was first identified in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates in Turkey in 2001 [5]. Later, again in Turkey, OXA-48 was reported in *Escherichia coli*and *Citrobacter freundii* isolates [6]. OXA-48 has been identified only in Turkey for several years and later has spread to the Middle East and Europe [7]. In this study, we aimed to determine the MICs of four carbapenems and investigate the prevalence of the major five carbapenem genes in the *Klebsiella spp.* strains isolated from rectal swabs of patients hospitalized in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) of Istanbul Faculty of Medicine Hospital, Turkey.

Methodology

Istanbul University Faculty of Medicine Ethics Committee approval (No: 36909) was obtained on 18.12.2019.

In this study, rectal swabs of 206 patients hospitalized in the NICU were sent to the hospital infection laboratory in 2021 and evaluated for the presence of carbapenem resistance Gram-negative bacilli strains. Swabs were cultured on Mac Conkey media (Becton Dickinson, USA) supplemented with meropenem (1 mg/L) [8] and incubated at 37 °C for 48 hours. Gram-negative Bacillus isolates were identified with API20E strips (Biomerieux France), and 50 *Klebsiella spp.* strains were stored at -20 °C for further tests.

Antibiotic Susceptibility Test (AST)

The disk diffusion method was used to determine AST of the strains. In brief, an overnight fresh culture of 50 *Klebsiella spp.* strains was suspended in cationic Mueller-Hinton Broth (CMHB) (Becton Dickinson, USA)and adjusted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity (10^{8} CFU/mL). Then bacterial suspensions were swabbed on cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton agar (CMHA) (Becton Dickinson, USA). Imipenem ($10 \ \mu g$ IMP), meropenem ($10 \ \mu g$ MEM), and ertapenem ($10 \ \mu g$ ETP) disks were placed on the cultured CMHA plates and incubated at

37 °C for 18-24 hours. Growth inhibition zone diameters were evaluated according to the CLSI criteria [9]. *Klebsiella spp.* strains that demonstrated resistance to all tested carbapenem by the disk diffusion method were selected for further tests.

Determination of MICs for carbapenems

MICs of carbapenems were determined for *Klebsiella spp.* strains by the broth microdilution method. In brief, a two-fold dilution of each carbapenem (Glentham Life Science, United Kingdom) at concentrations ranging from 06–0.25 μ g/mL, 0.008–0.06 μ g/mL, 0.004–0.016 μ g/mL, and 0.016–0.06 μ g/mL for imipenem (IMP), meropenem (MEM), ertapenem (ETP), and doripenem (DOR), respectively, was prepared in CMHB(Becton Dickinson, USA). Bacterial suspensions were prepared as mentioned above but were diluted tenfold with sterile saline (0.85% NaCl). Then bacterial suspensions were added to each well, and the final bacterial count in each well

Table 1. The relationship between imipenem susceptibility and carbapenemases of isolates (p > 0.05).

Type of carbapenem resistance gene (n)				<i>Klebsiella spp.</i> strains	
OXA-48	NDM	OXA-48 + NDM	VIM	not exist carbapenem resistance gene (n)	
8	21	18	1	1	
0	0	0	0	1	
8	21	18	1	2	
_					

Table 2. The relationship between meropenem susceptibility and carbapenemases of isolates (p > 0.05).

Susceptibility of <i>Klebsiella spp.</i> strains to	Тур	e of carbaper	em resistance gene (n)		Klebsiella spp. strains not
carbapenems (n)	OXA-48	NDM	OXA-48 + NDM	VIM	exist carbapenem resistance gene (n)
Resistance $(n = 47)$	8	19	18	1	1
Susceptible/intermediate resistance $(n = 3)$	0	2	0	0	1
Total $(n = 50)$	8	21	18	1	2

*p = 0.137.

Table 3. The relationship between ertapenem susceptibility and carbapenemases of isolates (p > 0.05).

Susceptibility of <i>Klebsiella spp.</i> strains to	Тур	e of carbape	nem resistance gene (n)		
carbapenems (n)	OXA-48	NDM	OXA-48 + NDM	VIM	exist carbapenem resistance gene (n)
Resistance $(n = 49)$	8	20	18	1	2
Susceptible/intermediate resistance $(n = 1)$	0	1	0	0	0
Total (n = 50)	8	21	18	1	2

**p* = 1.0.

Table 4. The relationship between doripenem susceptibility and carbapenemases of isolates (p > 0.05).

Susceptibility of <i>Klebsiella spp.</i> strains to	Type of carbapenem resistance gene (n)				<i>Klebsiella spp</i> . strains not	
carbapenems (n)	OXA-48	NDM	OXA-48 + NDM	VIM	exist carbapenem resistance gene (n)	
Resistance $(n = 47)$	8	19	18	1	1	
Susceptible/intermediate resistance $(n = 3)$	0	2	0	0	1	
Total $(n = 50)$	8	21	18	1	2	
*p = 0.137.						

was 10⁴-10⁵ CFU. Negative control wells contained only antibiotics, and positive control wells contained bacterial suspensions. The *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 strain was used to ensure the accuracy of MIC values of each carbapenem. MICs were evaluated after 24 h according to the CLSI criteria [9].

Detection of the 'major five' carbapenem resistance genes

The Xpert Carba-R (GeneXpert system, USA) system was used for the multiplex real-time PCR method. This system is a qualitative, on-demand real-time PCR test for detecting and differentiating the KPC, NDM, VIM, OXA-48, and IMP genes directly from rectal swabs in 48 minutes. We used 10 μ L from bacterial suspensions adjusted to equal 0.5 McFarland turbidity (108 CFU/mL) according to the manufacturer's advice.

Statistical analysis

The IBM SPSS Statistics 20 program was used in the statistical analysis, and the relationship between the variables was examined by Fisher's exact test. A value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

API20E revealed that 44 strains were *K. pneumoniae* and six strains were *Klebsiella oxytoca*. The disk diffusion method demonstrated that all 50 *Klebsiella spp.* strains were resistant to three tested carbapenems (IMP, MEM, and ETP).

MICs

According to MIC results, 94% (47/50) of the isolates were resistant to DOR and MEM, and 98% (49/50) were resistant to ETP and IMP. The MICs of one *K. pneumoniae* strain (strain no. 29) showed intermediate resistance to MEM and DOR (2 μ g/mL). Furthermore, the MICs of one *K. oxytoca* strain (strain no. 37) showed intermediate resistance to IMP and MEM (2 μ g/mL). The MICs of one *K. oxytoca* strain (strain no. 50) to DOR, MEM, and ETP were (0.125 μ g/mL),(0.5 μ g/mL), and (0.062 μ g/mL), respectively, and in the susceptible range.

Carbapenem resistance genes

We detected that 48 (96%) out of 50 *Klebsiella spp.* strains contained three major carbapenem genes (OXA-48, NDM, and VIM), and two strains (4%) did not have any genes. The distribution of these genes was as follows; from 44 *K. pneumoniae* strains, 19 had NDM (43.2%), and eight had OXA-48 (18.2%), while six *K*.

oxytoca strains carried only two (33.4%) NDM and one (16.7%) VIM gene. NDM and OXA-48 co-existed in 16 (36.4%) *K. pneumoniae* and two (33.4%) *K. oxytoca* strains. The KPC and IMP genes were not detected in any tested *Klebsiella spp.* strains. No statistical significance was found between the susceptibility to four tested carbapenems and gene analyses of all strains (p > 0.05) (Tables 1-4). Table 5 shows carbapenem resistance profiles and gene analyses of all isolates.

Discussion

The recent increase in resistance to carbapenems, which are used as the last option in treating MDRrelated infections, has become an important problem that complicates the treatment of hospital-acquired infections.In this study, we aimed to investigate carbapenem resistance genes in 50 Klebsiella spp. strains isolated from rectal swabs of patients hospitalized in the NICU. These isolates phenotypically displayed resistance to carbapenem by the disk diffusion method or had high MIC values determined by the broth microdilution method. The major five carbapenem genes (OXA-48, NDM, VIM, KPC, and IMP) were detected by multiplex real-time PCR. Accordingly, among 50 Klebsiella spp. strains, carbapenem resistance genes were detected in 48 (96%) of the strains:43 (97.8%) of 44 K. pneumoniae and 5 (83.3%) of 6 K. oxytoca strains. It was determined that one carbapenem resistance strain from each species did not carry any gene. A total of 66 carbapenem resistance genes were seen in all strains. These genes were detected as a single gene (21 NDM, 8 OXA-48, and 1 VIM) in 30 strains and a double gene (OXA-48 + NDM) in 18 strains. Of the 50 strains, 47 showed resistance to all carbapenems, and three strains (strain no. 29, strain no. 37, and strain no. 50) had different MIC values. K. pneumoniae strain no. 29, which contained NDM, showed intermediate resistance (2 µg/ml) to doripenem and meropenem. The MIC values of carbapenem in strains no.17, 37, and 50 were very interesting. Although K. pneumoniae strain no. 17 was negative for any tested gene, it was resistant to doripenem (4 µg/mL), meropenem (8 µg/mL), ertapenem (8 $\ge \mu g/mL$), and imipenem (16 $\ge \mu g/mL$). On the other hand, K. oxytoca strain no. 50 containing the NDM gene was susceptible to doripenem (MIC 0.125 µg/mL), meropenem (MIC 0.5 µg/mL), and ertapenem (MIC 0.062 µg/mL).

Conversely, *K. oxytoca* strain no. 37, which was tested as negative for the major five carbapenem resistance genes, exhibited intermediate resistance to doripenem $(1 \ \mu g/mL)$, meropenem $(2 \ \mu g/mL)$,

imipenem (2 µg/mL), and ertapenem (2 µg/mL).We think that strains no. 17 and 37 are more likely to have genes other than the major carbapenem genes that we sought in the present study. No statistically significant correlation was found between the carbapenem MIC values in the isolates and carbapenem resistance genes (p > 0.05). OXA-48 was first isolated from the *K. pneumoniae* strain in our hospital in 2003 [5], spread rapidly throughout the country, and caused epidemics in the central cities of the country [10]. A study conducted in Istanbul Medical Faculty between 2012

and 2016 found the OXA-48 carbapenemase gene in 86% (n = 43/50) of carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* strains and detected the NDM carbapenemase gene in 14% (n = 7/50) [11]. The *K. pneumoniae* strain producing NDM carbapenemase in Turkey was first identified from the patient's blood culture in 2011 [12]. It was the second most isolated carbapenemase gene type in Turkey after OXA-48 [13-16]. In our study, while the NDM gene was single in 21 strains and co-existed with OXA-48 in 18 strains in a total of 39 NDM (78%)-carrying strains, OXA-48

Table 5. Carbapenem resistance profiles and gene analyses of Klebsiella spp.strains

No	Klebsiella spp.	Doripenem MIC	Meropenem MIC	Ertapenem	Imipenem MIC	Type of gene
		(µg/mL)	(μg/mL)	MIC(µg/mL)	(μg/mL)	
1	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48
2	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
3	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
4	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
5	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
6	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
7	K. oxytoca	16(R)	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	VIM
8	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
9	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
10	K. oxytoca	$\geq 16 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
11	K. oxytoca	≥ 16 (R)	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	≥ 16 (R)	NDM
12	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	NDM
13	K. pneumoniae	≥ 16 (R)	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	NDM
14	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	OXA-48 + NDM
15	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	$\ge 8(\mathbf{R})$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	OXA-48
16	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	OXA-48
17	K. pneumoniae	4(R)	8(R)	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 16 (R)$	Negative
18	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
19	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
20	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
21	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
22	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
23	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(R)$ $\geq 16(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
23	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8(R)$ $\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$ $\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 10 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
25	K. pneumoniae	16(R)	$\geq 8(R)$ $\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$ $\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 10 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48
26	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$ $\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 8(R)$ $\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 10 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48
20 27	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(R)$ $\geq 16(R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$ $\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 8(R)$ $\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
28	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 10 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$ $\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 8(R)$ $\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 10 (R)$ $\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
28 29	1					NDM
29 30	K. pneumoniae	2(I)	2(I)	$8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	
31	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
32	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(R)$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16(R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
33	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
34	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16(R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
35	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
36	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	NDM
37	K. oxytoca	1 (I)	2 (I)	2 (R)	2 (I)	Negative
38	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(R)$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
39	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
40	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
41	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
12	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
13	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 16 (R)$	NDM
44	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
45	K. oxytoca	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48 + NDM
46	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 8 (R)$	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48
47	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16 (R)$	≥ 8 (R)	≥ 8 (R)	$\geq 16 (R)$	OXA-48
48	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 8(\mathbf{R})$	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	OXA-48
49	K. pneumoniae	$\geq 16(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 8(R)$	$\geq 16(\mathbf{R})$	NDM
50	K. oxytoca	0.125 (S)	0.5 (́ S)	0.062 (S)	8 (R)	NDM

R: resistance; I: intermediate resistance; S: susceptible.

ranked the second and existed alone in 8 strains and coexisted with NDM in 18 strains, in a total of 26 (52%) strains.We found only the VIM gene in K. oxytoca strain no. 7 (2%). According to the European criterion carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae for (EuSCAPE), which was established in 2012 by the European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), countries were divided into 5 phases in terms of the spread of cases: Phase 0 represents zero cases, phase 1 is sporadic, phase 2 is a hospital epidemic, phase 3 is regional spread, phase 4 is interregional spread, and finally, phase 5 is endemic. According to this research, Turkey is one of the phase 5 countries within the scope of OXA-48 producing K. pneumoniae. Concerning the NDM gene, while Turkey was among phase 1 countries in 2013, it was included in the class of phase 3 countries in 2015.

In our study, the presence of 66 carbapenems genes in 48 (96%) of the strains and NDM forming 39 (59.1%) of these genes indicate that the prevalence of NDM in our hospital exceeds that of OXA-48. It is possible to talk about NDM and NDM + OXA-48 epidemics in our hospital. NDM may spread rapidly and reach endemic levels in Turkey. A study conducted in 2015 emphasized that NDM-producing Enterobacteriaceae strains had increased especially in regions close to the Syrian border since 2013 [17]. We did not attribute the high NDM prevalence in our study to more than 450 thousand registered Syrian refugees living in Istanbul because this subject was out of our study scope. In another study conducted by Kilic and Baysallar in Turkey in 2015 [18] on 887 Enterobacteriaceae members, 5.52% of the isolates were resistant to at least one carbapenem, 48 isolates contained OXA-48, and one K. pneumoniae isolate was resistant to at least one carbapenem and carried OXA-48 together with NDM, which was considered the first K. pneumoniae strain containing co-existing OXA-48 and NDM genes in Turkey. The isolation of NDM-producing strains in patients of Syrian origin and the treatment of warwounded patients in border cities such as Sanlurfa made the researchers conclude that Syria could be a source of NDM-producing strains in Turkey. In the world, K. pneumoniae co-producing NDM + OXA-48 was first detected in Morocco [19], secondly in Tunisia [20], and later in other countries [21]. The number of cases reported in Turkey for KPC carbapenemase, which is the most frequently detected worldwide, is very low. VIM- and IMP-type carbapenemases from metallobeta-lactamases have also been reported in small numbers. Our study supports this situation by the absence of the KPC and IMP genes in any of the 50

resistant strains and detecting the VIM gene in only one strain. The prevalence of carbapenemase resistance genes in other countries is also high. K. pneumoniae strains in the USA constitute 92% of all carbapenemresistant Enterobacteriaceae [22]. A study conducted in Thailand revealed that 73% (n = 2888) of 3946 carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae strains collected within the scope of antimicrobial resistance surveillance between 2016-2018 were K. pneumoniae strains and 97% (n = 3844) of them had at least one carbapenemase-producing gene [23]. According to a study published in Saudi Arabia in 2018, OXA-48 was the most common carbapenemase (n = 48/71) among carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae strains, followed by NDM-1 (n = 9/71), and the number of strains containing both carbapenemases was six [24]. Although Greece is the epicenter for the spread of VIM-producing Enterobacteriaceae (especially K. pneumoniae) to other countries [25,26], K. pneumoniae strains producing KPC have also become endemic in this country [27]. In Iran, OXA-48 was detected in 72% of the 100 carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae strains and NDM in 31%. The KPC and VIM genes were not found in any isolate [28]. Although the high prevalence of OXA-48 has been reported in Turkey and the Middle East, our study indicates that NDM may become endemic in Turkey after OXA-48. Meanwhile, the importance of the presence of carbapenem resistance genes in Klebsiella spp. not solely restricted to carbapenems but these strains are candidates to harbour other antibiotic resistance genes.

To control and prevent spread of carbapenem resistance Klebsiella spp. in our hospital we highly recommended applying the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines [29]. These can be summarized in three points; 1. Hand hygiene of healthcare personnel that may transmit pathogens after touching an infected or colonized body site on one patient or a contaminated inanimate object, 2. Instruments (for example, rectal temperature) that are inadequately cleaned between patients before disinfection or sterilization, and uncleaned laboratory coats, or isolation gowns used as personal protective equipment (PPE), may become contaminated with potential pathogens aftercare of a patient colonized or infected with an infectious agent.

Conclusions

The increased prevalence of NDM and NDM + OXA-48-producing *K. pneumoniae* strains in our NICU is worrisome. Maintaining hand hygiene and isolation of infected or colonized patients can effectively prevent

the spread of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella spp.* isolates.

Acknowledgements

The present work was supported by the Research Fund of Istanbul University, Turkey, with project No. 36909 in 2020

Authors' contributions

MSc .Molecular biologist Hatice Kubra Demir (Demir HK) is student who performed the thesis work. Dr.Yasar Nakipoglu (Nakipoglu Y) is thesis supervisor, and writing the manuscript.

References

- Zhanel GG, Wiebe R, Dilay L, Thomson K, Rubinstein E, Hoban DJ, Noreddin AM, Karlowsky JA (2007) Comparative review of the carbapenems. Drugs 67: 1027-52. doi: 10.2165/00003495-200767070-00006.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2018) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing 28th informational supplement M100. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Bonomo RA, Burd EM, Conly J, Limbago BM, Poirel L, Segre JA, Westblade LF (2018) Carbapenemase-producing organisms: a global scourge. Clin Infect Dis. 66: 1290-1297. doi: 10.1093/cid/cix893.
- Al-Zahrani IA and Alsiri, BA (2018) The emergence of carbapenem resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates producing OXA-48 and NDM in the Southern (Asir) province, Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 39: 23-30. doi: 10.15537/smj.2018.1.21094.
- Poirel L, Heritier C, Tolun V, Nordmann P (2004) Emergence of oxacillinase-mediated resistance to imipenem in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48: 15–22. doi: 10.1128/AAC.48.1.15-22.2004.
- Carrer A, Poirel L, Yilmaz M, Akan Ö A, Feriha C, Cuzon G, Matar G, Honderlick P, Nordmann P (2010) Spread of OXA-48-encoding plasmid in Turkey and beyond. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54: 1369–1373. 10.1128/AAC.01312-09.
- Potron A, Poirel L, Rondinaud E, Nordmann P (2013) Intercontinental spread of OXA-48 beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* over a 11-year period, 2001 to 2011. Eurosurveillance 18: 2054. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.es2013.18.31.20549.
- Kumar S, Vyas A, Mehra SK (2015) Utilization of MacConkey-Meropenem screening agar for the detection of carbapenem resistanant *Enterobacteriaceae* in a tertiary care hospital. SSRG Int. J. Med. Sci 2: 1-5.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2021) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing 31st edition supplement M100. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Carrer A, Poirel L, Eraksoy H, Cagatay AA, Badur S, Nordmann P (2008) Spread of OXA-48-positive carbapenemresistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates in Istanbul, Turkey. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52: 2950-4. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01672-07.
- 11. Erdem, F, Oncul O, Aktas Z (2019) Characterization of resistance genes and polymerase chain reaction-based replicon

typing in carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Microb Drug Resist 25: 551-557. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2018.0231.

- Poirel L, Özdamar M, Ocampo-Sosa AA, Türkoglu S, Ozer UG, Nordmann P (2012) NDM-1-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* now in Turkey. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56: 2784-2785. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00150-12.
- Alp E, Perçin D, Colakoğlu S, Durmaz S, Kürkcü C, Ekincioğlu P, Güneş T (2013) Molecular characterization of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a tertiary university hospital in Turkey. J Hospt Infect 84: 178-180. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2013.03.002.
- Baran I, Aksu N (2016). Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in a tertiary-level reference hospital in Turkey. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 15: 20. doi: 10.1186/s12941-016-0136-2.
- Tekintaş Y, Çilli F, Eraç B, Yaşar M, Aydemir SŞ, Limoncu MH (2017) Comparison of phenotypic methods and polymerase chain reaction for the detection of carbapenemase production in clinical *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates. Mikrobiyol Bul 51 269–276. doi: 10.5578/mb.57333.
- Davarci I, Senbayrak S, Aksaray S, Kocoglu ME, Kuskucu MA, Samastı M (2019) Molecular epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates. Anadolu Kliniği Tıp Bilimleri Dergisi 24: 1-7. doi.org/10.21673/anadoluklin.423081.
- Almajorer B, Glasner C, Struelens MJ, Grundmann H, Monnet DL (2015) European survey of carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (EuSCAPE) working group. Carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* in Europe: 58 assessment by national experts from 38 countries, May 2015. Eurosurveillance 20: pii=30062. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2015.20.45.30062.
- Kilic A, Baysallar M (2015) The first *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate co-producing OXA-48 and NDM-1 in Turkey. Ann Lab Med 35: 382-3. doi: 10.3343/alm.2015.35.3.382.
- Barguigua A, El Otmani F, Lakbakbi EI, Yaagoubi F, Talmi M, Zerouali K, Timinouni M (2013) First report of a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strain coproducing NDM-1, VIM-1 and OXA-48 carbapenemases isolated in Morocco. APMIS 121:675-7. doi: 10.1111/apm.12034
- 20. Ben Nasr A, Decré D, Compain F, Genel N, Barguellil F, Arlet G (2013). Emergence of NDM-1 in association with OXA-48 in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from Tunisia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57: 4089-90. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00536-13.
- Seiffert SN, Marschall J, Perreten V, Carattoli A, Furrer H, Endimiani A (2014) Emergence of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* coproducing NDM-1, OXA-48, CTX-M-15, CMY-16, QnrA and ArmA in Switzerland. Int J Antimicrob Agents 44: 260-262. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.05.008.
- 22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2009) Guidance for control of infections with carbapenemresistant or carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in acute care facilities. Morbidity Mortal Weekly Reports 58: 256-258.
- Paveenkittiporn W, Lyman M, Biedron C, Chea N, Bunthi C, Kolwaite A, Janejai N (2021) Molecular epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales in Thailand, 2016-2018. Antimicrob Resist Infect Contro 110: 88. doi: 10.1186/s13756-021-00950-7.
- Zaman TU, Alrodayyan M, Albladi M, Aldrees M, Siddique MI, Aljohani S, Balkhy HH (2018) Clonal diversity and genetic profiling of antibiotic resistance among multidrug/carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

isolates from a tertiary care hospital in Saudi Arabia. BMC Infect Dis 18: 205. doi: 10.1186/s12879-018-3114-9.

- Cuzon G, Naas T, Demachy MC, Nordmann P (2008) Plasmid mediated carbapenem-hydrolyzing beta-lactamase KPC-2 in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate from Greece. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52: 796–7. doi: 10.1128/AAC.0118007.
- 26. Kassis-Chikhani N, Decré D, Gautier V, Burghoffe, B, Saliba F, Mathieu D, Samuel D, Castaing D, Petit JC, Dussaix E, Arlet G (2006) First outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carrying blaVIM-1 and blaSHV-5 in a French university hospital. J Antimicrob Chemother 57: 142-5. doi: 10.1093/jac/dki389.
- Nordmann P, Naas T, Poire, L (2011) Global spread of carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. Emerg Infect Dis 17: 1791-1798. doi: 10.3201/eid1710.110655.
- Jafari Z, Harati AA, Haeil M, Kardan-Yamchi J, Jafari S, Jabalameli F, Meysamie A, Abdollahi A, Feizabadi MM (2019) Molecular epidemiology and drug resistance pattern of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from

Iran. Microb Drug Resist 25: 336-343doi: 10.1089/mdr.2017.0404.

29. Centers for disease control and prevention (CDC) (2007) I. Review of scientific data regarding transmission of infectious agents in healthcare settings. Guideline for isolation precautions: preventing transmission of infectious agents in healthcare settings. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/isolation/scie ntific-review.html. Assessed: 11.10.2023

Corresponding author

Dr. Yasar Nakipoglu (PhD) Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Department of Medical Microbiology, Istanbul University, 34390 Capa, Istanbul, Turkey. ORCID iD: 0000-0001-7979-7291 Email: yasarnakip@istanbul.edu.tr

Conflict of interests: No conflict of interests is declared.