

Coronavirus Pandemic

Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis before and during the COVID-19 pandemic at a hospital in southeastern Brazil

Juliana T Gieburowski¹, Eliane M Psaltikidis¹, Tiago C Lima¹, Lucieni de O Conterno¹, Plinio Trabasso¹, Maria L Moretti¹, Mariângela R Resende¹

¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medical Sciences, State University of Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

Abstract

Introduction: Invasive candidiasis is an important cause of nosocomial infection and recent studies have shown an increase in the number of cases during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. The present study aimed to evaluate the epidemiology and incidence of invasive candidiasis before and during the COVID-19 pandemic at a reference tertiary hospital in Brazil.

Methodology: A retrospective observational study was performed with 148 patients infected with *Candida* spp.

Results: The incidence of invasive candidiasis was 3.43 cases per 1000 admissions in the pre-pandemic period and 4.54 cases per 1000 admissions in the pandemic period, with a particularly high incidence in the intensive care unit. Compared to the pre-pandemic period, patients presented more frequently with immunosuppression ($p = 0.01$), sepsis ($p = 0.03$), and need for mechanical ventilation ($p = 0.01$) during the pandemic. The prevailing type of *Candida* spp. infection was candidemia, mostly by *C. albicans*. Invasive candidiasis was associated with high mortality; 52% of the infected patients died from this disease in the pre-pandemic period, while 62% died in the pandemic period. COVID-19, mechanical ventilation, and sepsis were significantly associated with mortality ($p = 0.008$, $p < 0.001$, and $p < 0.001$ respectively).

Conclusions: A high incidence of *Candida* infection was observed at a tertiary general hospital in Brazil between 2018 and 2022. An increase in incidence of *Candida* infection during the COVID-19 pandemic was associated with a greater number of critical patients. Sepsis, mechanical ventilation, and COVID-19 were related to higher mortality in patients with invasive candidiasis.

Key words: invasive candidiasis; candidemia; COVID-19.

J Infect Dev Ctries 2024; 18(12.1):S260-S266. doi:10.3855/jidc.19091

(Received 22 August 2023 – Accepted 28 December 2023)

Copyright © 2024 Gieburowski *et al.* This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Invasive candidiasis is an opportunistic infection by microorganisms of the *Candida* spp. in the bloodstream (candidemia); and/or in sterile sites such as peritoneum, pleura and intra-abdominal organs and tissues (deep candidiasis). The spectrum of deep candidiasis includes abdominal abscess, peritonitis, osteomyelitis, pyelonephritis, endocarditis, and meningoenzephalitis; infections in other sites such as the oropharynx and vulvovaginal candidiasis are not considered invasive [1,2]. The five most frequent pathogenic species of *Candida* are *C. Albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. krusei* [3].

Invasive candidiasis' impact on public health is evident from its frequency in hospitalized patients, given that it has been identified as the fourth leading cause of bloodstream infection. Candidemia also has a major impact on morbidity and mortality, increasing the mortality of hospitalized patients by approximately 50%, as well as the length of hospital stay [4].

Several risk factors for candidemia are well-established; and include total parenteral nutrition, acute

kidney injury, septic shock, central venous catheter, and exposure to broad-spectrum antimicrobials [5]. Recent studies have shown that during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic an increase in the rate of healthcare-associated infections, including invasive candidiasis [6–8], was identified.

The aim of this study was to compare the incidence of invasive candidiasis before and during the COVID-19 pandemic at the Unicamp Clinical Hospital, as well as to evaluate the epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and outcomes in both periods.

Methodology

A retrospective observational study was carried out with data from September 2018 to June 2022. This research constitutes a sub-project of the multicenter study: project for the establishment of a collaborative reference and research system for the diagnosis of resistant fungal infections in Brazil. The study site was the Clinical Hospital of the State University of Campinas (Unicamp), a tertiary general hospital of reference for the metropolitan region of Campinas,

which comprises a population of approximately 6 million people. The hospital had an average of 477 beds, and, during the pandemic period, surgeries and elective procedures were limited in order to provide better care for an increasing number of patients diagnosed with COVID-19.

The MIRE project, through hospital infection control teams, gathered information about cases of invasive fungal infections in four centers located in the city of Campinas (Unicamp Clinical Hospital, Ouro Verde Hospital Center, Boldrini Children’s Center, and Mario Gatti Municipal Hospital). This information was then stored in a specific database called the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) platform. Patients diagnosed with invasive candidiasis during the period of interest were selected for the present study. The criteria for inclusion of patients were to have: i) diagnosis of candidemia and/or deep candidiasis; ii) age of ≥ 18 years; and iii) been admitted to Unicamp Clinical Hospital. This hospital was chosen since it encompassed the majority of cases of invasive candidiasis among all hospitals that are part of the MIRE project as the main hospital in the region and provided access to extensive data for research. Incomplete data were excluded from the study.

COVID-19 was diagnosed through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2); and *Candida spp.* infection was diagnosed through cultures, performed by automated microbiological systems BacT/ALERT 3D FA and PF bottles (bioMérieux, Inc., Durham, NC, USA). For identification, the isolates were cultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA; Difco, Sparks, Maryland, USA) at 35 °C for 48 hours before the tests. Isolates recovered from cultures were identified according to their macromorphology on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Difco, Sparks, Maryland, USA); and microscopic morphology on cornmeal Tween 80 agar, germ tube test, and VITEK™ 2 (bioMérieux, Craponne, France). Candidemia was determined by identifying one or more species of the genus *Candida* in at least one blood culture; while deep candidiasis in the present study comprised of the

identification of one or more species of *Candida spp.* in cultures of peritoneal fluid, pleural fluid, abscesses, bone biopsies, or vitreous humor. Patients were included in the study only once, regardless of the number of positive cultures.

The data on cases of interest was structured. The study compared two periods: between September 2018 and February 2020, defined as the pre-pandemic period; and between March 2020 and June 2022, the COVID-19 pandemic period. The patients were divided into three groups according to the sectors where they were hospitalized at the time of diagnosis of fungal infection – adult intensive care unit (ICU), clinical ward, or surgical ward. To calculate the incidence of invasive candidiasis, the total number of hospitalized patients and patient-days at each of these sectors in the pre-pandemic and pandemic periods was obtained from the public database of the Unicamp Clinical Hospital. Clinical outcome was evaluated by the mortality rate, defined as death prior to discharge from the hospital stay in which the patient was infected with *Candida spp.*

Demographic, clinical and microbiological data of patients with invasive candidiasis were compared and statistical analysis was performed using the Epi-info software version 3.01. Categorical variables were evaluated using the mild-*p* test and continuous variables using Student's t-test, for which a *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

This study was presented to the Research Ethics Committee and was approved (CAAE 64179422.9.0000.5404) as a sub-analysis of the ‘Project for the establishment of a collaborative reference and research system for the diagnosis of resistant fungal infections in Brazil’ (CAAE 92692418.9.0000.5404). This project was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee, and all participants agreed to their inclusion through a free and informed consent term.

Results

The REDCap database included 208 patients diagnosed with candidemia or deep candidiasis between

Table 1. Incidence of invasive candidiasis and candidemia at Unicamp Clinical Hospital according to hospitalization sector from 2018 to 2022.

<i>Candida</i> infection	Pre-pandemic				COVID-19 Pandemic			
	ICU ^a	Clinical ward	Surgical ward	Total	ICU ^a	Clinical ward	Surgical ward	Total
Episode per 1000 patients								
Invasive candidiasis	19.53	2.38	1.74	3.43	21.43	2.08	1.65	4.54
Candidemia	14.64	2.10	0.87	2.48	16.07	1.39	0.63	3.08
Episode per 1000 patients								
Invasive candidiasis	1.15	0.21	0.29	0.38	1.18	0.19	0.18	0.41
Candidemia	0.86	0.18	0.15	0.27	0.88	0.13	0.07	0.28

ICU, intensive care unit; COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019. ^aAdult intensive care unit.

Table 2. Distribution of invasive candidiasis cases according to clinical and epidemiological characteristics in the COVID-19 pre-pandemic and pandemic periods.

Characteristics	Pandemic period			P ^a	Pre-pandemic period	
	Total n (%) (n=87)	Without COVID-19 n (%) (n = 70)	With COVID-19 n (%) (n= 17)		Total n (%) (n = 61)	P ^{a,b}
Age, mean	58.9	59.9	54.7	0.2165	56.21	0.3108
Gender, male	56 (64.37)	46 (65.71)	10 (58.82)	0.6019	36 (59.02)	0.5143
Comorbidity						
Diabetes	30 (34.48)	22 (31.43)	8 (47.06)	0.2438	21 (34.43)	0.9969
Hypertension	45 (51.72)	34 (48.57)	11 (64.71)	0.2486	25 (40.98)	0.2036
Coronary artery disease	11 (12.64)	8 (11.43)	3 (17.65)	0.5030	4 (6.56)	0.2422
Heart failure	8 (9.19)	6 (8.57)	2 (11.76)	0.6742	7 (11.47)	0.6571
Chronic pulmonary disease	6 (6.90)	6 (8.57)	0	0.2596	5 (8.20)	0.7687
Chronic renal disease	16 (18.39)	11 (15.71)	5 (29.41)	0.2217	12 (19.67)	0.8428
Malignity	14 (16.09)	13 (18.57)	1 (5.88)	0.2206	17 (27.87)	0.0909
Immunosuppression	30 (34.48)	24 (34.29)	6 (35.29)	0.9271	10 (16.39)	0.0148
Mechanical ventilation	50 (57.47)	35 (50.00)	15 (88.24)	0.0038	22 (36.07)	0.0111
Sepsis	53 (60.92)	40 (57.14)	13 (76.47)	0.1534	26 (42.62)	0.0301
Corticosteroid use	23 (26.44)	13 (18.57)	10 (58.82)	0.0019	11 (18.03)	0.2395
Central venous catheter	78 (89.65)	60 (85.71)	17 (100)	0.0992	56 (91.80)	0.6815
Parenteral nutrition	20 (22.99)	17 (24.29)	3 (17.65)	0.5956	13 (21.31)	0.8179
Gastrointestinal surgery	29 (33.33)	28 (40.00)	1 (5.88)	0.0055	25 (40.98)	0.3484
<i>Candida</i> colonisation	26 (29.88)	17 (24.29)	9 (52.94)	0.0299	10 (16.39)	0.0616

^aMild *p* if categorical variable; Student's *t* test if continuous variable; ^bComparison between pre-pandemic period and total pandemic period; COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019.

September 2018 and June 2022. Of those patients, 60 were excluded because they were not hospitalized at the Unicamp Clinical Hospital, were < 18 years of age, or had incomplete data. Hence, the present study evaluated 148 patients with invasive candidiasis at the Unicamp Clinical Hospital. The total incidence of invasive candidiasis (Table 1) was 3.43 cases per 1000 admissions in the pre-pandemic period, and 4.54 cases per 1000 admissions in the pandemic period. When comparing hospitalization units, there was a higher incidence of invasive candidiasis in the ICUs, with 21.43 cases per 1000 admissions in the pandemic period. The incidence of *Candida* infections during the pandemic decreased in the clinical and surgical ward and increased in the ICU.

Demographic data, clinical characteristics and risk factors associated with cases of invasive candidiasis in the pre-pandemic and pandemic period are summarized in Table 2. The mean ages of patients with invasive

candidiasis in the pre-pandemic and pandemic period were 56 and 58 years, respectively; and the most prevalent comorbidities in both periods were hypertension and diabetes. There was a higher rate of patients with immunosuppression ($p = 0.01$) and sepsis ($p = 0.03$), as well as a greater need for mechanical ventilation ($p = 0.01$), during the pandemic period. When comparing patients with and without a diagnosis of COVID-19 during the pandemic, patients with COVID-19 were more often under mechanical ventilation ($p = 0.003$), required the use of corticosteroids more frequently ($p = 0.0019$), and had fewer abdominal surgeries ($p = 0.005$).

The distribution between the forms of invasive candidiasis (candidemia or deep candidiasis) and causative species of *Candida* spp. are presented in Table 3. The most frequent type of *Candida* infection both before and during the pandemic was candidemia, concerning approximately 70% of infections. When

Table 3. Distribution of forms of infection by *Candida* spp. in the COVID-19 pre-pandemic and pandemic periods, and clinical outcome.

Forms of infection	Pandemic period			P	Pre-pandemic period	
	Total (n = 87)	Without COVID-19 n (%) (n = 70)	With COVID-19 n (%) (n = 17)		Total (n = 61)	P ^a
Candidemia	59 (67.82)	44 (62.86)	15 (88.23)	0.0440	44 (72.13)	0.5828
Deep candidiasis	28 (32.18)	26 (31.14)	2 (11.76)		17 (27.87)	
Candida species						
<i>Candida albicans</i>	39 (44.83)	31 (44.29)	8 (47.06)	0.8389	31 (50.82)	0.4785
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	22 (25.28)	20 (28.57)	2 (11.76)	0.1620	12 (19.67)	0.4348
<i>Candida glabrata</i>	11 (12.64)	8 (11.43)	3 (17.65)	0.5030	11 (18.03)	0.3764
<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	11 (12.64)	8 (11.43)	3 (17.65)	0.5030	7 (11.47)	0.8443
<i>Candida krusei</i>	6 (6.90)	5 (7.14)	1 (5.88)	0.9267	3 (4.92)	0.6513
Others^b	9 (10.84)	7 (10.00)	2 (11.76)	0.8028	4 (6.56)	0.4469
Death	54 (62.07)	39 (55.71)	15 (88.23)	0.0122	32 (52.45)	0.2503

^aComparison between pre-pandemic period and total pandemic period. ^b*C. kefyr*, *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. lusitanae*, *C. africana*, *C. dubliniensis*, *C. guilliermondii*, *C. Fabianii*. COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019.

comparing patients with and without COVID-19, those with COVID-19 had a significantly higher rate of candidemia (88% of cases) compared to patients without COVID-19 (62% of cases; $p = 0.04$), in which deep candidiasis represents almost one third of the cases. The most prevalent *Candida*. species was *C. albicans*, identified in almost half of the cultures, followed by *C. tropicalis*. Invasive candidiasis at Unicamp Clinical Hospital was related to high mortality; as 52% of infected patients died from this disease in the pre-pandemic period, and 62% in the pandemic period.

Table 4 shows the distribution of clinical and epidemiological factors in patients with invasive candidiasis who survived or died. The presence of COVID-19, mechanical ventilation, and sepsis were significantly associated with mortality ($p = 0.008$, $p < 0.001$, and $p < 0.001$ respectively), while invasive candidiasis that occurred in patients with abdominal surgery was associated with a lower death rate ($p = 0.005$).

Discussion

Invasive candidiasis has acquired a prominent position among the causes of healthcare-associated infection (HAI). Brazil is a country with high incidence of candidemia, which varies according to the region of the country, the type of hospital management, and the effectiveness of HAI prevention and control measures [9]. Recent studies in Brazil show that incidence rates

ranged from 1.2 to 2.23 episodes per 1000 admissions [10–12]. Although the present study demonstrates a high incidence of candidemia (2.48 patients per 1000 admissions pre-pandemic and 3.08 patients per 1000 admissions during the pandemic), there was a decrease when compared to the incidence found in a study from 2006 to 2010 at Unicamp Clinical Hospital, when candidemia occurred in 3.6–6.0 patients per 1000 admissions [13].

The COVID-19 pandemic has triggered changes in the epidemiology of global invasive candidiasis. Several studies confirm the finding of increased rates of candidemia during the pandemic, even in patients without COVID-19 [8,14,15]. The work by Nucci *et al.* indicated that the incidence of candidemia went from 1.54 per 1000 admissions in the pre-pandemic period (January 2019 to February 2020) to 7.44 per 1000 admissions in the pandemic period (March to September 2020) [15]. When comparing the incidence of candidemia in patients with and without a diagnosis of COVID-19, a higher rate was observed in patients with COVID-19 [15–17]. Few studies differentiate the incidence of *Candida* spp. infection depending on the hospitalization sector, as described in our work. As expected, the occurrence of invasive candidiasis was higher in ICUs (approximately 2% of patients admitted to this department), but the incidence in wards was not negligible. The growth in the total incidence during the pandemic, as shown in the present study, was likely related to the increase in the number of ICU patients and

Table 4. Clinical outcome (death or survival) of cases of invasive candidiasis diagnosed at Unicamp Clinical Hospital according to clinical-epidemiological characteristics.

	Death, n (%) (n = 86)	Survival, n (%) (n = 62)	p
Age, mean	59.39	55.52	0.1366
Gender, male	53 (61.63)	39 (62.90)	0.8782
Comorbidity			
Diabetes	33 (38.37)	18 (29.03)	0.2448
Hypertension	44 (51.16)	26 (41.93)	0.2738
Coronary artery disease	12 (13.95)	3 (4.84)	0.0736
Heart failure	9 (10.46)	6 (9.68)	0.8890
Chronic pulmonar disease	7 (8.14)	4 (6.45)	0.7231
Chronic renal disease	15 (17.44)	13 (20.97)	0.5943
Malignity	14 (16.28)	17 (27.42)	0.1086
Immunosuppression	24 (27.91)	16 (25.81)	0.7837
Mechanical ventilation	56 (65.12)	16 (25.81)	< 0.0001
Sepsis	60 (69.77)	19 (30.64)	< 0.0001
Corticosteroid use	24 (27.91)	10 (16.13)	0.0964
Central venous cateter	81 (94.19)	53 (85.48)	0.0873
Parenteral nutrition	20 (23.26)	13 (20.97)	0.7506
Gastrointestinal surgery	22 (25.58)	32 (51.61)	0.0014
Candida colonisation	26 (30.23)	10 (16.13)	0.0500
<i>Candida albicans</i>	40 (46.51)	30 (48.39)	0.8238
<i>Non-albicans Candida</i>	50 (58.14)	36 (58.06)	0.9917
COVID-19	15 (17.44)	2 (3.23)	0.0064

COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019.

to differences in their clinical features, since the incidence reduced in clinical and surgical wards. The ICU study by Kayaaslan *et al.* demonstrated an incidence of candidemia of 1.9% in patients with COVID-19 and 0.5% in patients without COVID-19 [7].

A meta-analysis on invasive candidiasis, with 34 studies prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, identified that the main risk factors associated with infection in critically ill patients were the use of broad-spectrum antimicrobials, blood transfusion, colonization by *Candida* spp., central venous access, and total parenteral nutrition [18]. Such association with blood transfusion may be connected to a secondary immune dysfunction [19]. Other causes of immunosuppression, such as malignancy [20], chronic kidney disease [21], and diabetes [22], also increase the risk of invasive candidiasis. Colonization by *Candida* spp. and central venous access enable this microorganism, that has the ability to form biofilm, to cause infection. *Candida* spp. can also proliferate in parenteral nutrition preparations [23].

Studies have shown an increase in some risk factors for candidemia in patients with COVID-19, such as central venous access, total parenteral nutrition, use of corticosteroids, and septic shock; while patients without COVID-19 and with candidemia have more hepatic diseases and infections or abdominal surgeries [8,24]. The present study found a higher rate of corticosteroid use and colonization by *Candida* spp. and a lower rate of abdominal surgeries in patients with COVID-19 and invasive candidiasis. Taking into account that abdominal interventions have been linked to the development of intra-abdominal candidiasis [25], the fact that a greater rate of abdominal surgeries were performed in patients without COVID-19 could explain why there was higher frequency of deep candidiasis in these patients.

During the COVID-19 pandemic period at Unicamp Clinical Hospital, an increase in the frequency of mechanical ventilation, sepsis and immunosuppression was observed in patients with invasive candidiasis. This increase in the severity of hospitalized patients and risks factors for *Candida* spp. infections related to COVID-19, in addition to the burden of the pandemic on health services, are the most likely reasons for the higher incidence of invasive candidiasis during the pandemic.

The present study also evaluated the distribution of species of *Candida* spp. in the pre-pandemic and pandemic periods. A higher frequency of *Candida albicans* was observed and found in almost half of the cultures, similar the pattern previously observed at

Unicamp Clinical Hospital [13]. Other studies in Spain [8] and Turkey [7,26] demonstrated a similar distribution, while there was a higher incidence of non-*albicans Candida* in some studies in the United States [16,24], Italy [17], and Greece [14]. A review article on fungal infections in Latin America showed that the frequency of *Candida* spp. found in blood cultures is similar to that observed in the present study, as *C. albicans* was the most frequent, followed by *C. tropicalis* and *C. parapsilosis* [27].

Candidemia has been related to high mortality, with rates that can exceed 90% depending on the hospitalization sector studied, early treatment, and patient comorbidities. The study by Kayaaslan *et al.* reported the occurrence of deaths in 92.5% of patients admitted to the ICU with COVID-19, which was significantly higher than the proportion of deaths in patients without COVID-19 (79.4%) [7]. Similar to the present study, several other studies showed a trend of higher mortality when patients with candidemia were also co-infected with SARS-CoV-2, with rates of 75% [16], 73.9% [8], and 62.5% [24]. A 2012 review noted a comparatively low mortality due to invasive candidiasis (31.4%), and identified the following risk factors for death – advanced age, rising acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II (APACHE II), immunosuppressive treatment, malignancy, neutropenia, total parenteral nutrition, mechanical ventilation, and renal or hepatic dysfunction [28].

The present study had some limitations, including the fact that it was a single-center retrospective study. We were not able calculate the incidence of invasive candidiasis separately in patients with COVID-19, as there was no data available on the total number of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in the period under evaluation. Finally, the study could only evaluate general mortality given that the data did not provide information on the time of a patient's death after the cultures tested positive for *Candida* spp.

Conclusions

A high incidence of *Candida* infection was observed at a Brazilian tertiary general hospital between 2018 and 2022, mainly in ICUs. During the COVID-19 pandemic, this incidence increased, with a greater number of patients who had sepsis, used mechanical ventilation, and were colonized by *Candida* spp. compared to the pre-pandemic period; and these factors were related to the higher mortality in patients with invasive candidiasis. SARS-CoV-2 infection was associated with higher mortality. Knowledge about the epidemiology, clinical and microbiological

characteristics, and about the many factors associated with mortality from *Candida* spp. is crucial for the care of hospitalized patients, in order to prevent infection and provide early treatment.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the State University of Campinas, the Campinas Clinical Hospital, and the Faculty of Medical Sciences of the State University of Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

References

- Pappas PG, Lionakis MS, Arendrup MC, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Kullberg BJ (2018) Invasive candidiasis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 4: 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2018.26>.
- Gonzalez-Lara MF, Ostrosky-Zeichner L (2020) Invasive candidiasis. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 41: 3–12. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1701215>.
- McCarty TP, White CM, Pappas PG (2021) Candidemia and invasive candidiasis. *Infect Dis Clin N Am* 35: 389–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2021.03.007>.
- Gudlaugsson O, Gillespie S, Lee K, Berg JV, Hu J, Messer S, Herwaldt L, Pfaller M, Diekema D (2003) Attributable mortality of nosocomial candidemia, revisited. *Clin Infect Dis* 37: 1172–1177. <https://doi.org/10.1086/378745>.
- Poissy J, Damonti L, Bignon A, Khanna N, von Kietzell M, Boggian K, Neofytos D, Vuotto F, Coiteux V, Artru F, Zimmerli S, Pagani J, Calandra T, Sendid B, Poulain D, Van Delden C, Lamoth F, Marchetti O, Bochud P, FUNGINOS, Allfun French Study Groups (2020) Risk factors for candidemia: a prospective matched case-control study. *Crit Care* 24: 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-2766-1>.
- Casalini G, Giacomelli A, Ridolfo A, Gervasoni C, Antinori S (2021) Invasive fungal infections complicating COVID-19: a narrative review. *J. Fungi* 7: 921. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7110921>.
- Kayaaslan B, Eser F, Kaya Kalem A, Bilgic Z, Asilturk D, Hasanoglu I, Ayhan M, Tezer Tekce Y, Erdem D, Turan S, Mumcuoglu I, Guner R (2021) Characteristics of candidemia in COVID-19 patients; increased incidence, earlier occurrence and higher mortality rates compared to non-COVID-19 patients. *Mycoses* 64: 1083–1091. <https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.13332>.
- Machado M, Estévez A, Sánchez-Carrillo C, Guinea J, Escribano P, Alonso R, Valerio M, Padilla B, Bouza E, Muñoz P (2022) Incidence of candidemia is higher in COVID-19 versus non-COVID-19 patients, but not driven by intrahospital transmission. *J. Fungi* 8: 305. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof8030305>.
- Nucci M, Queiroz-Telles F, Alvarado-Matute T, Tiraboschi IN, Cortes J, Zurita J, Guzman-Blanco M, Santolaya M, Thompson L, Sifuentes-Osornio J, Echevarria J, Colombo A (2013) Epidemiology of candidemia in Latin America: a laboratory-based survey. *PLoS One* 8: 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059373>.
- de Oliveira CS, Colombo AL, Francisco EC, de Lima B, Gandra RF, de Carvalho MCP, Carrilho C, Petinelli R, Pelison M, Helbel C, Czelusniak G, de Moraes H, Perozin J, Pinheiro R, Cognialli R, Breda G, Queiroz-Telles F (2021) Clinical and epidemiological aspects of candidemia in eight medical centers in the state of Parana, Brazil: Parana Candidemia Network. *Braz J Infect Dis* 25: 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2020.11.006>.
- Braga PR, Cruz IL, Ortiz I, Barreiros G, Nouér SA, Nucci M (2018) Secular trends of candidemia at a Brazilian tertiary care teaching hospital. *Braz J Infect Dis* 22: 273–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2018.07.008>.
- de Medeiros MAP, de Melo APV, de Oliveira Bento A, de Souza LBFC, de Assis Bezerra Neto F, Garcia JBL, Zuzalves D, Francisco E, de Azevedo Melo A, Chaves G (2019) Epidemiology and prognostic factors of nosocomial candidemia in Northeast Brazil: a six-year retrospective study. *PLoS One* 14: 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221033>.
- Moretti ML, Trabasso P, Lyra L, Fagnani R, Resende MR, de Oliveira Cardoso LG, Schreiber AZ (2013) Is the incidence of candidemia caused by *Candida glabrata* increasing in Brazil? Five-year surveillance of *Candida* bloodstream infection in a university reference hospital in southeast Brazil. *Med Mycol* 51: 225–230. <https://doi.org/10.3109/13693786.2012.708107>.
- Papadimitriou-Olivgeris M, Kolonitsiou F, Kefala S, Spiliopoulou A, Aretha D, Bartzavali C, Siapika A, Marangos M, Fligou F (2022) Increased incidence of candidemia in critically ill patients during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. *Braz J Infect Dis* 26: 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2022.102353>.
- Nucci M, Barreiros G, Guimarães LF, Deriquehem VAS, Castiñeiras AC, Nouér SA (2021) Increased incidence of candidemia in a tertiary care hospital with the COVID-19 pandemic. *Mycoses* 64: 152–156. <https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.13225>.
- Macauley P, Epelbaum O (2021) Epidemiology and mycology of candidemia in non-oncological medical intensive care unit patients in a tertiary center in the United States: overall analysis and comparison between non-COVID-19 and COVID-19 cases. *Mycoses* 64: 634–640. <https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.13258>.
- Mastrangelo A, Germinario BN, Ferrante M, Frangi C, Li Voti R, Muccini C, Ripa M, COVID-BioB Study Group (2021) Candidemia in COVID-19 patients: incidence and characteristics in a prospective cohort compared to historical non-COVID-19 controls. *Clin Infect Dis* 73: 2838–2839. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1594>.
- Thomas-Rüddel DO, Schlattmann P, Pletz M, Kurzai O, Bloos F (2022) Risk factors for invasive *Candida* infection in critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest* 161: 345–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2021.08.081>.
- Youssef LA, Spitalnik SL (2017) Transfusion-related immunomodulation: a reappraisal. *Curr Opin Hematol* 24: 551–557. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MOH.0000000000000376>.
- Teoh F, Pavelka N (2016) How chemotherapy increases the risk of systemic candidiasis in cancer patients: current paradigm and future directions. *Pathogens* 5: 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens5010006>.
- Kato S, Chmielewski M, Honda H, Pecoits-Filho R, Matsuo S, Yuzawa Y, Tranaeus A, Stenvinkel P, Lindholm B (2008) Aspects of immune dysfunction in end-stage renal disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 3: 1526–1533. <https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.00950208>.

22. Rodrigues CF, Rodrigues ME, Henriques M (2019) *Candida* sp. infections in patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Med*. 8: 1–41. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8010076>.
23. Swindell K, Lattif AA, Chandra J, Mukherjee PK, Ghannoum MA (2009) Parenteral lipid emulsion induces germination of *Candida albicans* and increases biofilm formation on medical catheter surfaces. *J Infect Dis* 200: 473–480. <https://doi.org/10.1086/600106>.
24. Seagle EE, Jackson BR, Lockhart SR, Georgacopoulos O, Nunnally NS, Roland J, Barter DM, Johnston HL, Czaja CA, Kayalioglu H, Clogher P, Revis A, Farley MM, Harrison LH, Davis SS, Phipps EC, Tesini BL, Schaffner W, Markus TM, Lyman MM (2022) The landscape of candidemia during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. *Clin Infect Dis* 74: 802–811. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab562>.
25. Bassetti M, Vena A, Giacobbe DR, Trucchi C, Ansaldi F, Antonelli M, Adamkova V, Alicino C, Almyroudi MP, Atchade E, Azzini AM, Brugnaro P, Carannante N, Peghin M, Berruti M, Carnelutti A, Castaldo N, Corcione S, Cortegiani A, Dimopoulos G, Dubler S, Garcia-Garmendia JL, Girardis M, Cornely OA, Ianniruberto S, Kullberg BJ, Lagrou K, Lebihan C, Luzzati R, Malbrain M, Merelli M, Marques AJ, Martin-Loeches I, Mesini A, Paiva JA, Raineri SM, Rautema-Richardson R, Schouten J, Spapen H, Tasioudis P, Timsit JF, Tisa V, Tumbarello M, Van den Berg CHSB, Veber B, Venditti M, Voiriot G, Wauters J, Zappella N, Montravers P; from the Study Group for Infections in Critically Ill Patients (ESGCIP) of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) (2022) Risk factors for intra-abdominal candidiasis in intensive care units: results from EUCANDICU study. *Infect Dis Ther* 11: 827–840. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40121-021-00585-6>.
26. Kayaaslan B, Kaya Kalem A, Asilturk D, Kaplan B, Dönertas G, Hasanoglu I, Eser F, Korkmazer R, Oktay Z, Ozkocak Turan I, Erdem D, Bektas H, Guner R (2022) Incidence and risk factors for COVID-19 associated candidemia (CAC) in ICU patients. *Mycoses* 65: 508–516. <https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.13431>.
27. Nucci M, Queiroz-Telles F, Tobón AM, Restrepo A, Colombo AL (2010) Epidemiology of opportunistic fungal infections in Latin America. *Clin Infect Dis* 51: 561–570. <https://doi.org/10.1086/655683>.
28. Andes DR, Safdar N, Baddley JW, Playford G, Reboli AC, Rex JH, Sobel J, Pappas P, Kullberg B (2012) Impact of treatment strategy on outcomes in patients with candidemia and other forms of invasive candidiasis: a patient-level quantitative review of randomized trials. *Clin Infect Dis* 54: 1110–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis021>.

Corresponding author

Juliana Toledo Gieburowski, MD

Rua Esteves Júnior, nº 574, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil.

Tel: (48) 99190-9441

Fax: (19) 3521-2121

Email: julitoledog@gmail.com

Conflict of interests: No conflict of interests is declared.