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Efficacy of lytic Staphylococcus aureus bacteriophage against multidrug-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in mice
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Abstract
Introduction: The use of bacteriophages as an alternative treatment method against multidrug-resistant bacteria has not been explored in Kenya. This study sought to determine the efficacy of environmentally obtained lytic bacteriophage against multidrug-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MDRSA) bacterium in mice.

Methodology: Staphylococcus aureus bacterium and S. aureus-specific lytic phage were isolated from sewage and wastewater collected within Nairobi County, Kenya. Thirty mice were randomly assigned into three groups: MDRSA infection group (n = 20), phage-infection group (n = 5), and non-infection group (n = 5). The MDRSA infection group was further subdivided into three groups: clindamycin treatment (8 mg/kg; n = 5), lytic phage treatment (10^8 PFU/mL (n = 5), and a combination treatment of clindamycin and lytic phage (n = 5). Treatments were done at either 24 or 72 hours post-infection (p.i), and data on efficacy, bacterial load, and animal physical health were collected.

Results: Treatment with phage was more effective (100%) than with clindamycin (62.25% at 24 hours p.i and 87.5% at 72 hours p.i.) or combination treatment (75% at 24 hours p.i. and 90% at 72 hours p.i.) (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: The results show that the environmentally obtained S. aureus lytic bacteriophage has therapeutic potential against MDRSA bacterium in mice.
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Introduction
Globally, antimicrobial resistance is a public health concern [1]. Most pathogenic bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus have been reported to be resistant against multiple classes of antibiotics [2]. Antimicrobial resistance is a consequence of unmonitored antibiotic use in hospitals, homes, and farms [3]. The exposed bacteria gets sensitized to antibiotics and acquires resistance genes (e.g., S. aureus acquires the mecA gene that makes it resistant to methicillin) [4]. However, strains of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) have also been documented to have resistance against glycopeptides, macrolides, oxalinezolid, daptomycin, and dalfopristin [5,6]. This means that S. aureus possesses resistance against an array of antibiotic classes, which is referred to as multidrug-resistant S. aureus (MDRSA). In humans and animals, MDRSA infections are acquired through inhalation [7], direct contact with infected objects, persons, or animals, and consumption of contaminated animals products [8] or water [9].

Infections due to MDRSA are destructive and often lead to amputations and multi-organ pathologies [10,11]. There are concerted efforts in antibiotic discovery and development, but the process is slow and expensive [12]. Therefore, there is a need for an alternative method of treating infections caused by MDRSA. The method should be cheap, versatile, feasible, as well as effective. The use of bacteriophages is one such method and its applicability in sub-Saharan Africa should be explored. Phage therapy in some regions of Eastern Europe has been reported to possess some potential in treating bacterial infections [13].

Bacteriophages are ubiquitous viruses that parasitize on bacteria [14], regulating their density in humans, animals, and the environment [13,14]. Phages
possess specificity and are bactericidal in nature [16]. In applied medicine, the use of phages is feasible due to their auto-dosing, where only a single dose is required for phage multiplication at the infection site compared to antibiotics that require several doses [17]. Despite these promising findings, the application of phages has not been explored in most developing and developed countries. Therefore, the present study was designed to isolate *S. aureus*-specific lytic phage and to determine its efficacy against MDRSA in mice.

**Methodology**

**Bacterial isolation**

A *Staphylococcus aureus* bacterial strain was isolated from sewage and wastewater samples collected from within Nairobi County, Kenya. The waste and sewage water samples were collected from 20 sites within the county and transported to the microbiology laboratories of the Institute of Primate Research, Kenya. The water samples were decanted and a loopful of the supernatant was streaked on selective mannitol salt agar (Liofilchem, Roseto degli Abruzzi, Italy) supplemented with 4 µg of ciprofloxacin (Liofilchem, Roseto degli Abruzzi, Italy) and incubated at 37°C overnight in aerobic conditions. Prior to *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, the bacterial isolates were sub-cultured in nutrient broth (NB) (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) at 37°C for 18 hours, centrifuged at 2,000 g for 5 minutes, and washed and diluted in saline to 10⁸ colony-forming units per milliliter (CFU/mL). Strains of *Staphylococcus* were identified using microscopy, physiological tests, and the analytical profile index of *Staphylococcus* (API STAPH) system (Bio-Mérieux, Marcy l’Étoile, France). Antimicrobial resistance and susceptibility profiles of the isolated bacterium against multiple antibiotics was determined according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) protocol [18]. The antibiotics used were ceftazidime, oxacillin, vancomycin, netilmicin, gentamicin, erythromycin, cefuroxime, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (Liofilchem, Roseto degli Abruzzi, Italy).

**Phage isolation**

Sewage and wastewater samples were ultra-filtered, and a mixture of the ultra filtrate in NB and 18-hours-old MDRSA culture was added prior to overnight incubation at 37°C while shaking the culture at 120 rpm (Lab-Line Incubator-Shaker, Waltham, USA). After 18 hours of incubation, the culture was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 minutes (Fisher Centrifuge, Waltham, USA), and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 µm filtration unit (µStar LB, ref. no. 8110) for phage screening using a double-layer plaque assay [19]. The resultant plaques were sub-cultured in 2 mL of NB containing sensitive bacterial host (10⁶ CFU).

**In vitro screening for phage anti-MDRSA activity**

A lawn of MDRSA isolate of McFarland standard 2 (6.0 × 10⁸ CFU/mL) was made on a dry nutrient agar (1.5%) using the spread inoculation method and 5 µL of pure lysate spotted on the lawn. Sterile normal saline of equivalent volume was used as a control and the plates were incubated at 37°C overnight.

**Study animals**

Thirty BALB/c mice of mixed sexes, between 6 and 8 weeks of age, were sourced from the rodent facility of the Institute of Primate Research (IPR), Kenya, and used in the study. The mice were fed with antibiotic-free food rations (Unga Feeds, Nairobi, Kenya), and water was provided *ad libitum*.

**Ethical statement**

All experimental protocols and procedures used in this study were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Committee (IRC) on Animals Ethics of the Institute of Primate Research (ref. no. IRC/02/14) in accordance with the international guidelines on animal care, handling, and use for biomedical research. The experiments are reported in accordance with Animal Research: Reporting In Vivo Experiments (ARRIVE) guidelines [20].

**Experimental design**

The study animals were randomly assigned into three groups: the MDRSA infection group (n = 20), the non-infection group (naïve) (n = 5), and the phage infection group (control phage) (n = 5). The 20 mice in the infection group were infected with 10⁸ CFU/mL of MDRSA isolate intravenously via tail vein, and the mice were subdivided into four subgroups (n = 5): MDRSA treated with clindamycin (8 mg/kg body weight), MDRSA treated with phage (10⁸ PFU/mL), MDRSA treated with a combination (clindamycin [8 mg/kg body weight] and phage [10⁸ PFU/mL]), and MDRSA with no treatment (MDRSA only). Mice were either treated at 24 or 72 hours post-infection (p.i.). The experiments were repeated three times.

**Systemic bacterial blood load**

Whole blood (50 µL) was sampled daily from the tail vein of each mouse for 10 days, serially diluted with
normal saline (1:20). This mixture was plated on nutrient agar containing 7.5% sodium chloride and was incubated at 37°C for 18 to 20 hours for MDRSA selection. The blood samples of the phage-infected non-treated group were processed to determine phage titer by double-layer plaque assay.

Statistical analysis
Bacterial and phage counts were represented as mean ± standard error of the mean. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare differences between groups, and Bonferroni post-hoc analysis was done to determine the levels of statistical significance between groups by Graph Pad Prism 5.0.1 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA). A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Bacteria isolation
The isolated *S. aureus* bacterium showed resistance to ceftazidime, oxacillin, vancomycin, netilmicin, gentamicin, cefuroxime, and erythromycin, but was susceptible to trimethroprim-sulfamethoxazole (Table 1).

Phage isolation and in vitro screening for phage anti-MDRSA activity
The lytic phage obtained from the wastewater and sewage samples showed bacterial specific virulence towards MDRSA. The virulent phages had plaque diameter of more than 20 mm compared to non-virulent ones that had a diameter of less than 14 mm (Table 2).

Health status and survivorship
There were no adverse events observed in animals infected with lytic phages (Figure 1). Mice in the phage infection and the non-infection groups had a 100%

---

**Table 1. Staphylococcus aureus isolate resistance to various antibiotics compared with ATCC 43300 (MRSA) isolate.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID Number</th>
<th>Antibiotic disk</th>
<th>ATCC 43300 (MRSA) inhibition zone diameter (mm) (QC)</th>
<th>S. aureus environmental isolate inhibition zone diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Results interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vancomycin (VAN) 30 µg</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ceftazidime (CAZ) 30 µg</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Oxacillin (OX) 1 µg</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Trimethroprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT) 25 µg</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cefuroxime (CXM) 30 µg</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Netilmicin (NET) 30 µg</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Erythromycin (E) 15 µg</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gentamicin (CN) 10 µg</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRSA: methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; QC: quality control.

**Table 2. In vitro* Staphylococcus aureus* activity of the isolated lytic phage strains against the MDRSA isolate on nutrient agar media.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phage strains</th>
<th>Phage plaque diameter size (mm)</th>
<th>MDRSA lawn</th>
<th>Escherichia coli lawn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDRSA: multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
survival rate compared to those of the MDRSA infection group, while those that were infected and did not receive treatment had a 20% survival rate by day 10. However, when treated either at 24 hours or 72 hours p.i., survival rates were 80% and 60%, respectively, within the same period (Figure 2).

**Efficacy study of phage therapy**

Clindamycin or combination treatment at 24 hours or 72 hours p.i. did not clear MDRSA bacterial load in the blood of the infected mice by day 10 p.i. However, phage treatment was effective, as the mice had 0 CFU/mL bacteria by day 9 p.i. (*p < 0.001*) (Figure 3).

Brain, lung, and liver homogenate cultures of mice treated with phage subsequently had 0 CFU/gm of MDRSA, while those that were treated with clindamycin and a combination treatment had an average of 2.0 CFU/gm each (Table 3).

**Persistence of the phage in the animal system**

There was no observed phage circulating in the blood of the phage-infected non-treated mice 96 hours p.i (Figure 1). On the contrary, mice from the MDRSA-infected phage-treated group showed a presence of phage in the liver tissue. MDRSA-infected mice that received a combination treatment had lower phage PFU/mL counts than those treated with phage only (Table 4).

![Figure 2. Survival rates.](image)

![Figure 3. Blood bacteremia and viremia levels of mice.](image)

**Table 3.** End point (day 10) bacteria count (mean log10 CFU/g ± SE) isolate from organs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean log10 CFU/mL ± SE at day 10 p.i</th>
<th>Mean log10 CFU/g ± SE at day 10 p.i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treatment at 24 hours post infection</td>
<td>Treatment at 72 hours post infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naive</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control phage</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRSA only</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRSA + clindamycin</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.2 (62.25%)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2 (87.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRSA + phage</td>
<td>0.0 (100%)</td>
<td>0.0 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRSA + clindamycin + phage</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2 (75%)</td>
<td>0.0 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDRSA: multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; p.i.: post-infection.

**Table 4.** End point phage count (mean log10 PFU/ml ± SE) from the liver.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean log10 PFU/gm ± SE</th>
<th>24 hours p.i. treatment</th>
<th>72 hours p.i. treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-infected, non-treated</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phage infected, non-treated</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRSA infected, non-treated</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRSA infected + clindamycin treated</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRSA infected + phage treated</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRSA infected + clindamycin + phage</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDRSA: multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; p.i.: post-infection.
Discussion

The findings show, for the first time, that multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* is present in sewage and wastewater collected from within Nairobi County, Kenya. The isolated bacterium showed antimicrobial resistance to ceftazidime, oxacillin, vancomycin, netilmicin, gentamicin, cefuroxime, and erythromycin, but was susceptible to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. The observed multidrug resistance can be attributed to the abundance of antibiotics in the community due to easy accessibility through over-the-counter purchases, over-prescription, unmonitored use in local hospitals, and abuse of these drugs at home and in farms as animal additives [21] that later get dumped into the environment.

Phage was non-pathogenic in mice since it did not show any evidence of adverse side effects. Similarly, the lytic phage isolated was able to prevent growth of *S. aureus* on nutrient agar and establishment of infection in mice. Furthermore, the phage was 100% effective against MDRSA infection, even at the fatal sepsis stage (72 hours p.i). The absence of circulating bacteria in the blood of phage-treated mice clearly showed the efficacy of phage therapy. Clindamycin and phage-clindamycin achieved 62.5% and 75% efficacy, respectively, at 24 hours p.i. treatment. Administration of a single dose of clindamycin at 72 hrs p.i. only achieved 75% efficacy, as there were still bacteria circulating in mice blood by day 10. On the contrary, a similar dose of phage-clindamycin was 85% effective when it was administered within the same time frame.

The efficacy of clindamycin was dependent on dosage and administration time. Phage-clindamycin efficacy was dependent on time, as there were a few bacteria circulating in the blood of the mice by day 10. This finding has, for the first time, shown that clindamycin antagonizes the phage activities against targeted pathogenic bacteria (MDRSA). However, phage therapy efficacy was independent of dosage and time. The phage-treated MDRSA infection group had 0 CFU/mL bacteremia level by day 9 when the mice were treated at either 24 hours or 72 hours p.i. This can be attributed to the capability of phages to auto-dose at the infection site, thus clearing the bacteria. This is evident where a single dose of phage (10^8 PFU/mL) reduced 8 log cycles of bacterial load to 0 CFU/mL [17]. The study shows that the auto-dosing ability of phages is advantageous over antibiotics, as the efficacy of the antibiotic is dependent on multiple or intermittent administration. These findings corroborate results observed in others studies [20,21].

Conclusions

The study shows that *S. aureus*-specific lytic phage available in waste and sewage water of Nairobi County can act as an alternative treatment option for bacterial infections caused by MDRSA bacterium. In addition, the study offers proof of the concept that phage therapy can be used to combat antimicrobial resistance in sub-Saharan Africa.
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